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Purpose of presentation & today

To give high level overview of three years of research work

To showcase aspects of the research

To disseminate findings and generate dialogue based on applied, high quality health systems and policy research

Five keynotes, panels & chair
From the regions to COVID-19 health systems response (and back?)
The Foundations research project

HRB Applied Partnership Award Sept 2019-21

Health system foundations for effective Regional Integrated Care Areas: co-producing evidence to inform the design of regional areas to support integrated care in Ireland

Lead knowledge user & Steering Group Chair: Laura Magahy Sláintecare Office & HSE €, 10/21 Philip Crowley

Six months into work COVID-19

Pivoted in April 2020 to harness learning from COVID-19 health system response to inform Sláintecare’s implementation

Research now running for 4 years til Q3 2023 thanks HEA €
Ways of working

Applied, emergent co-designed research with partners

Steering Group meetings, topic teams

Online engagement

Balance btw responding to partners needs pre-COVID & then with COVID with contract agreed with HRB

Publication strategy (9 + 2 + 2-3)

Feeding into Sláintecare processes
HRB Open Research

STUDY PROTOCOL


Sara Burke1, Steve Thomas1, Malgorzata Stach1, Paul Kavanagh2, Laura Magahy3, Bridget M. Johnston1, Sarah Barry1

HRB Open Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Tracking aspects of healthcare activity during the first nine months of COVID-19 in Ireland: a secondary analysis of publicly available data [version 1; peer review: 1 not approved]

Domhnall McGlacken-Byrne1, Sarah Parker2, Sara Burke2

HRB Open Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Changes in the utilisation of acute hospital care in Ireland during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 [version 3; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Previously titled: 'The public health and health system implications of changes in the utilisation of acute hospital care in Ireland during the first wave of COVID-19: Lessons for recovery planning'

Louise Marron1, Sara Burke2, Paul Kavanagh3,4
% of infants receiving PHN 10-month developmental screening check on time 2018–2020
Reorganisation work
‘commissioned’ by the Dept of Health, Health Systems Unit - Feeding into HSE planning for the design of the regions

Population Bases Resource Allocation: prompted by HSE - What & how are other countries doing PBRA and how can we learn from that for Ireland

Padraic Fleming¹, Steve Thomas¹, Des Williams², Jack Kennedy² and Sara Burke³

Series Health Policy

Building health system resilience through policy development in response to COVID-19 in Ireland: From shock to reform

Sara Burke³, Sarah Parker³, Padraic Fleming³, Sarah Barry³, Steve Thomas³
Comparative work

COVID-19 Health System Response Monitor (HSRM)

The COVID-19 Health Systems Response Monitor (HSRM) contains information on how countries’ health systems responded to the pandemic between 2020 and early 2022. The Archive of individual country evidence is complemented by cross-country comparative Analyses which synthesise policy responses to key challenges presented by the crisis and point the way to building better-prepared and more resilient health systems.

Overview Analyses Responses archive HSRM Countries Compare COVID-19 Resources Contributors

A comparison of 2020 health policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada, Ireland, the United Kingdom and the United States of America

Lynn Unruh a, Sara Allin b, Greg Marchildon b, Sara Burke c, Sarah Barry c, Rikke Siensbaek a, Steve Thomas c, Selina Rajan c, Andriy Koval c, Mathew Alexander c, Sherry Merkur a, Erin Webb b, Gemma A. Williams a, b
Work plan for Q4 2022 to Q3 2023

Ongoing coproduction & engagement with partners, the broader research, policy & health system community

1. Two forthcoming papers on public health responses to homelessness & complexity informed learnings for reform

2. Work in progress research paper: a policy analysis on the regions 2023

3. Methodology paper 2023 (Write up of process, link findings across research, focus on implementation themes/mechanisms)
Dissemination and impact

Over 100 partner & collaborator meetings
50+ formal presentations (DoH & HSE webinars)
Research cited in policy & strategy documents
Engagement with politicians, health system leaders & frontline

Mission: Universal Health Care

This paper builds upon the review by Johnston et al., (2021) which examined PBRAs across six countries and highlighted the importance of objectives, impacts, and outcomes.
A dialogue with the system
Agenda setting & co-producing research informing universal healthcare
Thank you & questions
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Presentation Outline

• Background/Rationale
• Theoretical Lens (Complexity)
• Aims/Methods
• Key Lessons for Universal Health System Reform
• Summary & Conclusions
Presentation Outline

• Background/Rationale
• Theoretical Lens (Complexity)
• Aims/Methods
• Key Lessons for Universal Health System Reform
• Summary & Conclusions
Background/Rationale – Why is this Research Important?

• COVID-19 highlighted health system deficiencies (and strengths) worldwide.
  o Renewed focus on health system functioning created opportunities for reflection, learning and change.

• Evidence that the pandemic has accelerated reforms that previously lacked political will or funding e.g.
  o Prioritisation of non-acute (community) care to better serve patients outside of hospitals and maximise hospital avoidance where possible.

• Bridging of acute and community during the crisis reflects the interconnected nature of health systems
  o Underscores the importance of bolstering community capacity in the COVID-19 context.

• Yet, in Ireland, the aim of ‘shifting left’ has remained a challenge, despite long-standing policy intent.
Background/Rationale – Why is this Research Important?

• Progress has been made; however, understanding of **how the pandemic response could better-inform improved access to universal integrated care (UIC)** is still emerging.

• **Researching the emergence of UIC during COVID-19 requires a whole-of-system approach**
  
  o Existing evidence is largely descriptive and lacking theoretical engagement.

  o Theory = a way to explain how and why change(s) occur over time: “An understanding of change in the health field enables us to imagine and design alternative paths to the future” (Frenk, 1994).

• **We mobilised a complexity-informed approach to better understand:**
  
  o The interconnected nature of the national pandemic response.

  o Generate research evidence to guide universal health system reform.
# Theoretical Lens – What does Complexity Add?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptualises healthcare as a complex (social) system</th>
<th>Paradigm (cognitive framework) that guided the methodological approach</th>
<th>Centred analysis on relationships between different system components</th>
<th>Explanatory framework (core concepts and principles) to help explain:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Interdependent whole.</td>
<td>• Quant approaches limited – no ‘general laws’.</td>
<td>• Focuses on patterns of interaction between system elements at different levels and times, rather than analysing individual elements in isolation.</td>
<td>1. Why the system operates in the way it does; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Open system, comprised of human agents.</td>
<td>• Qual methods - ‘whole person’, <em>contextualised</em> and dynamic perspective.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. How it (and us as agents) can be steered in a more favourable direction to facilitate better access to UIC in the COVID-19 context.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complexity** is in the dynamic change (i.e. adaption) that occurs in a system over time, driven by the repeated actions of agents (i.e. self-organisation) rather than the system itself (i.e. emergence).

Qual approach needed to explain how and why a health system trajectory changes from one state (unintegrated) to another (integrated).
Aims and Methods – What We Did and How we Did it

**Aim**

- ADVANCE UNDERSTANDING of the social processes of change that enabled better access to UIC in the Irish health system during COVID-19.

**Objectives**

- GENERATE in-depth insights into how (and why) particular health system responses emerged, scaled or pivoted during COVID-19;
- IDENTIFY key strategies, implementation mechanisms and contexts that enabled or hindered better access to UIC during COVID-19; and
- DISCUSS key learnings for Ireland and internationally for health system reform in the COVID-19 context.

**Methodology**

- STUDY DESIGN: Qualitative + co-production approach
- METHODS: Semi-structured interviews
- SAMPLE: Health professionals (frontline workers and senior managers) from responses that facilitated better access to UIC during COVID-19.
Aims and Methods – What we Did and How we Did it

Co-production process

Dec ’21 - Feb ‘22: Scoping/prelim analysis
March - May ‘22: Identify responses
June - August ‘22: Interviews with key informants
Sept – Nov ‘22: Data analysis

Presented and workshopped at PSGs
Top-down = 4 Bottom-up = 7
N = 16
Coding & Thematic Analysis
Under review journal special issue

Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin
© Trinity College Dublin [2022]
Key Lessons for Universal Health System Reform

- Nurturing Whole-System Thinking
- Harnessing, Sharing and Supporting Innovation
- Prioritising Relationship-Building in a Human-centred Health System
Nurturing Whole-System Thinking

• Pandemic response helped to **break down boundaries** between fragmented health system components.
  • Mutual adaption to a **shared purpose** (self-organisation) fostered successful inter-organisational and cross-sector collaborations (emergence).

• **Repeated interactions** between health professionals (agents) led to **development of ‘joint awareness’** re the interdependent nature of healthcare.

  • This led to:
    1) **knowledge-generation** about existing gaps and how to address them (e.g. clarity re governance);
    2) **empowered and energised** health professionals - integrated care structures ARE possible/useful; and
    3) **challenged cultural mindsets** re role of community sector.
“I suppose, for me, it reaffirmed my faith in the people working in the system, because we said, ‘Look, we're focused on the patient here’. What’s encouraging is that people talk about person-centred care, but this was a real manifestation of it” (Health System Worker).

“When COVID hit we were only bringing in the sickest of the sick. Whereas before, there definitely would have been a mindset among people working in the acute environment, that ‘oh, no, everybody has to come into us we'll see them in clinic’. So that's definitely the shift in mindset that I’ve noticed, you know, that we [in the community] can look after them now. It doesn't work for all patients. But it certainly worked well in this particular project.” (Health System Worker)
Nurturing Whole-System Thinking

- Power of creating (and embedding) shared goal to drive change in systems sensitive to initial conditions
- This process should involve a participative and focused dialogue among diverse stakeholders.
  - BUT clarity needed re what this message should be and how is should be communicated outside of crises.
- Need for a shared information base or active, living ‘map’ of the health system:
  - Improve system awareness
  - Identify interdependencies
  - Provide clarity in terms of access points and accountability etc.
  - Foster collective action and learning
Harnessing, Sharing and Supporting Innovation

- **Pandemic response led to significant system change** to allow for agile, speedy solutions (adaptation).
  - e.g. increased funding, relaxing of procurement processes and fast-tracking digital health.
  - Hands-off, top-down approach - system provided funding etc. but then “let the frontline get on with it”

- **Flexible system conditions sparked innovations for integration** (emergence), even if only temporarily.
  - Enabled horizontal collaboration, shared sense-making and ownership in decision-making (self-organisation).

- **Ensured system remained adaptive during the crisis** to enable integrated care trajectories to emerge.
  - BUT funding (and other) structures to maintain these solutions in the longer-term remain unclear
Harnessing, Sharing and Supporting Innovation

“[COVID] allowed stuff to progress much more quickly than it would otherwise have done, because it circumvented a lot of those institutional barriers ... anything we thought would improve and innovate was facilitated, and they've been proven to be correct. Whether it was equipment, small infrastructural issues, whether it was staff, you know, and it really did change it [...] Now we're back to budgets, adherence, staff cuts. The system is like ‘You’ve got to watch your WTEs. What's your agency spend? What's your overtime spend?’ It's just revert to type” (Health System Worker).

“We don't need to be reinventing the wheel all over the country; just look at examples of good innovation and good integration and try and replicate that ... It's only by me sourcing it or seeing it on Twitter when I say ‘jeez, I could do that’. And that’s where I get a lot of my ideas, but it's not the system telling me” (Health System Worker).
Harnessing, Sharing and Supporting Innovation

• Innovation to enable better access to UIC was an emergent and unpredictable process.
  • Key learnings should be developed and fed back into the system to maximise longer-term impact.

• Creating and embedding mechanisms to share information in a systematic way.
  • Enable proven models/innovations from the pandemic response to be adapted across different contexts.

• Social dynamics, reciprocal learning and the promotion of exploration are foundational to developing adaptive, innovative solutions.
  • Importance of not only providing answers, but also asking questions.
Prioritising Relationship-Building in a Human-centred Health System

• Pandemic response required collaboration and interaction to facilitate better access to UIC
  • All interactions were framed as relational.

• Effective collective action during the pandemic went beyond physical, electronic or structural proximity
  • Quality/nature of interpersonal contact.
  • Basic human connection and relationship-building/management were fundamental.

• Communication was horizontal, ad hoc and conducive to immediate problem-solving during COVID-19
  • Helped foster trust and buy-in across different system levels
  • NOT something that happened by chance – took time, dedication and constant work.
Prioritising Relationship-Building in a Human-centred Health System

• “We've a weekly meeting, which is now gone to two weekly with public health and the local care area. That started out in COVID and it’s been really good, because we still meet regularly and now we're talking more about monkeypox and things like that, and the implications for the system. So that link has been so useful, because we've all developed this whole kind of, you know, we all trust each other, we all understand what we're trying to do” (Health System Worker).

• [Winning hearts and minds] takes constant work ... it’s about building capacity and capability to actually engage, negotiate and plan a strategy in a programmatic way [...] During COVID, we developed relationship managers who manage the process with us and we started to get to the place of a fair and honest engagement, where trust was built across the table, but also it wasn't all one sided ... Doesn't mean that we don't have significant disagreements, but when the relationships are solid, we get through them” (Health System Manager).
Prioritising Relationship-Building in a Human-centred Health System

- In a complex health system comprised of people - reform should also seek to **strengthen relationships** among those working together to enable better access to UIC.

- HOWEVER, trust/other relational issues can be difficult to define and measure since they lie ‘in-between’.
  - Paradigm shift where healthcare is (re)framed as *relational* rather than *transactional*.
  - Build a culture of honesty across *all system levels*.
  - Transparency in leadership in decision-making.
  - Enhanced communication flow and comprehension.
  - Ensure all levels incl. frontline workers are engaged and ‘brought to the table’.
  - Embrace ‘chaos’ by creating a space for people to express their dissent or frustration.
To sum up...

- Opened **active dialogue** between empiricism and explanation/theoreticism.
  - Conceptualised **health systems as social and complex**.
  - Complexity concepts to advance understanding of how (and why) integrated care trajectories emerged.

- Highlighted the **role of reflexivity in health system functioning**.
  - Human perceptions and actions were both the cause and consequence of system dynamic and change.

- Health reform is complicated since **health systems are constantly evolving, changing and adapting**.
  - HOWEVER, pandemic response showed that the changes required for large-scale health system reform to enable better access to UIC are indeed possible, even if only temporarily.
Conclusions – So What?

- Goal of universal health system reform should not always be to reduce uncertainty
- The findings encourage health system leaders and policymakers to *embrace complexity*
- We must also facilitate alternative reform efforts that:
  - Recognise the value of shared sense-making
  - Allow for innovation, exploration and learning
  - Acknowledge role of social dynamics in driving change
Thank you
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Overview

**Workforce is a fundamental health system building block**

- Unprecedented measures taken during COVID-19
- Following a prolonged period of austerity
- Implications for health system resilience, sustainability and reform

1. **Workforce Trends Analysis** – Stems from Foundations priority setting exercise
2. **Realist Review** – Understanding legacies from austerity
### Staff turnover 2018 – Q3 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022 (to Q3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSCP</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man Admin</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen Support</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: HSE
# Nursing Registrations 2014 – 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Non-EU</th>
<th>Ireland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>1,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>1,819</td>
<td>1,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,018</td>
<td>1,443</td>
<td>1,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,748</td>
<td>1,545</td>
<td>1,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,034</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>1,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1,418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NMBI Annual Report 2020*
Ireland was one of several countries particularly impacted by 2008 financial crisis (Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain)

- Resilience of staff challenged:
  - Lower financial investment, staff shortages, recruitment freeze, rationing → Stress & burnout, emigration
- Adverse impact on service delivery → ED use – OOPs

- Policy shift towards universal health care – Sláintecare
  - Deliver community-based care – sufficient capacity & skill mix
Methods

Comparative trend analysis of aggregated national HR data

• 2008 – August 2021 compared across 3 periods

Staff categorised in line with HSE and previous analysis

• 1) Medical and Dental, 2) Nursing and Midwifery, 3) Health and Social Care Professionals, 4) Management and Administration, 5) General Support, 6) Patient and Client Care

Constraints

• 3.2% reduction in 2014 due to reorganisation
• Only public health staff, no GPs, private facilities
Results
Distribution by staff category as proportion of overall WTE

* includes 3390 staff from child and family services who were transferred out of the health service in 2014
Percentage change within staff category pre & post 2014
Trends in acute and community staffing levels

  - Acute: 53,632
  - Community: 58,117

  - Acute: 50,038
  - Community: 51,877

- **COVID Period (2019-2021)**
  - Acute: 69,231
  - Community: 60,906

*Includes 3390 staff from child and family services who were transferred out of the health service in 2014.*
Staff absence-rates

![Graph showing staff absence rates from 2008 to 2021. The graph illustrates three periods: Recession Period (2008-2014), Recovery Period (2014-2019), and COVID Period (2019-2021). The absence rates are marked for non-Covid and Covid periods.](image-url)
Realist review – to understand legacies of health system austerity (n = 204)

1. **Top-down governance** - lack of ownership and buy-in from those delivering care and a distrust of the decision-making agenda.

2. **Perceived value shift** - a diminished view of the profession, apathetic and burnt-out.

3. **Powerless and detached** - a resistance to change and conflict between front line workers and policy decision makers / management.

4. **Working the system (access)** - strain on frontline workers, increases ER use but more stable health outcomes than originally predicted.

5. **Health-seeking behaviour change** - led to reduction in primary care usage, increased emergency care, medication mismanagement, delayed treatment.
Support and mitigation measures

- Open and transparent communication
- Facilitate upstream and downstream communication
- Co-produced value-set
- When frontline flexibility and agility required, managers should expect and trust the need for professional discretion.
- Identify causes of moral distress
“We need to improve the culture in our health service so that we 
rebuild trust among staff at all levels. Doing so will help to create the 
sense that people are valued. Inherent to this culture change is 
ensuring that staff are included in all changes that will impact upon 
them so that they have confidence in the direction of travel. This is key 
to successful change and not addressing this poses a risk to successful 
RHA implementation.”

Opening Statement by Mr Leo Kearns, Chair of the Regional Health Areas Advisory Group to the Joint Oireachtas Committee on Health, 26th October 2022
Reflections / so what?
Resilience, Sustainability and planning for Sláintecare

- Austerity debilitated staffing levels although systemic bias
- Despite policy intent - growing gap between staffing levels in acute and community settings
- Some COVID-19 response aligned with policy - Redeployment disproportionally impacted community care (universal access to COVID-care, additional resources, IHI...)
- COVID-19 saw more supportive policies e.g. illness-cover moving away from presenteeism

- Ongoing challenges despite additional resources – attrition, ageing workforce, migration...
- Align recruitment and training policy / Sláintecare / legislation / regulations – do these compete?
- Recruitment determined regionally under national employment framework / staffing skill-mix framework?
- Learning from the Safety Net Agreement with private sector – Future collaboration?
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Overview

- Background
- PBRA in Ireland: Key considerations
- Recent developments
- Take home messages
Background

Population-planned care looks beyond the traditional clinical setting to consider both the wider determinants of health and resourcing of the delivery system.

Substantial focus on technical considerations rather than implementation strategies.

An important policy lever comprising many concepts, terms and implementation strategies.

Adjustment of funding across populations according to variation in need and the cost of providing services and supports.

Now intended as a key component of health service planning and policy in Ireland.
Aim and objectives of policy review

**EXPAND THINKING**
Beyond the technical aspects of PBRA models

**GAIN INSIGHTS**
Critical contextual factors for development

**ASSESS IMPACT**
Impact and implementation in other jurisdictions

**LEARNINGS**
Developing policies that can be implemented

---

**Research Questions**

- How are population-based resource allocation policies specified and realised?
- What is known about the implementation or impact of population-based resource allocation policies?
- What lessons, if any, may be relevant for healthcare reform in Ireland?
Describing policy content, implementation and impact

Policy documentary analysis
- Policy, strategy and planning documents
- Relevant data extracted
- Narrative summary reviewed by local experts

Narrative rapid review
- Impact, experience and implementation
- Pubmed, Google and Google Scholar
- 2010-2020; in English or Swedish
Towards PBRA in Ireland: Key learnings

Lack of consistency in the terminology

Population Stratification
Population Segmentation
Population Outcome Monitoring
Population-Based Resource Allocation
Population-Based Health Planning
Population Health Approach
Health Needs Assessment
Population Profiling
Population Health Management
Towards PBRA in Ireland: Key learnings

**DEFINE THE RATIONALE**
Must be done at the outset
Common language

**ALIGN WITH POLICY**
Context specific
Range of factors to consider

**STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT**
Trust and shared vision
Multidisciplinary approach

**TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS**
What goes in the model?
Data available

---

What do we want the PBRA model to achieve and why?

How can we facilitate new approaches to collaborative working across disciplines and settings to: 1) define and measure population need; and 2) identify the services and capacity required to meet this need?
Towards PBRA in Ireland: Key learnings

Implementing PBRA

**ADDRESS TENSIONS**
National policies versus local contexts

**SYSTEM DESIGN**
Funding model is not a panacea
All components influence

**AUTONOMY & CAPACITY**
Regions must have ability to appropriately utilise funding

**OTHER ARRANGEMENTS**
Top-slicing and transition
Transfers

To what extent will healthcare be delegated to the Regional Health Areas?
How much autonomy will the RHAs be given?
How will the RHAs be enabled to utilise their funding effectively?
How should Ireland’s PBRA model and policy be monitored, evaluated and refined as needed?
Towards PBRA in Ireland: Recent Developments

Spending Review 2022
Towards Population-Based Funding for Health: Evidence Review & Regional Profiles

Tiago McCarthy, Clara Lindberg & Conor O’Malley

Department of Health
September 2022

© Trinity College Dublin [2022]
Towards PBRA in Ireland: Recent Developments

• Two data sources identified as being most useful and reliable for supporting implementation of the PBRA:
  o The Central Statistics Office’s Census of Population;
  o The Department of Health’s ‘Healthy Ireland’ Surveys

• Presented population profiles of each RHA:
  o Population size, gender, age, health status and utilisation, deprivation, nationality and rurality

• Work is ongoing with regard to estimating relationships between need variables and utilisation/expenditure
Take home messages

- **Consistent terminology needed**
  Supports common understanding of scope and potential impact

- **Rationale provides the vision and roadmap**
  Must be defined at outset through collaborative process; technical considerations also influence

- **PBRA is one component of reform**
  Only enables reform when other components are successfully implemented

- **Oversight is essential**
  Transparent, structured processes guiding all stages
THANK YOU
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Policy analysis of the design and governance of the regional health areas in Ireland, May 2017 – December 2022

Sara Burke, Carlos Bruen, Luisne MacConghail, Sarah Parker, Rikke Siersbaek
Context of the research

From the regions to COVID-19 health system response & back to the regions....

Using governance and design of regional implementation as the policy analysis focus

Informing design and implementation of the regions as well as throwing light on broader Sláintecare reform through a governance lens
Purpose of the regions

The rationale for developing the Regional Health Areas is to improve:

1. Integration of Community and Acute Services
2. Clinical Governance
3. Corporate Governance and Accountability
4. Population-Based Approach to Service Planning
Methods

Building on previous policy analyses & learning from COVID-19 system response

Key informant interviews

Documentary analysis – policies, strategies, media, interviews, Oireachtas Committee

Still a work in progress (research and the regions)...

Situate findings in relevant international literature
Governance

What?

Refers to the “process and institutions through which decisions are made and authority in a country is exercised” World Bank

Why?

A core function for strengthening health systems (and at the heart of weak health systems and reforms)

Governance arrangements a key determinant of effective policy implementation
Analytic Lens – Governance & TAPIC
Design & governance of regional implementation

- **Provision of information/justification on decision-making**
  - Involves explanation and sanction where mandate not met

- **Policy capacity and technical resources to develop and implement policy**
- **Clear allocation of roles and responsibilities, clear formal rules**

- **Governance**
  - **Transparency**
  - **Accountability**
  - **Integrity**
  - **Participation**
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Findings using TAPIC governance framework

Process & institutions through which decisions are made & authority in a country is exercised

**Transparency** Inaccessible, lack of clarity & detail on decisions & specifics on design of the regions

**Accountability** Horizontal, unclear and competing lines with no sanctions

**Participation** V top-down, not inclusive

**Integrity** Low, shifting clarity on roles & responsibilities

**Capacity** across system, yet buying in. Needs to harness & ramp up for design & implementation of the regions

**Critical decisions:** what’s in the centre & in the regions?
Findings in context of broader Sláintecare implementation

So what does our analysis of the policy process of design and governance of regional implementation tell us?

Those responsible for reform are given the authority (and resources) to deliver (giving away power)

Trust in people, staff, stakeholders (ordinary times)

Changing governance architecture, ongoing absence of clarity on roles and responsibilities leads one to question what’s the right governance architecture?

Progress: Slow yet COVID19 health system response, health budget allocation and political priority for UHC

Reform fidelity (waiting list, workforce, entitlements)
2.5 years from now what I would love to see is universal healthcare being a reality... it doesn’t mean it is perfect, it doesn’t mean that no one is waiting for care, but largely we are getting closer and closer to the Sláintecare targets and when children need help, operations, access to therapies, S&L, OT, physios, when adults neds access to cancer services, scans, when people get strokes there is actually a service for them when they leave the hospitals, wouldn’t it be wonderful to be part of a gov and healthcare team that made sure children, women and men in Ireland get the healthcare they need when they need it... I think universal healthcare is one of the most important unfinished projects of our republic...
Thank you & questions
Foundations Research Seminar
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