Treatment of edentulous patients using implant supported mandibular overdentures improves quality of life
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CLINICAL POINTS
- The standard of care for the edentulous patient has been the provision of a complete denture, however a large proportion of patients have problems with the retention and stability of the mandibular complete denture
- Pre-prosthetic surgery (PPS) has a history of poor prognosis
- The McGill Consensus states that the two implant mandibular overdenture should be considered as a first choice standard of care
- Compared to complete dentures and PPS, improvements have been demonstrated in areas such as patient satisfaction, nutrition, and quality-of-life
- Quality-of-life is a useful method to demonstrate treatment success, however, the use of individualized quality-of-life measures may prove more relevant in the future

INTRODUCTION

An overdenture (OD) is defined as a prosthesis that covers and is partially supported by natural teeth, tooth roots, and/or dental implants. Tooth loss is a serious life event. According to the WHO criteria edentulism is a form of physical impairment, the loss of all teeth causes a disability for most people who wear conventional dentures (CD) as they may have difficulty in performing two essential tasks; eating and speaking.

Quality of life (QOL) is defined as an individual's perception of their position in life, in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live, and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns. The impact of health and disease on QOL is known as health-related QOL. Another dimension of QOL is Oral health-related QOL. This is defined as an individual's assessment of how the following affect his or her well-being: functional factors, psychological factors, social factors, and experience of pain or discomfort in relation to orofacial concerns.

QOL is established as an important outcome for evaluating the impact of disease and for assessing the efficacy of treatments. QOL in denture wearers is measured by socio-dental indicators. Locker defined these indicators as; measures of the extent to which dental and oral disorders disrupt normal social role functioning and bring about major changes in behaviour such as an inability to work or attend school, or undertake parental or household duties. Therefore QOL affects denture wearers with regard to patient satisfaction, nutrition and psycho-social aspects of life. QOL is, however, adversely affected by tooth loss.

The sequelae of tooth loss
The effects of tooth loss are two-fold which may affect the patient psychologically and clinically.

Psychologically, edentulism has been quoted as having characteristics of a chronic illness as it is incurable and functionally and physiologically disruptive. Reduced self confidence, taboo and the feeling of premature ageing have also been reported by patients.

Clinically the effects of tooth loss are important. Alveolar bone resorption could be considered as a pathological condition and can pose a prosthodontic dilemma for the restoration of the edentulous mandible. There has been extensive research regarding this aspect and its clinical sequelae. Tallegren reported that the mean decrease in anterior mandibular ridge height was 4 times greater than that of the maxilla.

Image 1 & 2: Progression of alveolar bone resorption in the mandible over a 15 year period.
Crum and Rooney found that retaining mandibular canines and providing an OD resulted in 0.6mm of alveolar bone loss\(^\text{11}\). Provision of a CD resulted in 5.2mm of bone loss\(^\text{12}\). Therefore preserving teeth and providing an OD can preserve bone not only to the teeth, but also in adjacent areas.

Alveolar bone loss can be reduced by the provision of implants; studies have shown that implant-supported mandibular overdentures (ISMOVDs) can preserve bone height in areas where the implants are located\(^\text{12}\). Mericske-Stern also concluded that there is a higher probability of success in the mandible when ODs are supported by implants rather than tooth roots\(^\text{13}\).

**Treatment modalities for the edentulous mandible**

Treatment modalities for the restoration of the edentulous mandible include: a mandibular CD, pre-prosthetic surgery (PPS) with a mandibular CD, an ISMOVD and an implant-supported fixed bridge.

Much of the literature focuses solely on the comparison of the ISMOVD with the CD, with or without PPS. This section will, therefore, compare and contrast these treatments by analysis of current literature and, thus, show how the restoration of the edentulous mandible with an ISMOVD should be considered as a first choice standard of care.

The classic treatment for the edentulous mandible is a mandibular CD. However the pattern of bone loss associated with the CD can result in the denture-bearing area becoming compromised. Redford demonstrated that more then 50% of CD wearers have problems with the retention and stability of their mandibular CD\(^\text{14}\). When the patient experiences poor denture retention and stability, patient satisfaction, confidence and comfort will suffer.

The rate of resorption of the mandibular alveolar bone is greater than that of the maxilla\(^\text{9}\). PPS (ridge augmentation or vestibuloplasty) has, therefore, been advocated in certain clinical circumstances. There is, however, mixed long-term success rates associated with PPS; complications and morbidity are also associated\(^\text{15, 16}\).

A symposium was held at McGill University where a panel of experts concluded that a 2 implant overdenture (OVD) should be considered as a first choice standard of care for the edentulous mandible\(^\text{17}\).

The ISMOVD has been investigated since 1987, with Van Steenbergh\(^\text{18}\) being one of the first authors to propose the placement of 2 implants in the mandible to support an OVD. Within 52 months, a 98% success rate was achieved\(^\text{18}\). Albrektsson et al. have argued that a state of almost, "restitution ad integrum," can be achieved with dental implants\(^\text{19}\).

ISMOVDs require frequent maintenance, especially during their first year\(^\text{20}\). Attard et al. concluded that the cumulative survival rate of the OD was 100%, at 15 years, with the longevity of this prosthesis being 10.39+/-5.59 years\(^\text{20}\). Relines were required every 4-5 years for both the OD and opposing CD\(^\text{20}\). However, less after-care was associated with surface treatment of the implants and the use of Dolder bars\(^\text{21}\). Patients must be informed that regular maintenance will be required. Also, this will give the clinician the opportunity to regularly review the patient and detect possible pathology which may otherwise have adversely affected them.

**QOL-Patient Satisfaction**

It is accepted in the literature that satisfaction in denture wearers depends upon the ability of the patient to chew and speak, and also on the appearance of the prosthesis\(^\text{23, 24, 25}\). Berg et al. found that 66% of patients were dissatisfied with their CDs due to discomfort, sub-optimal retention and fit, and/or pain associated with the lower CD\(^\text{26, 27}\).

Many studies have assessed patient satisfaction with ISMOVDs\(^\text{27-36}\). Wismeijer et al. carried out a randomized controlled trial (RCT) where patients were provided with ISMOVDs with either ball attachments, an interconnecting bar, or 4 interconnected implants\(^\text{37}\). Sixteen months after treatment almost all of the patients were satisfied with treatment irrespective of attachment system used\(^\text{37}\).
Boerringter et al. assessed patient satisfaction in a RCT\textsuperscript{31}. This study compared the CD with an ISMOVD. Satisfaction was measured with a validated questionnaire which assessed: esthetics, retention, comfort, and function of the upper and lower denture. The majority of the ISMOVD group (85\%) had a score of 8 or more (score 1=very dissatisfied, score 10=very satisfied)\textsuperscript{31}. Results showed that the ISMOVD group was more satisfied 1 year post-treatment. Dissatisfaction in the CD group was due to the poor retention of the lower CD; only 27\% were satisfied post-treatment\textsuperscript{31}. The design of this study shows a high degree of validity, however, a longer follow-up is required.

The first prospective RCT with a 10 year follow-up was carried out by Raghoeber et al.\textsuperscript{37}. Patients were randomized as follows: a) CD (control group); b) PPS with a CD; and, c) ISMOVD. Within 1 year, the PPS and ISMOVD group experienced better chewing ability than the CD group. The PPS group was satisfied in the short-term. The ISMOVD group experienced long-term satisfaction (10 years.)

From the above evidence it can be concluded that patient satisfaction is improved with the provision of an ISMOVD compared to a CD, with or without PPS. Patients were not only satisfied in the short-term but also at a 10 year recall.

**QOL-Nutrition**

As tooth number decreases, mastication is more difficult; patients are also more likely to practice forms of food avoidance and dietary restriction.

Morais et al. revealed that patients provided with an ISMOVD reported an increased ability to bite, eat and chew, without losing their dentures, 6 months post-treatment\textsuperscript{38}. This group also showed improvements in anthropometric data and blood nutrient data. Serum albumin concentration increased by 1.4g/l (a recognized indicator of good general health) \textsuperscript{39}. Serum B12 concentrations also increased. These findings, however, should be supported by a larger RCT with a longer follow-up in the future.

The process of dietary restriction amongst edentulous patients has also been studied. Allen and McMillan found that subjects who received ISMOVDs altered their food choices, including, "hard to chew foods"\textsuperscript{40}.

From the literature it can be concluded that the ISMOVD offers the patient significant improvements in nutritional status. The ISMOVD will not necessarily result in the patient eating a more balanced diet of their own accord. Thus, in order to allow patients benefit most from their improved masticatory function, dietary advice should be given\textsuperscript{40, 41, 42}.

**QOL-Psychosocial effects of ISMOVDs**

Blomberg stated that teeth do not function just as a part of the masticatory system; the oral region is also a speech and a psycho-sexual centre\textsuperscript{43}. The success of denture treatment is not solely based upon functional parameters.

The effects of denture wearing on social activities have been studied by Heydecke et al. who carried out a 2 month follow-up RCT comparing CDs and ISMOVDs\textsuperscript{44}. Many studies use scales such as the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP) to measure QOL. Unlike the Soical Impact Questionnaire (SIQ), the OHIP does not take into account social or sexual activities. This study concluded that the ISMOVD had a positive effect on social activities 2 months post-treatment. Conversely, the instability of the CD was shown to adversely affect social activities and interpersonal relationships. Unease in interpersonal relationships was reduced by 32\% 2 months post-treatment with the ISMOVD\textsuperscript{44}. The SIQ scale showed a high level of reliability. However a longer follow-up period is still required.

The effect of the ISMOVD on social activities was also studied by Melas et al. who carried out a retrospective cohort study based upon the Oral Impacts on Daily Performances (OIDP) sociodental indicator\textsuperscript{45}. The OIDP measured psycho-social variables such as: smiling, clear speech, emotional status, social contact and, "going out." Results showed that patients with ISMOVDs were more satisfied with the comfort of their dentures. Sizable percentages (66\%) of CD wearers were dissatisfied with the comfort of their prostheses\textsuperscript{46}. The main limitation of this study was its design. The groups were also not comparable on the basis of age; however, from previous literature it seems that there is no relationship between age and patient satisfaction\textsuperscript{46}. Thus, age is unlikely to have confounded the above results.

From the literature, patients restored with ISMOVDs experience less discomfort and improved psychosocial function. Studies with longer follow-up periods are required.

**DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS**

The standard treatment of the edentulous patient has, for many years, been a CD. Many CD wearers have significant problems in adapting to their mandibular prosthesis. The widespread use and abuse of denture adhesives is a good indication that these prostheses are inadequate in relation to retention and stability. CDs have many disadvantages such as: continual ridge resorption with fibrous replacement, instability of the CD, displacement of the CD, variable levels of acquired muscular control, changes in facial support, reduced masticatory efficacy and emotional distress from tooth loss\textsuperscript{47}. PPS has also been associated with poor results\textsuperscript{15, 16}.  

---

**References**

Treatment of the edentulous mandible with an ISMOVD has been advocated by Mericske-Stern in elderly patients, who require stabilization of their mandibular CD, and in patients with congenital or acquired maxillofacial defects which require oral rehabilitation.

However a panel of experts (The McGill Consensus) agreed due to overwhelming evidence that the 2-implant OD should be considered as a first choice standard of care for the edentulous mandible.

As with any treatment modality, the commitment to aftercare and maintenance is vital if the OD is to be successful. The patient must be advised of this and reviewed regularly. As previously mentioned, this may give the clinician the chance to regularly review the patient and detect possible pathology which may then be treated in a timely fashion.

From the evidence presented in this paper it can be concluded that the edentulous patient restored with an ISMOVD (rather than with a CD with or without PPS) experiences more satisfaction with their prosthesis, improved masticatory ability and nutrition, along with improvements in psycho-social aspects of life. However, prospective randomized studies with longer follow-up periods are required. It can also be concluded that patients restored with ISMOVDs will experience improvements in QOL with regard to oral health-related QOL.
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