Effectiveness of Chin Tuck in Prevention of Aspiration in Acquired Neurological Population: A Systematic Review
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INTRODUCTION

Chin tuck manoeuvre or “Chin down” is the most commonly used postural manoeuvre in the management of neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia, specifically in the prevention of aspiration. This strategy widens the valleculae and propels the tongue base posteriorly towards the pharyngeal wall to stop the food/fluid from penetrating the airway, thus narrowing the opening of airway and lowering the risk of aspiration.1,2,3 However, the evidence for its use in this population is unclear.

RESEARCH QUESTION

1. What is the effectiveness of chin tuck in prevention of aspiration in acquired neurological population?
2. Does chin tuck help in reduction or elimination of pharyngeal residue?
3. Does chin tuck help in prevention of adverse events in acquired neurological population?

METHODS

- All published and unpublished controlled clinical trials (CCTs) and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating the effectiveness of chin tuck in adult acquired neurological populations to eliminate aspiration were sought.
- Twelve electronic databases (AMED; academic search complete; Cochrane library; CINAHL; EMBASE; HSE library; google scholar; CENTRAL; scopus; psycINFO; web of science and MEDLINE) were searched from inception to April 2018.
- Additionally, grey literature search were conducted which included: Australasian digital theses, index to theses, ISI web of knowledge conference proceedings.
- Journals were hand searched from 1991-April 2018.
- No language restrictions were imposed.
- Two independent reviewers extract the data of included studies.
- Assessment of quality of study was conducted using the Cochrane risk of bias tool4 and GRADE tool5 was used to check the quality of evidence.

RESULTS

Four studies4,5,6,7 met the inclusion criteria for this review. Along with chin tuck different comparison interventions were used in these studies. The acquired neurological conditions were studied across all studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Primary Outcomes</th>
<th>Secondary Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Effective in prevention of aspiration</td>
<td>Limited benefit for reduction of pharyngeal residue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td>Effective in prevention of aspiration</td>
<td>Limited benefit for reduction of pharyngeal residue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson’s</td>
<td>Limited benefit in prevention of aspiration</td>
<td>Benefit in reduction of adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>Limited benefit in prevention of aspiration</td>
<td>Benefit in reduction of adverse events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two RCTs design studies4,5 had the least bias present. The overall quality of the evidence as per the GRADE tool was "low”.

CONCLUSION

This review has found no strong evidence to support the effectiveness of the intervention. This is due to the methodological quality and heterogeneity of the included studies. This review can only conclude that the studies included in this review suggested benefits with chin tuck in prevention of aspiration, adverse events and in reducing pharyngeal residue, but which might vary from patient to patient depending upon the severity of dysphagia.
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