



## Student Quality Review Form - Practice Education Placements

***\*Please note that this form should be completed via Microsoft forms where possible. The Practice Education Team will direct you in accessing same.***

1.Name of Student

2.Name of Practice Educator/s

3.Name of placement site

4.Quality indicator 1: Were you provided with adequate pre-placement information and reading?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

5.Quality indicator 2: Was there an adequate orientation and induction process?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

6. Quality indicator 3: Were the facilities and resources made available to you satisfactory?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

7. Quality indicator 4: Was your Practice Educator familiar with the Trinity College Practice Education protocols and resources?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

8. Quality indicator 5: Did your Practice Educator practice in line with the AOTI and CORU guidelines and recommendations?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

9. Quality indicator 6: Were the roles of the student and the Practice Educator negotiated so that both parties had a clear understanding of their needs and expectations?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

10. Quality indicator 7: Did your Practice Educator negotiate and review a learning contract with you that was operational throughout the placement?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met

- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

11. Quality indicator 8: Did your Practice Educator provide regular feedback to you on your progression towards the attainment of your competencies?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

12. Quality indicator 9: Did your Practice Educator provide weekly supervision in accordance with Trinity College Supervision protocol and resources?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

13. Quality indicator 10: Was self-evaluation by the student an integral/valued component of your supervision sessions (formal and informal)?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

14. Quality indicator 11: Did your Practice Educator provide adequate learning opportunities for you to practice within your abilities in the practice context?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

15. Quality indicator 12: Was an effective and non-threatening teaching relationship established that was collegial and respectful to both you and your Practice Educator?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

16. Quality indicator 13: Did you Practice Educator facilitate reasonable accommodations/additional support if applicable?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

17. Quality indicator 14: Did your Practice Educator comply with the provision of adequate study hours in line with Trinity College protocols?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

18. Quality indicator 15: Did your Practice Educator make contact with the university-based Practice Education Team in a timely and appropriate manner to address queries and concerns?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

19. Quality indicator 16: Were you and your Practice Educator supported in effectively accessing quality support from the university-based Practice Education Team as and when required?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

20. Quality indicator 17: Did your Practice Educator complete and sign a half-way report and the final report?

- Indicator met to high standard
- Indicator met
- Indicator not met
- Not applicable or Not sure

21. Overall how would you rate this placement?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

22. Please explain your rationale for this rating.

23. Please comment on the most positive aspects of this placement.

24. Please comment on areas of this placement requiring development.

25. Please provide additional comments on any of the quality indicators highlighted above (as required).

