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## Sources

College Calendar 2012-2013
College Disability Service
ECU Equality in Higher Education report 2012
Global Relations Office
Higher Education Authority (HEA) statistics 2011-2012
Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA), UK
Human Resources Reports (including CORE database and WiSER database).
Mature Students' Office (MSO)
Provost's Office
Recruitment Monitoring reports (Equality Office)
Secretary's Office
Senior Lecturer's Area
Student Records
Trinity Access Programmes (TAP)
WiSER / INTEGER

## Interpretation of the data

The report constitutes a snapshot of the diversity profile and distribution of staff and students in College as of 2012-2013 based on available data. Reports have been completed for the Equality Committee since 2006-2007. The HEA compiles sectoral reports on staff and student profiles and, where relevant, these are referred to.

## Comment of production of statistics

The production of accurate statistical information is hugely important to understand College, to ensure College follows best practice for equality and diversity and to ensure the College population reflects the diversity in the communities surrounding it. This data is collated with input from many stakeholders throughout College who use diverse systems of data management from fully automated reporting tools to spread sheets which must be collated manually. While stakeholders work hard to
ensure statistics are available for the data monitoring report, they report that collation may be inefficient and difficult for a number of reasons including:

- no access to data-specific information on the Student Information System (SITS) by the individual reportees,
- SITS does not currently contain all necessary information for reporting (for example it does not have a disability layer at this time),
- Some statistics have to be produced from stand-alone databases or spread sheets and much of the relevant data on the stand-alone databases or spread sheets has to be copied across individually (record by record) from SITS. This data transfer work consumes huge amounts of time.

This can limit the data available for the report. Furthermore, extensive work on College information systems this year has led to a delay in the production of statistics that would otherwise be included within this report (e.g. WiSER database statistics and student records statistics for the HEA).

## Key findings

## Section 2: Staff profile

## Gender equality

- Section 2.3: There is a persistent gender imbalance in the number of women in senior academic and decision-making positions in College - excluding Board and Council, which have achieved a gender balance. This gender underrepresentation is also apparent in senior administrative grades.
- Section 2.3.3: There are currently 9 female Heads of School out of 24 Schools (3 in 2006-2007).
- Section 2.5.2: The comparative analysis of academic grades from 2007 to 2013 indicates there has been little noticeable variation in the overall proportion of women and men by academic grade in this period. For example the number of women Professors (Chair) has remained in the 12-14\% range. There has been a $10 \%$ jump in the percentage of female professors (nonchair) however ( $26 \%$ in $06 / 07,36 \%$ in $12 / 13$ ).
- Section 2.5.4: In 2011-12 College had a lower proportion of female professors (Chair) (14\%) compared to the HEA average (19\%) but a higher proportion of professors (non-chair) (34.1\% compared to 26\%).
- Section 2.5.6: Research staff: The overall balance of research staff is $50 \%$ women and 50\% men.
- Section 2.6: The overall gender proportion of staff in College is $55 \%$ women and $45 \%$ men. However, gender segregation by occupation continues to be very marked - particularly in support staff areas where, for example, $100 \%$ of nursery staff are female and $99 \%$ of buildings staff are male.
- Section 2.7: The only contract type at which there are more men than women is 'Permanent Full-time'. 29\% of women employed by College, and $45 \%$ of men, have permanent full time contracts. Women are more likely to be employed on a part-time contract and $78 \%$ of part-time staff are female.
- Section 2.8: The impact of the employment control framework, which has restricted any promotions from 2009 to 2012, should be taken into account; in 2012 promotional processes commenced again for academic and administrative grades.
- Section 2.8.1: The overall number of applications for Senior Promotion 2012 was 140 . While $66 \%$ of those applying for promotion were men, this figure is an improvement on the previous data for senior promotions where $78 \%$ of applicants overall were male, and $100 \%$ of those applying for Personal Chair were male (2008 Data Monitoring Report). The overall success rates fell since the 2008 Data Monitoring report from $56 \%$ to $28 \%$.
- Section 2.8.2: The overall number of applications for the Merit Bar in 2012 was slightly lower than the previous year. There were more applications by women (16) reflecting the composition of the eligible pool of candidates which is predominantly female ( $69 \%$ across faculties). The proportion of eligible female candidates who applied increased to 40\% (from 34\%) and the proportion of eligible male candidates who applied decreased from $43 \%$ to 33\%. When analysed by Faculty, there was a significant increase in the application rate for women in Health Sciences (HS), at 42\% of eligible
candidates (24\% in 2010-11). The overall success rate (77\%) fell in 2011-12 from 92\% in 2010-11.
- Section 2.8.3: Ninety-eight people applied for Admin and Librarian promotions 2011-12. 68\% of these were female, and $32 \%$ male (the overall library and admin staff population consists of $64 \%$ female and $36 \%$ male). The male success rate (65\%) was significantly higher than the female (39\%).


## Diversity profile

- Section 2.9: 3.7\% of staff have reported a disability. The figure does not reflect the real number of staff with disabilities and achieving disclosure is still a challenge in this area.
- Section 2.10: The number of applicants completing the Equality Monitoring Form has decreased to 10\% in the last year (a 4\% fall on last year). Equality monitoring is in the process of being mainstreamed into e-recruitment so it is expected the equality monitoring process will improve and provide more complete data in the next report. The profile of applicants continues to be very international with $46.1 \%$ of people reporting that they are non-Irish.
- Other academic roles: there is no consistent data available to profile people who support the College's academic activities as teaching assistants; these are often postgraduate (PG) students or postdoctoral students. The Monitoring Advisory group has identified this group as essential to the College functioning and under-recognized in its role. Teaching assistants, clinical tutors and laboratory demonstrators were grouped as minor teaching-related titles and were not considered by the recent Academic Titles working group.


## Section 3: Students

## Widening participation targets:

- Section 3.3: College set a target for increased participation of students from under-represented groups entering via access routes (students with disabilities, students from socio-economic disadvantaged backgrounds and Mature students) of 22\% by 2013 (see the College Strategic Plan 2009-2014 and College Access Plan 2009-2013). The monitoring report indicates a
continued increase in the proportion of non-traditional students entering via access routes - 19.8\% in 2012 (up from $15 \%$ in 2009) - however there is a need for greater progress in order to achieve the target. The National Access Plan for Equity of Access 2008-2013 target for non-standard entry routes to higher education (HE) is 30\% of all entrants by 2013.
- Section 3.3: In 2012-2013 registered students from non-traditional backgrounds made up 18\% of the whole UG student population (students with disabilities $7.7 \%$, TAP students $6.7 \%$, Mature registered $3.6 \%$ ), an increase on 2011-2012 (16.8\%). This data captures students who access College via one of its alternative admission routes, but does not capture mature students and students from disadvantaged backgrounds who enter College via the standard Central Applications Office (CAO) points.


## Flexible and part-time learning

- Section 3.8: College has a low proportion of part-time students (3.5\% of the undergraduate (UG) student body are part-time) by comparison to the other universities ( $9.3 \%$ ) and the HE sector (12.7\%). Part-time and flexible learning opportunities are essential to promoting life-long learning and increasing access to HE by responding better to the changing needs of diverse learners, particularly students in employment or with caring responsibilities. The HEA target for 2013 is for $17 \%$ of UG students to be enrolled on part-time programmes.


## New Entrants

- Section 3.2.1: HEA survey: The College response rate to the HEA survey on student background (including ethnicity and socio-economic background amongst others) is 90.3\%.
- Section 3.2.1: 82.7\% of College new entrants identify their ethnicity as white Irish (compared to $90.9 \%$ of new entrants across the sector). The amount from other white background is reported to have fallen from $9 \%$ to $0(4.8 \%$ across the sector). In the UK 18.4\% of national students are from black or minority ethnic backgrounds.
- Section 3.2.1: 49.9\% of College new entrants were from an Employer and Managers or Higher Professional background (based on father's occupation). These make up $29.9 \%$ across the HE sector and $36.2 \%$ within the universities.
- Section 3.2.1: 8.4\% of College new entrants respondents declared a disability (233), compared to 6.1\% in Irish HE and 8\% in UK universities.


## Socio-Economic

- Section 3.4: Students from socio-economic disadvantaged backgrounds entering College via the Trinity Access Programme routes make up 6.7\% of the total UG population.


## Disability:

- Section 3.5: There are 1058 students (UG and PG) registered with the College Disability service, representing an $8.6 \%$ increase in students registered from 2011-12 to 2012-2013 with 235 new entrants registered this year. The majority of students registered with the service are in the categories of dyslexia/ dyscalculia/ dysgraphia, mental health and medical disabilities.


## Mature students:

- Section 3.6: 435 students accessed College via the Mature Students Dispensation Scheme (MSDS) making up 3.6\% of the UG student population; the overall number of mature students on age is 904 making up $7.5 \%$ of the UG student population.


## Internationalization

- Section 3.1.3: College's long tradition as an international institution is evident in the high proportion of non-Irish students registered: in 2012-13 there were students from 122 nationalities making up $22 \%$ of the undergraduate and postgraduate student body ( $16 \%$ in 2007-08); this compares to $6.5 \%$ across the Irish Higher Education sector and 17.1\% in the UK (Table 3.1.3 (b) and 3.2.2).


## Gender

- Section 3.1.1: 58.2\% of the total student population in 2011-2012 was female; this compares to $50.6 \%$ in the Irish HE student body and $56.4 \%$ in the UK student body.

Age

- Section 3.1.4: $61 \%$ of the total College student body were aged between 18 and $22,17 \%$ were over 30 , (this is slightly less than the proportion over 30 across the HE sector (21\%). This data cannot be disaggregated into the UG and PG population.


## Student information system

- Currently there is no system in place in College to track the progression of UG students who proceed to PG study. This information would be of particular interest in relation to non-traditional students.


## 1 Introduction

Equality monitoring is the process of collecting, storing and analysing information that is relevant to, and necessary for, the purpose of promoting equality of opportunity between different categories of persons.

This is the Sixth Equality Monitoring Report to be submitted to the Equality Committee by the Equality Officer. The report provides base-line statistics on staff in relation to equality grounds such as gender, age and disability, and provides data on the student profile in relation to gender, age, nationality, and access initiatives. The aim of the report is initially to establish base-line positions and to determine possible inequalities; and secondly, to track developments and the success of different measures. Adequate data is essential to develop evidence-based policy and actions to ensure equality of access and opportunity. In monitoring equality data, College is following best practice as seen in UK third level institutions and Higher Education Authority (HEA) recommendations.

### 1.1 Structure of report

The report is comprised of three sections, an introduction, followed by a profile of the staff and student body, providing mainly statistical information.

The data has been analysed with a focus on the nine equality grounds included in equality legislation and bearing in mind the limitations of available data. The Monitoring Advisory Group reviews and advises on the content of this report, suggesting areas for further development. This report includes comparative data in the Irish sector, amongst others, but there remain many areas where further equality data collection and analysis would be beneficial.

### 1.1.1 Staff section

The staff section of the report provides detailed data tables, with particular regard to gender distribution; seniority and decision-making; the achievement of the 3\% disability employment target, and the recruitment monitoring programme.

The staff gender disaggregated reports look at gender imbalance in seniority levels (vertical distribution) and in different areas or type of contract (horizontal distribution). In relation to gender balance and decision-making it is useful to bear in mind that a 60-40 proportion is often provided as a minimum guideline for representation of both genders in decision-making bodies, although targets and quotas vary in different contexts from one/third minimum representation for the under-represented sex to a 50:50 ratio.

### 1.1.2 Student section

The student section outlines the student body profile in terms of the available College and HEA data (gender, age, course and nationality) and includes more detailed information on student access initiatives and non-traditional groups where available comparative data is included to contextualize the information.

### 1.1.3 Sources and Data Consistency

The staff statistics are mostly based on statistical reports from the personnel database CORE, developed in collaboration with Human Resources (HR). Some reports have been sourced from the WiSER gender indicators database and some data was requested from individuals within HR and the Provost's Office. Comparative statistics were sourced through the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) in the UK and the HEA. The student statistics have been supplied by the HEA, the Trinity Access Programmes (TAP), the Mature Students Officer, Student Records, Senior Lecturer's Area, and the Disability Service.

Unless otherwise stated the staff figures include all monthly and weekly paid staff (permanent, contract, indefinite duration, part-time and temporary) except for casual staff.

Data reliability: There may be small variations in the figures provided depending on the source. Different sources may use data extracted on different dates in the academic year or may categorise staff and students differently (for example some data will include casual staff while other data will not). To ensure clarity data sources
are specified throughout the report and definitions have been included in the appendix.

### 1.1.4 A note on Academic titles

College academic titles were amended in 2012 and this is the first Data Monitoring Report to use the amended titles. Below is a table that gives the previous and current titles for each grade. These changes must be considered when comparing data across Data Monitoring Reports.

Table 1.1.4 Key titles used within this report and the titles they replace

| New Titles used in this report | Title to be replaced |
| :--- | :--- |
| Professor holding established or personal chair <br> (referred to as Professor (Chair) in this report) | Professor |
| Professor <br> (referred to as Professor (non-Chair) in this report | Associate Professor |
| Associate Professor | Senior Lecturer |
| Assistant Professor | Lecturer |

## 2 Staff

### 2.1 Gender ratios

The overall College staff population in January 2013 was 3,873 . Women comprised $55 \%$ of all employees and men $45 \%$. These include full-time and part-time staff, and permanent, contract, temporary and casual staff in all areas (academic, administrative and other support areas). Visiting staff were excluded.

Graph 2.1 Overall staff


Core Report run January 2013 - Gender unknown for 5 members of staff.

### 2.2 Staff according to Age

The age profile of staff is detailed below. Over half (53\%) of all staff are aged 30-50 years.

Table 2.2 Staff Age Profile


| Age range | Male | Female | Unknown | Total | of all <br> staff |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under 20 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 33 | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 3 0}$ | 228 | 287 | 1 | 516 | $13 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1 - 4 0}$ | 490 | 670 | 1 | 1161 | $30 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 5 0}$ | 396 | 488 | 0 | 884 | $23 \%$ |
| 51-60 | 319 | 378 | 0 | 697 | $18 \%$ |
| 61 plus | 159 | 137 | 0 | 296 | $8 \%$ |
| no birth date entered | 132 | 151 | 3 | 286 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 1740 | 2128 | 5 | 3873 |  |

Core Report run January 2013 includes casual staff

### 2.3 Decision-making in College

The following tables outline the participation of women and men in College senior positions and decision-making bodies.

The College management and administrative structure is based on the principle of collegiality. The ownership of the College is vested in the Provost, Fellows and Foundation Scholars who, together with the members of the Board, form the 'body corporate' of the institution. The Board is the governing body and the Council superintends the University's academic business. There is also an extensive Committee and sub-committee structure in College. The Executive Officers group coordinates the development and implementation of the College strategic plan.

Academically College is divided into three faculties, comprising twenty-four schools.

| Faculty | School |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (AHSS) | Business |
|  | Drama, Film and Music |
|  | Education |
|  | English |
|  | Histories and Humanities |
|  | Languages, Literatures and Cultural Studies |
|  | Law |
|  | Linguistic, Speech and Communications Sciences |
|  | Psychology |
|  | Religions, Theology and Ecumenics |
|  | Social Sciences and Philosophy |
|  | Social Work and Social Policy |
| Engineering, Mathematics | Biochemistry and Immunology |
| and Science (EMS) | Chemistry |


|  | School of Computer Science and Statistics |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  | Genetics and Microbiology |
|  | Mathematics |
|  | Natural Sciences |
|  | Physics |
|  | Dental Science |
|  | Medicine |
|  | Nursing and Midwifery |
|  | Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences |

### 2.3.1 Senior Management Positions

Table 2.3.1 indicates the gender distribution of men and women in senior positions such as Annual or Statutory officers, Heads of Administrative Areas and Honorary positions. For a definition of Honorary Positions, Annual / Statutory Officers or the list of Heads of Administrative Functions see Appendix 2 - Definitions.

Table 2.3.1 Gender and senior positions in College

| Senior Positions in College | F | F \% | M | M \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Honorary Positions | 5 | $56 \%$ | 4 | $44 \%$ | 9 |
| Annual/Statutory Officers | 6 | $40 \%$ | 9 | $60 \%$ | 15 |
| Heads of Administrative functions | 4 | $27 \%$ | 11 | $73 \%$ | 15 |
| Total | 15 | $38 \%$ | 24 | $62 \%$ | 39 |

Core Report run January 2013

### 2.3.2 Board, Council and Executive Officers Group

## Board

The Board of College is the governing body responsible for managing the affairs of the College and is the body that ultimately approves all College policies and procedures. The Board has 32 members in 2012-2013 including elected members, ex-officio members, student representatives and those who are 'in attendance', of which $41 \%$ were female.

Table 2.3.2 (a) - Board Membership 2012-13

|  | Female | \% | Male | \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elected member | 5 | $31 \%$ | 11 | $69 \%$ | 16 |
| Ex-officio member | 2 | $40 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ | 5 |
| Student representatives | 1 | $25 \%$ | 3 | $75 \%$ | 4 |
| Appointed external | 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 |
| In attendance | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ | 3 |
| Total | 13 | $41 \%$ | 19 | $59 \%$ | 32 |

Source: Secretary's Office 2013

## Council

The University Council superintends and regulates the academic business of the University (including course and degree structure). It is the body that makes nominations for all academic appointments. Its decisions and nominations are forwarded to Board for confirmation. The University Council has a total of 39 members excluding vacancies (2012-2013); 6 of these are student representatives, 2 are student observers.

Table 2.3.2 (b) Council Membership 2012-2013

|  | Female | \% | Male | \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ex-officio member | 4 | $57 \%$ | 3 | $43 \%$ | 7 |
| Representatives | 5 | $29 \%$ | 12 | $71 \%$ | 17 |
| In attendance | 4 | $80 \%$ | 1 | $20 \%$ | 5 |
| Co-opted members | 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 |
| Student representatives | 2 | $33 \%$ | 4 | $67 \%$ | 6 |
| Student observers (in attendance) | 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 |
| Total | 19 | $49 \%$ | 20 | $51 \%$ | 39 |

Source: Secretary's Office 2013

## Executive Officers Group

The Executive Officers Group represents the College management and Faculty composition. This group is $69 \%$ male and $31 \%$ female.

Table 2.3.2 (c) Executive Officers Membership 2012-2013

|  | Female | Male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provost |  | 1 |
| Faculty Deans | 1 | 2 |
| Dean of Research |  | 1 |
| Chief Operating Officer | 1 |  |
| Chief Academic Officer | 1 |  |
| Treasurer |  | 1 |
| College Secretary |  | 1 |
| Director of HR | 1 | 1 |
| Vice-Provost for Global Affairs |  | 1 |
| Bursar |  | 1 |
| Vice-Provost for Medical Affairs/ Head of School of Medicine | 4 | 9 |
| Total |  |  |
| Soure: Provot' Ofice |  |  |

Source: Provost's Office 2013

### 2.3.3 Gender and Academic Decision-making

Table 2.3.3 charts the gender proportions for Heads of School, Fellows and Deans in 2012-2013. There are 9 female Heads of School (38\%) in 2012-13, an increase on the previous year (8). The proportion of female Fellows has also increased minimally to 23\% (from 22\% in 2012).

Graph and Table 2.3.3 Gender and Senior Academic Staff


| Senior Academic Comparative | Female | F\% | Male | M\% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heads of School | 9 | $38 \%$ | 15 | $63 \%$ | 24 |
| Fellows | 66 | $23 \%$ | 226 | $77 \%$ | 292 |
| Deans | 1 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ | 3 |
| Total | 76 | $24 \%$ | 243 | $76 \%$ | 319 |

Core Report run January 2013

### 2.4 Staff distribution

Table 2.4 summarises the gender distribution of staff in all areas (academic, research, administrative or Library, secretarial, technical and other support staff). While the overall proportion of staff is well balanced between men and women, there are significant differences according to category.

Graph 2.4 (a) Proportion of Staff by Gender and Employment Group


Table and graph 2.4 (b) Gender Overview by type of employment


| Staff Grade Summary Graph | Female | \% F | Male | \% M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Support Staff (2)* | 235 | $85 \%$ | 40 | $15 \%$ | 275 |
| Technical And General | 73 | $35 \%$ | 133 | $65 \%$ | 206 |
| Academic | 424 | $42 \%$ | 578 | $58 \%$ | 1002 |
| Research Staff | 341 | $50 \%$ | 340 | $50 \%$ | 681 |
| Administrative And Library | 405 | $64 \%$ | 231 | $36 \%$ | 636 |
| Support Staff (1)** | 34 | $16 \%$ | 184 | $84 \%$ | 218 |
| Secretarial/Executive Officer | 398 | $93 \%$ | 32 | $7 \%$ | 430 |
| Other / Casual | 224 | $60 \%$ | 205 | $40 \%$ | 429 |
| Total | 2134 | $55 \%$ | 1743 | $45 \%$ | 3877 |

Core Report run January 2013. Key: Support Staff 1 * Buildings and Grounds, Stores and Security. Support Staff 2 ** Catering, Nursery, Housekeeping, and Shop,

### 2.5 Academic staff

Table number 2.5.1 (a) sets out the number of women and men in each academic grade for medical and non-medical staff.

Women comprise $42 \%$ of all academic staff (compared to $43 \%$ within all HEA institutions). The under-representation of women in senior grades has been welldocumented in international research and in previous College reports, most recently in the INTEGER Baseline Data Report (WiSER, TCD, 2013). Currently the proportion of Professors (Chairs) is $14 \%$ (+1\% since 2006-2007). This is lower than the HEA average of $19 \%$. The Equality Officer has prepared a more detailed report on this issue for the Provost (Gender and Promotions Report, 2009 ${ }^{1}$ ) as well as a report regarding progression above the Merit Bar to the Vice Provost/Chief Academic Officer (2010²), which was submitted to Board for consideration. Currently, College is engaged on the FP7 Project 'INTEGER' (see 2.5.8) which has recently published the INTEGER Baseline Report ${ }^{3}$

### 2.5.1 Gender and Academic Grades

Below is the graph of academic staff in all Faculties excluding medical academic staff on consultant and clinical contracts, which are detailed in table 2.5.1 (b).

[^0]Table 2.5.1 (a) Academic Grades


| Grade Description | Female | F \% | Male | M \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professor (Chair) | 12 | $14 \%$ | 75 | $86 \%$ | 87 |
| Professor (non-chair) | 29 | $36 \%$ | 52 | $64 \%$ | 81 |
| Associate Professor | 65 | $38 \%$ | 107 | $62 \%$ | 172 |
| Assistant Professor above bar | 96 | $42 \%$ | 134 | $58 \%$ | 230 |
| Assistant Professor below bar(+ new entrant) | 120 | $53 \%$ | 107 | $47 \%$ | 227 |
| Assistant Professor Part-time | 38 | $36 \%$ | 69 | $64 \%$ | 107 |
| Other | 19 | $90 \%$ | 2 | $10 \%$ | 21 |
| Total | 379 | $41 \%$ | 546 | $59 \%$ | 925 |

Core Report run January 2013. See Definitions in Appendix 2 for definition of academic staff. See
1.1.4 for a note on new academic titles

Table 2.5.1 (b) Medical academic staff by grade

| Grade Description | Female | F \% | Male | M \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professor Consultant | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 6 |
| Professor Consultant Other | 3 | $33 \%$ | 6 | $67 \%$ | 9 |
| Senior Lecturer Consultant | 0 | $0 \%$ | 4 | $100 \%$ | 4 |
| Snr Lect Consultant Other | 6 | $67 \%$ | 3 | $33 \%$ | 9 |
| Lecturer Registrar | 10 | $71 \%$ | 4 | $29 \%$ | 14 |
| Senior Registrar | 2 | $50 \%$ | 2 | $50 \%$ | 4 |
| Specialist Registrar | 6 | $67 \%$ | 3 | $33 \%$ | 9 |
| Nurse Tutor (General) | 15 | $88 \%$ | 2 | $12 \%$ | 17 |
| Senior Occupational Therapist | 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 |
| Part-Time Lecturer Medical |  | $0 \%$ | 2 | $100 \%$ | 2 |
| Total | 45 | $58 \%$ | 32 | $42 \%$ | 77 |

Core Report run January 2013.

Medical academic grades have been included reflecting medical staff employed on a variety of consultant grades; see Appendix 2 for definitions.

### 2.5.2 Comparative academic grades 2007-2013

Table 2.5.2 shows the proportion of women in each academic grade from 2007 to the present (2013). The graph indicates very little variation at most grades, for example the proportion of women Professors (Chair) has remained in the 12-14\% range throughout the period. The Professor (non-Chair) level is an exception, where there has been a $10 \%$ increase in the percentage of females ( $26 \%$ in 2006/07, $36 \%$ in 2012/13).

Table 2.5.2 Gender and Academic grades 2007-2012


Source: Annual Equality Monitoring Reports: 2006/2007, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012.

### 2.5.3 UK and Ireland Comparative Data

Data from the HEA for the seven Irish Universities is presented in table 2.5.3. The HEA has indicated that College had a lower proportion of female Professors (Chair) and a higher proportion of female Professors (non-chair) in 2011-12 when compared with the overall HEA Universities average.

Table 2.5.3 (a) HEA Comparative data on Academic Grades for 2011-12

|  | TCD \% female* | HEA \% female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professor (Chair) | $13 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Professor (non-Chair) | $34 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Associate Professor | $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Assistant Professor | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Other | $65 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Total | $41 \%$ | $43 \%$ |

Source: HEA Facts and Figures 11-12 * Refers to figures for 2011-12 rather than 2012-13.

Data from HESA in the UK is outlined in Table 2.5.3. (b). HESA has indicated the percentage of female professorial (Chair) staff in their 2011-2012 report is $21 \%$, with women making up $45 \%$ of all academic staff.

Table 2.5.3 (b) - UK academic staff by gender and Professor Category (2011/2012)

|  | F | M | T | \% F |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Professor (Chair) | 3790 | 14675 | 18465 | $21 \%$ |
| Academic (non-Chair) | 76985 | 85935 | 162920 | $47 \%$ |
| All Academic | 80775 | 100610 | 181385 | $45 \%$ |

Source 2011-2012 Staff in HE Institutions statistics, HESA

The European Union (EU) Roadmap for Equality has set a $25 \%$ female target for Professorial (Chair) and senior scientific positions ${ }^{4}$.

### 2.5.4 Academic Staff by School

The following tables indicate that the gender distribution of academic staff varies by Faculty and School. In AHSS, School gender ratios range from 25\% female academics in the School of Social Sciences and Philosophy, to 66\% female staff in the School of Linguistics, Speech and Communication Studies (with a total of 42\% female across the faculty). In EMS the proportions of female academic staff are consistently lower and range from $12 \%$ to $28 \%$ (with a total $21 \%$ of female academic staff across the faculty) while in the HS the composition of the School of Nursing and Midwifery is highly feminized at 78\% (faculty total 62\% female).

Data from January 2013 includes all academic staff - on full time, part time, permanent, indefinite and temporary contracts (the only categories excluded are casual and visiting staff). The statistics reflect individual staff members rather than Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) as may be used in other reports.

[^1]2.5.4.1 Faculty of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences:

Graph 2.5.4.1


| AHSS | Female | \%F | Male | \%M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Business | 9 | $31 \%$ | 20 | $69 \%$ | 29 |
| Drama, Film \& Music | 8 | $36 \%$ | 14 | $64 \%$ | 22 |
| Education | 5 | $28 \%$ | 13 | $72 \%$ | 18 |
| English | 13 | $48 \%$ | 14 | $52 \%$ | 27 |
| Histories \& Humanities | 14 | $37 \%$ | 24 | $63 \%$ | 38 |
| Language, Literature \& Cultural Studies | 26 | $51 \%$ | 25 | $49 \%$ | 51 |
| Law | 20 | $49 \%$ | 21 | $51 \%$ | 41 |
| Linguistic Speech \& Communications Science | 19 | $66 \%$ | 10 | $34 \%$ | 29 |
| Psychology | 11 | $34 \%$ | 21 | $66 \%$ | 32 |
| Religions Theology \& Ecumenics | 7 | $47 \%$ | 8 | $53 \%$ | 15 |
| Social Science and Philosophy | 14 | $25 \%$ | 41 | $75 \%$ | 55 |
| Social Work and Social Policy | 12 | $63 \%$ | 7 | $37 \%$ | 19 |
| Total academic staff | 158 | $42 \%$ | 218 | $58 \%$ | 376 |

Core Report run January 2013.

### 2.5.4.2 Faculty of Engineering Mathematics and Science:

Graph 2.5.4.2


| EMS | Female | \% F | Male | \% M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Biochemistry \& Immunology | 6 | $27 \%$ | 16 | $73 \%$ | 22 |
| Chemistry | 4 | $20 \%$ | 16 | $80 \%$ | 20 |
| Computer Science \& Statistics | 25 | $28 \%$ | 64 | $72 \%$ | 89 |
| Engineering | 8 | $13 \%$ | 52 | $87 \%$ | 60 |
| Genetics \& Microbiology | 5 | $20 \%$ | 20 | $80 \%$ | 25 |
| Mathematics | 3 | $12 \%$ | 22 | $88 \%$ | 25 |
| Natural Sciences | 11 | $28 \%$ | 28 | $72 \%$ | 39 |
| Physics | 3 | $12 \%$ | 23 | $88 \%$ | 26 |
| Total academic staff | 65 | $21 \%$ | 241 | $79 \%$ | 306 |

Core Report run January 2013.

### 2.5.4.3 Faculty of Health Sciences

Graph 2.5.4.3


| HS | F | \%F | M | \%M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dental Sciences | 1 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ | 3 |
| Medicine | 98 | $56 \%$ | 78 | $44 \%$ | 176 |
| Pharmacy \& Pharmaceutical Sciences | 11 | $50 \%$ | 11 | $50 \%$ | 22 |
| Nursing \& Midwifery | 65 | $78 \%$ | 18 | $22 \%$ | 83 |
| Total academic staff | 175 | $62 \%$ | 109 | $38 \%$ | 284 |

Core Report run January 2013.

### 2.5.5 Gender and Research Staff

Table 2.5.5 shows the profile of College research staff reflecting an approximate gender balance. Research staff make-up a considerable segment of the College community (there are nearly 700 research staff in different categories). Research students are not included in this table since they are included in the postgraduate (PG) student reports. For definitions related to 'Research' see Appendix 2.

Table 2.5.5 Research staff and students

| Research Staff | Female | \% F | Male | \% M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Research Fellow | 205 | $46 \%$ | 239 | $54 \%$ | 444 |
| Research Assistant | 136 | $57 \%$ | 101 | $43 \%$ | 237 |
| Total | 341 | $50 \%$ | 340 | $50 \%$ | 681 |

Core Report run January 2013.

### 2.5.6 Fellows

Fellows of the College are members of academic staff who have been nominated, deemed to have met the criteria set out in the Statutes, and are elected for Fellowship. Table 2.5.6 indicates little variation in the proportion of women Fellows (22\% in 2011-2012).

Table 2.5.6 (a) Gender and Fellowship

| Fellows | Female | \% | Male | \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junior and Senior Fellows | 62 | $25 \%$ | 185 | $75 \%$ | $248^{*}$ |
| Honorary Fellows | 4 | $9 \%$ | 40 | $91 \%$ | 44 |
| Total | 66 | $23 \%$ | 225 | $77 \%$ | $292^{*}$ |

Core Report run January 2013. *1 Junior Fellow gender unknown

In February 2013 Board sent a request to the Equality Committee to compile a report examining applications for Fellowship to determine whether men and women from each faculty are applying and succeeding proportionately for Fellowship and what is contributing to any gender and / or discipline imbalances detected and how can imbalances be addressed. Initial data collated for this report indicates that consistently fewer women apply for Fellowship than men.

Table 2.5.6 (b) - Junior Fellowship Applicants according to Gender 2004-2012


Provost's Office, March 2013

### 2.5.7 INTEGER

INTEGER (INstitutional Transformation for Effecting Gender Equality in Research) is an FP7-funded project which aims to develop and implement Gender Action Plans to create sustainable Transformational Change that will improve the career progression of women scientific researchers. Transformational Change is a strategic means by which all institutional decision-making considers the impact of decisions on men and women academic and research staff. By embarking on transformational change College has demonstrated a level of gender awareness and the competency to use gender as a resource in creating new knowledge and stimulating innovation through improving the organizational culture.

## Expected Outcomes of INTEGER

Expected outcomes from the INTEGER project include:
a) An increase in the number of women applying for:
i. research positions;
ii. promotion (at each grade level);
iii. top level funding; and
iv. being nominated to decision-making positions.
b) Raised awareness and cultural change through informing all relevant staff (e.g. HR and scientific decision-makers) about the causes of women's underrepresentation.
c) Enhanced profile among the academic stakeholder community, providing role models for peer EU institutions.

INTEGER is working with the Schools of Natural Science, Chemistry and Physics. School Implementation teams have been established in each of the three schools with responsibility for implementing the Transformational Gender Action Plans within EMS. A College Institution Implementation Team has also been established to consider the lessons learnt during from School implementation and how this can be transferred to the institution as a whole.

### 2.6 Administrative and Support Staff

### 2.6.1 Gender: Administrative and Library Staff

The overall breakdown of administrative, library and executive staff is provided in table 2.6.1. The gender breakdown is in line with the HEA average ( $65 \%$ female administrative and library staff - HEA universities).

Table 2.6.1 Gender: Administrative and Library

| Administrative and library | Female | \% | Male | \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Admin total | 313 | $63 \%$ | 184 | $37 \%$ | 497 |
| Library total | 90 | $67 \%$ | 45 | $33 \%$ | 135 |
| Total | 403 | $64 \%$ | 229 | $36 \%$ | 632 |

### 2.6.2 Administrative Grades

The distribution of staff through different administrative grades, from most junior (Admin 3) to most senior (Senior Admin 1) is reflected in table 2.6.2

Table 2.6.2 Administrative Grades


| Administrative Grades | Female | \% F | Male | \% M |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Admin 3 | 76 | $72 \%$ | 30 | $28 \%$ |
| Admin 2 | 96 | $62 \%$ | 59 | $38 \%$ |
| Admin 1 | 120 | $66 \%$ | 62 | $34 \%$ |
| Senior Admin 3 | 18 | $49 \%$ | 19 | $51 \%$ |
| Senior Admin 2 | 1 | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ |
| Senior Admin 1 | 2 | $20 \%$ | 8 | $80 \%$ |
| Total: | 313 | $63 \%$ | 184 | $37 \%$ |

Report run January 2013

The graph provides a similar outline to that included in the 2006-2007 and later reports with the step between Admin 1 and Senior Admin 3 proving to be the inflexion point between grades that are predominantly female and senior grades which are predominantly male.

### 2.6.3 Other Support Staff

Support Staff 1 comprises the Buildings Office, Grounds, Stores and Security staff, which are all areas employing mainly male staff. Staff distribution reflects classical occupational segregation. Figures include weekly and monthly paid staff.

Table 2.6.3 (a) Support Staff 1 (Buildings and Grounds, Security, Stores)

|  | Female | \%F | Male | \%M | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Buildings and Grounds | 1 | $1 \%$ | 71 | $99 \%$ | 72 |
| Security and Guards | 32 | $24 \%$ | 104 | $76 \%$ | 136 |
| Stores | 1 | $10 \%$ | 9 | $90 \%$ | 10 |
| Total | 34 | $16 \%$ | 184 | $84 \%$ | 218 |

Report run January 2013

Support Staff 2 comprises Catering, Housekeeping, Shop and Nursery staff, which are all areas employing mainly female staff.

Table 2.6.3 (b) Support staff 2 (Nursery, Catering, Housekeeping and Shop)

|  | Female | \%F | Male | \%M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nursery | 19 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 19 |
| Catering | 41 | $75 \%$ | 14 | $25 \%$ | 55 |
| Housekeeping | 173 | $89 \%$ | 22 | $11 \%$ | 195 |
| Shop | 2 | $33 \%$ | 4 | $67 \%$ | 6 |
| Total | 235 | $85 \%$ | 40 | $15 \%$ | 275 |

Report run January 2013.

### 2.6.4 Library

This table provides data on the distribution of Library staff throughout the different employment grades. Library staff are predominantly female (67\%) throughout all grades.

Table 2.6.4 (a) Library overview

| Library Staff | Female | \% | Male | \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Library total | 90 | $67 \%$ | 45 | $33 \%$ | 135 |

Report run January 2013.

Table 2.6.4 (b) Library Grades


| Grade Description | Female | F\% | Male | M\% | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Library Keeper | 2 | $50 \%$ | 2 | $50 \%$ | 4 |
| Sub Librarian | 5 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5 |
| Assistant Librarian 1 | 15 | $60 \%$ | 10 | $40 \%$ | 25 |
| Assistant Librarian 2 | 8 | $67 \%$ | 4 | $33 \%$ | 12 |
| Higher Library Assistant (Exec 1) | 7 | $58 \%$ | 5 | $42 \%$ | 12 |
| Higher Library Assistant (Exec 2) | 11 | $69 \%$ | 5 | $31 \%$ | 16 |
| Higher Library Assistant (Exec 3) | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $67 \%$ | 13 | $33 \%$ | 39 |
| Library Assistant | 16 | $73 \%$ | 6 | $27 \%$ | 22 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $67 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $33 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ |

Report run January 2013

### 2.7 Staff by type of contract

The following tables and graphs detail the distribution of staff in relation to the type of contract and provide a more detailed breakdown of part-time staff. These figures refer to all areas within College, and to staff at all grades.

The types of contract are: permanent, indefinite duration, contract (fixed-term), temporary, casual, job-share and buy-back (for employees who are retired). Figures reflect the number of staff on $30^{\text {th }}$ January 2013.

There is slightly more female staff in College (55\%) and this is reflected in the proportion of staff on temporary, fixed term and indefinite duration contracts.

However more men than women are employed on a 'Permanent Full-time' basis. In College $29 \%$ of women and $45 \%$ of men have permanent full time contracts.

Table 2.7 Staff distribution by type of contract


| Contract Distribution | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | \% | Unknown | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buy Back | 9 | $36 \%$ | 16 | $64 \%$ |  | 25 |
| Permanent Fulltime | 613 | $44 \%$ | 776 | $56 \%$ |  | 1389 |
| Permanent Part-time | 291 | $89 \%$ | 36 | $11 \%$ |  | 327 |
| Indefinite Contract Fulltime | 221 | $58 \%$ | 158 | $42 \%$ |  | 379 |
| Indefinite Contract Part-time | 99 | $72 \%$ | 39 | $28 \%$ |  | 138 |
| Contract Fulltime | 428 | $54 \%$ | 370 | $46 \%$ | 1 | 799 |
| Contract Part-time | 100 | $68 \%$ | 48 | $32 \%$ |  | 148 |
| Temporary Full-time | 88 | $55 \%$ | 71 | $45 \%$ |  | 159 |
| Temporary Part-time | 86 | $67 \%$ | 43 | $33 \%$ |  | 129 |
| Casual | 192 | $51 \%$ | 181 | $48 \%$ | 4 | 377 |
| Other | 1 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ |  | 3 |
| Total | 2128 | $55 \%$ | 1740 | $45 \%$ | 5 | 3873 |

Report run January 2013

### 2.7.1 Part-time staff

Table 2.7.1 analyses the profile of part time staff across different contract types. In all part-time categories women outnumber men, particularly in the case of permanent part-time staff.

Table 2.7.1 Part-Time Contract Distribution

| Employee Status | Female | \% F | Male | \% M | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contract PT | 100 | $68 \%$ | 48 | $32 \%$ | 148 |
| Indefinite PT | 99 | $72 \%$ | 39 | $28 \%$ | 138 |
| Permanent PT | 291 | $89 \%$ | 36 | $11 \%$ | 327 |
| Temporary PT | 86 | $67 \%$ | 43 | $33 \%$ | 129 |
| Total | 576 | $78 \%$ | 166 | $22 \%$ | 742 |

Report run January 2013

The overall proportion of part-time staff is $78 \%$ female, $22 \%$ male.

### 2.8 Promotions and Progression

### 2.8.1 Senior Academic Promotions and Gender

Due to the Employment Control Framework embargo on recruitment and promotion there were no Senior Promotions in 2009/2010 or 2010/2011 (As the promotions process had commenced in November 2008 for the 2008/2009 process it was concluded in 2009). Promotions re-commenced in February 2012.

In 2012 the Senior Promotions Committee conducted the review of applications for promotion to the grade of Associate Professor, Professor and for the award of Personal Chairs. It also assessed applications for accelerated advancement in the Associate Professor grade. In February 2012, the Secretary of the Senior Promotions Committee lodged advertisements in Listings and on the College web notice board calling for applications. Usually this would occur during Michaelmas Term. Candidates and Heads of Discipline were referred to the Application form for completion. During Michaelmas Term 2012, members of the Senior Promotions Committee interviewed all candidates shortlisted for Promotion (usually this would occur in Trinity Term).

Table 2.8.1(a) outlines the numbers of applicants for senior promotion, and the number of successes, by gender and grade. Table 2.8.1 (b) gives the success rates by gender and grade. These tables show that men are both more likely to apply for Personal Chair and more like to succeed in their application.

Table 2.8.1 (a) Applicants to Senior Promotions by Gender 2012

| Senior Promotions 2012 | M | \%M | F | \%F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applied |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Chair | 16 | $64 \%$ | 9 | $36 \%$ |
| Professor (non-chair) | 24 | $59 \%$ | 17 | $41 \%$ |
| Associate Professor | 53 | $72 \%$ | 21 | $28 \%$ |
| Total Applied | 93 | $66 \%$ | 47 | $34 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Successful |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Chair | 4 | $80 \%$ | 1 | $20 \%$ |
| Professor (non-chair) | 5 | $56 \%$ | 4 | $44 \%$ |
| Associate Professor | 18 | $72 \%$ | 7 | $28 \%$ |
| Total Successful | 27 | $69 \%$ | 12 | $31 \%$ |

Source: HR

While $66 \%$ of those applying for promotion were men, this figure represents a fall from $78 \%$ of applicants overall who were male in 2008. In $2008100 \%$ of those applying for Personal Chair were male ( 2008 Data Monitoring Report) compared to 64\% in 2012.

Table 2.8.1 (b) Successes Rates for Senior Promotion by Gender and Grade 2012

|  | M | Success rate M | F | Success rate F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Personal Chair | 4 | $25 \%$ | 1 | $11 \%$ |
| Professor | 5 | $21 \%$ | 4 | $24 \%$ |
| Associate Professor | 18 | $34 \%$ | 7 | $33 \%$ |
| Total | 27 | $29 \%$ | 12 | $26 \%$ |

Source: HR

The overall success rates have fallen since 2008 from $56 \%$ to $28 \%$. The overall female success rate was slightly lower than the male rate ( $26 \%$ compared to $29 \%$ ).

### 2.8.1.1 Senior Academic Promotions by Faculty and Gender

Applications for Associate Professor were gender balanced across AHSS and HS while 90\% of applicants in EMS were male (See appendix 3 for current faculty breakdowns by grade and gender). Applicants from all faculties had similar success rates (approximately one the three were successful).

Table 2.8.1.1 (a) Applicants by Faculty for Associate Professor 2012

| By Faculty | M | \% <br> Male | F | \% <br> Female | T | \% <br> successful |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AHSS | 15 | $60 \%$ | 10 | $40 \%$ | 25 | $32 \%$ |
| EMS | 28 | $90 \%$ | 3 | $10 \%$ | 31 | $36 \%$ |
| HS | 10 | $56 \%$ | 8 | $44 \%$ | 18 | $33 \%$ |
| Total | 53 | $72 \%$ | 21 | $28 \%$ | 74 | $34 \%$ |

Source: HR

Table 2.8.1.1 (b) Applicants by Faculty for Professor 2012

| Faculty | $\mathbf{M}$ | \% <br> Male | F | \% <br> Female | T | \% <br> successful |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AHSS | 8 | $57 \%$ | 6 | $43 \%$ | 14 | $21 \%$ |
| EMS | 14 | $70 \%$ | 6 | $30 \%$ | 20 | $20 \%$ |
| HS | 2 | $29 \%$ | 5 | $71 \%$ | 7 | $29 \%$ |
| Total | 24 | $72 \%$ | 17 | $28 \%$ | 41 | $22 \%$ |

Source: HR

Applications for Professor were gender balanced in AHSS. More men applied from EMS ( $70 \%$ of applicants from EMS were male) and more women applied from HS (71\% of those in HS were female). (See appendix 3 for current faculty breakdowns by grade and gender)

Table 2.8.1.1 (c) Applicants by Faculty for Personal Chair 2012

| Faculty | $\mathbf{M}$ | \% <br> Male | $\mathbf{F}$ | \% <br> Female | $\mathbf{T}$ | \% <br> successful |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AHSS | 4 | $57 \%$ | 3 | $43 \%$ | 7 | $29 \%$ |
| EMS | 10 | $77 \%$ | 3 | $23 \%$ | 13 | $15 \%$ |
| HS | 2 | $40 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| Total | 16 | $72 \%$ | 9 | $28 \%$ | 25 | $20 \%$ |

Source: HR

Applications for Personal Chair were gender balanced across AHSS and HS while 77\% of applicants in EMS were male (See appendix 3 for current faculty breakdowns by grade and gender). Success rates varied across faculties with $29 \%$ of AHSS applicants succeeding compared to $15 \%$ of EMS applicants

### 2.8.1.2 Senior Promotions and Discipline

Staff in EMS applied for senior promotions in greater numbers than other faculties (see table 2.8.1.2). Nearly half of all applicants for Senior Promotion originated in that faculty while only $32 \%$ of all academic staff are based there (39\% of staff are based in AHSS and 29\% in HS).

Table 2.8.1.2 Promotion Applicants according to Faculty 2012

| Applicants by Faculty | Ass Prof |  | Prof |  | Chair | \% |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \%HSS | 25 | $34 \%$ | 14 | $34 \%$ | 7 | $28 \%$ | 46 | $33 \%$ |
| EMS | 31 | $42 \%$ | 20 | $49 \%$ | 13 | $52 \%$ | 64 | $46 \%$ |
| HS | 18 | $24 \%$ | 7 | $17 \%$ | 5 | $20 \%$ | 30 | $21 \%$ |

Source: HR

### 2.8.2 Junior Promotions and the Merit Bar

The Junior Academic Progression Committee (JAPC) conducts the review of Assistant Professors at or approaching the Merit Bar on the Assistant Professor scale annually. Advancement beyond the Merit Bar is not regarded as "promotion" since it is advancement along the same grade pay scale. The transition beyond the Merit Bar occurs between the 12th and the 13th point of the scale ( $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ for new entrants). Assistant Professors eligible for review in any year are contacted in writing by the Secretary to the JAPC, copied to Head of Discipline and Head of School, providing information on the application process. Candidates and Heads are referred to the Review Procedures for Academic Staff which are available on the Staff Office website and which provide detailed guidance on the requirements, criteria and application procedure for review at the Merit Bar, including definitions of: 'research', 'teaching', 'service to College' and 'Service to discipline or Community'.

## Merit Bar 2011-2012 statistics

Table 2.8.2 (a) outlines the numbers of eligible staff for review by category, the numbers of staff who applied, application and success rates. In 2011-12 the application rate from females rose to $40 \%$ while the male rate fell to $33 \%$ meaning a higher proportion of females applied. Overall there were more applications by women (16) reflecting the composition of the eligible pool of candidates which is predominantly female ( $69 \%$ across faculties). It should be noted that the overall number of Assistant Professors applying for review was lower in 2011-12 (22) in comparison to 2010-2011 (26) which, in turn, was lower than 2009-10. This can be accounted for in part by the Employment Control Framework, which ensures that new lecturers enter College on the lowest point on the scales, meaning it takes longer to become eligible for progression beyond the merit bar.

Tables 2.8.2 (b-d), analyses the Merit Bar data by Faculty. In 2011-12 the number of eligible women Assistant Professors applying for review was higher than their male counterparts across Faculties - overall the female application rate was $40 \%$ by comparison to $33 \%$. The number of eligible candidates (male and female) and the application rates vary greatly by Faculty - the highest average application rate is in

AHSS, $47 \%$, while the application rate to EMS was $18 \%$. The application rate in HS rose from $26 \%$ in 2010-11 to $40 \%$ in 2011-12 ( $42 \%$ women and $32 \%$ men). This would indicate that the issues identified in the 2009 Merit Bar report are being addressed and fewer women Assistant Professors in HS are deferring review.

Table 2.8.2 (a) Merit Bar 2011-2012 by category

| Merit Bar 2011/12 | M | F |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Eligible in 2011/12 |  |  |
| Assistant Professors of first eligibility | 6 | 9 |
| Assistant Professors held at Merit Bar | $\mathbf{7}$ | 6 |
| Assistant Professors declined review on one or more occasions | 5 | 25 |
| TOTAL ELIGIBLE | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Applied in 2011/12 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Applied \% of eligible (m or f) | $33 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Successful | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Success rate \% (of applied) | $83 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| \% (of eligible) | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ |

Source: Secretary to the JAP Committee

Table 2.8.2 (b) Merit Bar 2011-2012 Eligible by Faculty

| Merit Bar 2011/12 Eligible by Faculty | m | $\mathbf{f}$ | T | \% F | \% all eligible |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AHSS | 7 | 10 | 17 | $59 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| EMS | 5 | 6 | 11 | $55 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| HS | 6 | 24 | 30 | $80 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Total | 18 | 40 | 58 | $69 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: Secretary to the JAP Committee

Table 2.8.3 (c) Merit Bar 2011-2012 Applied by Faculty

| Merit Bar 2011/12 Applications by <br> Faculty | $\mathbf{m}$ | f applic <br> rate | M applic <br> rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| AHSS | 3 | 5 | 8 | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| EMS | 1 | 1 | 2 | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| HS | 2 | 10 | 12 | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Total | 6 | 16 | 22 | $40 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

Source: Secretary to the JAP Committee

Table 2.8.4 (d) Merit Bar 2011-2012 Successful

| Merit Bar 2011/12 <br> Successful | M | F | T | \% F | Succ <br> rate F | Succ <br> rate M | F Prog <br> rate | M Prog <br> rate |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 5 | 12 | 17 | $71 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ |

Source: Secretary to the JAP Committee

The overall success rate (77\%) fell in 2011-12 from 92\% in 2010-11.

## Merit Bar progression Report 2009

A gender analysis of the Merit Bar was carried out in 2009 at the request of the Vice Provost in the context of previous reports investigating the barriers to women's career progression and women's under-representation in senior academic grades. This analysis identified the Merit Bar as the inflexion point in women's career progression in College.

The analysis of Merit bar data for the period 2006-2009 showed that eligible women were applying for review in a lower proportion to their male counterparts. In 2009 male assistant professors eligible for review were twice as likely to apply for review as their female counterparts. This lower rate of application contributes to the apparent gender imbalance in Associate Professors above the Bar. The report found great variations in the application rate by Faculty with the largest cohort of staff deferring review occurring in the HS (School of Nursing and Midwifery and School of Medicine); this cohort is predominantly female.

## Reasons for deferring review

A further qualitative report was completed examining the reasons for this deferral. The key reason reported for not applying for review at the Bar was not being sufficiently research active or not being registered for a PhD. The report indicated a widespread perception amongst respondents that teaching was not valued as much as research and that the clinical element of the discipline was insufficiently understood. Several respondents cited difficulty getting on the research ladder and accessing the research supports in place in their School, particularly after maternity leave. A majority of respondents highlighted the lack of career guidance and discussion with their Head of Discipline/School regarding progression above the

Merit bar. Respondents from some disciplines highlighted the newcomer status of their disciplines and the consequent lack of academic tradition and scarcity of senior academic role models as determining factors; other respondents reported high teaching and administrative loads.

The Gender analysis of the Merit Bar report was considered by three College Committees: the Junior Academic Progression Committee, the HR Committee and the Equality Committee. A joint proposal from the HR and Equality Committee was submitted to Board for consideration.

A working group was established on foot of this report in 2011. The group produced a report that was approved by Board and Council in 2012. An implementation plan is currently under preparation.

### 2.8.3 Non-Academic Promotions

## Admin and Librarian Promotions

Ninety-eight people applied for Admin and Librarian promotions 2011-12. 68\% of these were female, and $32 \%$ male (the overall library and admin staff population consists of $64 \%$ female and $36 \%$ male).

The male success rate (65\%) was significantly higher than the female (39\%).

Table: 2.8.3. (a) 2011/2012 Admin \& Librarian Promotion

| Admin and Librarian Promotions 2011/12 | M | F |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Applied in 2011/12 |  |  |
| Promotions to and within Senior Admin | 3 | 5 |
| Promotions to and within Admin 3-1 | 25 | 56 |
| Promotions in Library grades | 3 | 6 |
| Total applied | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| Applied \% m or f | $32 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Successful | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Success rate \% (of applied) | $65 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |

## Technical Staff Promotions

Twenty-three people applied for Technical Staff Promotions 2011-12. 43\% of these were female, and 57\% male (the overall technical staff population consists of 35\% female and 65\% male).

The male success rate (31\%) was slightly lower than the female (40\%).

Table: 2.8.3. (b) 2011/2012 Technical Staff Promotions

| Technical Promotions 2011/12 | M | F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Applied in 2011/12 | 13 | 10 |
| Applied \% m or f | $57 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Successful | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Success rate \% (of applied) | $31 \%$ | $40 \%$ |

## Library Assistants Promotions

Eleven people applied for Library Assistant Promotions 2011-12. 73\% of these were female, and 27\% male (overall 67\% of library assistants are female and 33\% male).

All applicants succeeded in obtaining promotion.

Table: 2.8.3. (b) 2011/2012 Library Staff Promotions (non-Librarian)

| Library Promotions 2011/12 | M | F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Applied in 2011/12 | 3 | 8 |
| Applied \% m or f | $27 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Successful | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Success rate \% (of applied) | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

### 2.9 Disability - 3\% Employment Target

As a public sector body the College is required to reach a minimum target for at least $3 \%$ of its staff to be people with disabilities and to report annually on the achievement of the target.

The return report to the Department of Education and Science Monitoring Committee for December 2012 indicated that 125 staff had declared a disability as per the Disability Act definition, representing 3.7\% of all staff.

| 2012 report | Number of staff reporting a disability | \% of staff population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TCD | 125 | $3.7 \%$ |

The return rate for staff completing the initial census form in 2009 was $32.3 \%$. In subsequent years the figures have been updated with new staff joining College and there have been information campaigns encouraging participation. Despite these efforts College is far from achieving a $100 \%$ response and for this reason the data is not fully accurate of the number of staff in College with disabilities. Disclosure of a disability remains a challenging issue, as has been documented by extensive research in the UK. The UK average disclosure rate in the university sector was 2.34\% (2004). The College continues to work to encourage disclosure and participation in the disability census and to promote a positive environment for staff with disabilities. The College has established supports for staff with disabilities which are outlined in the Code of Practice Applying to the Employment of Staff with Disabilities.

### 2.10 Recruitment monitoring

Recruitment equality monitoring data has been collected anonymously since January 2008. Employment applicants are requested to fill in an anonymous online monitoring form that collects data on all of the nine equality grounds. This monitoring system was developed by the Equality Officer with the assistance of IS Services and HR at the request of the Equality Committee. The recruitment monitoring module is located on the equality website and the database and statistical reports are managed by the Equality Officer. HR and the Equality Officer are currently integrating equality monitoring into the online e-recruitment system and is due to go live soon. This will enable improved data collection and the tracking of recruitment outcomes, although there will be some changes to the reporting categories.

In the period $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2011 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2012525 applicants had completed the monitoring form. It should be noted that the response rate to the Equality Monitoring Form is decreasing and in 2011-12 decreased to a low of $10 \%$ ( $14 \%$ in the
previous year, and 24\% in 2009-10) for reasons unknown. This questions the accuracy of the results and it is hoped that the new integrated monitoring system will help to improve the response rate and accuracy of the data. The overall number of applicants has fluctuated since 2007, taking into account the Employment Control Framework that limited College's recruitment in previous years. There were 5,922 applicants in 2007-2008, 2,399 in 2009-2010, and more recently 5106 in 2011-2012. It should also be noted that not all applications in College are processed through the Recruitment Office. In particular, research staff are generally recruited directly by the Principal Investigator.

Table 2.10.1 Overview 1st Oct 2011- 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Sept 2012

| Total number of vacancies | 236 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total number of applications received (SO Recruitment data) | 5106 |
| Total number of applicants who completed the recruitment monitoring form | 525 |
| Response rate | $10 \%$ |

The profile of applicant respondents shown in table 2.10.2 continues to be very international: Irish applicants make up just over half of respondents while the proportion of Non-EU applicant respondents is $22 \%$.

The following tables provide us with information regarding religion, family status and other equality protected grounds. $0.6 \%$ of applicants reported membership of the Travelling Community.

Table 2.10.2


| Irish/EU/Non-EU | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| EU | $24.6 \%$ | 129 |
| Irish | $52.2 \%$ | 274 |
| No Response | $1.7 \%$ | 9 |
| Non EU | $21.5 \%$ | 113 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonEth

Table 2.10.3 Religion


| Religion | \% | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Christian | $55.6 \%$ | 292 |
| Hindu | $5.5 \%$ | 29 |
| Jewish | $1.0 \%$ | 5 |
| Muslim | $3.0 \%$ | 16 |
| No Response | $4.4 \%$ | 23 |
| None | $26.7 \%$ | 140 |
| Other(Blank) | $0.8 \%$ | 4 |
| Other(User Defined) | $3.0 \%$ | 16 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonEth

Table 2.10.4 Age

| Age Bracket | \% | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 9}$ | $20 \%$ | 103 |
| $30-39$ | $43 \%$ | 226 |
| $40-49$ | $22 \%$ | 114 |
| $50-59$ | $12 \%$ | 65 |
| $60+$ | $1 \%$ | 5 |
| No Response | $2 \%$ | 12 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

[^2]Table 2.10.5 Family Status

| Family Status | \% | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caring for children | $28.2 \%$ | 148 |
| Caring for other family members | $3.2 \%$ | 17 |
| No Response | $2.3 \%$ | 12 |
| No caring responsibilities | $65 \%$ | 341 |
| Other(User Defined) | $1.3 \%$ | 7 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonPer
Table 2.10.6 Civil Status

| Civil Status | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Divorced | $4.2 \%$ | 22 |
| Living with Partner | $11 \%$ | 58 |
| Married | $39 \%$ | 205 |
| No Response | $1.1 \%$ | 6 |
| Separated | $3 \%$ | 16 |
| Single | 40.2 | 211 |
| Widowed | 0.4 | 2 |
| Other (user defined) | $1 \%$ | 5 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonPer

Table 2.10.7 - Sexual Orientation

| Sexual Orientation | \% | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bisexual | $3 \%$ | 14 |
| Gay/Lesbian | $6 \%$ | 31 |
| Heterosexual | $86 \%$ | 453 |
| No Response | $3 \%$ | 18 |
| Other(Blank) | 0.2 | 1 |
| Other(User Defined) | $2 \%$ | 8 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonPer

Table 2.10.8 Disability

| Disability | \% | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $96.8 \%$ | 508 |
| Yes | $2.3 \%$ | 12 |
| No Response | $1 \%$ | 5 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonDis

Table 2.10.9 Gender

| Gender: | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | $46.5 \%$ | 244 |
| Male | $52.4 \%$ | 275 |
| No Response | $1.1 \%$ | 6 |
| Total: | $100 \%$ | 525 |

Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonPer

It is noteworthy that within the overall data collected by the Recruitment Office for their annual report there were 2350 (46\%) male and 2756 (54\%) female applicants during 2011-12. However 52.4\% of respondents to the equality monitoring survey were men. This indicates that a higher proportion of those who completed the equality monitoring survey than men.

Table 2.10.10 Applicants by Area and Gender


| Applicants by Grade and Gender | Female | \% F | Male | \% M | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No response | 2 | $20 \%$ | 2 | $20 \%$ | $10^{*}$ |
| Technical | 9 | $38 \%$ | 15 | $63 \%$ | 24 |
| Academic | 74 | $36 \%$ | 131 | $64 \%$ | 205 |
| Support Staff 2 (Cater, Accom, Hsekeeping) | 3 | $50 \%$ | 3 | $50 \%$ | 6 |
| Academic Medical | 4 | $40 \%$ | 6 | $60 \%$ | 10 |
| Academic Research | 9 | $45 \%$ | 11 | $55 \%$ | 20 |
| Support Staff 1 (Grounds, Buildings) | 0 | $0 \%$ | 43 | $100 \%$ | 43 |
| Support Staff Other | 3 | $50 \%$ | 3 | $50 \%$ | 6 |
| Other(Blank) | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 |
| Library | 18 | $75 \%$ | 6 | $25 \%$ | 24 |
| Administrative | 91 | $71 \%$ | 37 | $29 \%$ | 128 |
| Other(User Defined) | 12 | $50 \%$ | 12 | $50 \%$ | 24 |
| Secretarial/Executive Officer | 17 | $77 \%$ | 5 | $23 \%$ | 22 |
| Security | 1 | $50 \%$ | 1 | $50 \%$ | 2 |


| Total | 244 | $46 \%$ | 275 | $52 \%$ | 525 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

* 6 gender unknown. Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonAre


## 3 Students

An outline of the general student body is provided (gender, course, nationality and age) as well as more detailed information in relation to non-traditional student categories. The changing gender profile of the student population has been noted for some time, with female students making up the majority of undergraduate (UG) and postgraduate (PG) students and $58 \%$ of the total student population, although proportions vary greatly across disciplines. College has a long tradition as an international institution and this is reflected in the student nationality profile.

The College Access and Equality Policy and the College's Access Strategy outline the College's commitment to promoting greater access for students from non-traditional backgrounds. In particular in the College's Strategic Plan 2009-2014 the College commits itself to achieving a $22 \%$ intake of students from non-traditional backgrounds in UG courses. The College provides students from non-traditional backgrounds with supports to avail of a third-level education and equality of opportunity, including a number of programmes under TAP, the Mature Student Dispensation Scheme (MSDS) and the Disability Service. The Trinity Inclusive Curriculum (TIC) resources aim to support the mainstreaming of inclusive teaching and learning practices in College.

## Comparative sectoral data

The HEA published the report Key Facts and Figures (2011-2012) and, where available, comparable data on gender and nationality for the Irish university sector is included alongside the College statistics.

Data on the socio-economic background, ethnicity, disability and mature student status of students entering Higher Education (HE) is available from the HEA based on a new entrants' survey implemented since 2007.

The UK Equality Challenge Unit (ECU) has published the Equality in Higher Education Report 2012 which examines staff and student data in relation to gender, age,
ethnicity and disability (2010-2011 cohort), these figures are also included where relevant.

## Sources

The data included in this section has been provided by the Senior Lecturer's Area, TAP, the Mature Students Officer, the Disability Service, the HEA and the ECU.

### 3.1 Student Population

In total, there were 16, 860 registered students in 2011/12 as per data collated by the Senior Lecturer's Area ( 16,747 in 2010/2011) with $58 \%$ of the student population female and 42\% male (in 2010/2011 59 female). 11,997 students were registered on UG programmes, and 4,863 (29\%), on PG programmes.

### 3.1.1 Gender breakdown of student population 2011/12

Table 3.1.1 (a) Student population by category and gender


| Category/gender | Total | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UG Male | 4876 | $29 \%$ |
| UG Female | 7121 | $42 \%$ |
| PG Female | 2733 | $16 \%$ |
| PG Male | 2130 | $13 \%$ |
| Total | 16860 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Senior Lecturer's Area

The total student population (UG and PG) of 58\% Female; 42\% Male compares to $56.4 \%$ female in the UK student body and $50.6 \%$ in the Irish HE sector student body (UG 50.4\% female, PG 52.8\%).

Table 3.1.1 (b) Comparative by category and gender

|  | TCD f \% | HEA f \% | UK f \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UG | $59.2 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| PG | $55.9 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ |
| Total | $58.2 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ |

Source HEA and ECU

### 3.1.2 Students by category 2011/12

## \% of students by category 11/12



| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | \% of total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FT UG Degree | 10,990 | $65 \%$ |
| FT UG Dip/Cert/Occas | 437 | $3 \%$ |
| PT UG Degree/Dip/Cert/Occas | 570 | $3 \%$ |
| FT PG Degree | 2,975 | $18 \%$ |
| FT PG Dip/Cert/Occas | 241 | $1 \%$ |
| PT PG Degree/Dip/Cert/Occas | 1,647 | $10 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 16,860 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Senior Lecturer's Area

### 3.1.3 Geographical distribution of student population 2011/12

76\% of UG and PG students were from the Republic of Ireland, $12 \%$ from other EU countries, $6 \%$ from North and Central America, 6\% from other parts of the world and $2 \%$ from Northern Ireland. There are students of 122 nationalities, with non-Irish students making up $22 \%$ of the student body. This compares to $17 \%$ of the UK student body and 7\% of the full-time Irish HE student body (Sources ECU and HEA). The College data on geographical distribution derives from student nationality.

Table 3.1.3 (a) Geographical distribution of student population 2011-12


| Nationality | Number of Students | \% Distribution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Republic of Ireland | 12,824 | $76.1 \%$ |
| Northern Ireland | 326 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Europe (EU) | 1,941 | $11.5 \%$ |
| North \& Central America | 756 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Other | 1013 | $6 \%$ |
| TOTAL: | 16,860 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Senior Lecturer's Area

Table 3.1.3 (b) TCD HEA geographical comparative 11/12

| Origin | \% Distribution TCD | HEA \%* |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Ireland (Republic and North) | $78 . \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| Europe (EU) | $11.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Non-EU | $10.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL: | $100.0 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |

Source: HEA report. *Refers to full time students only.

The HEA has noted a significant decline of $19.8 \%$ in full-time enrolments from the EU states in Irish universities in 11/12. College has a significantly larger proportion of students from EU states when compared to the HEA average.

## Global Relations Data

Based upon 2011/12 figures currently non-EU students account for approximately 7\% of the total student body (headcount 1,233, data from Global Relations ). A geographical breakdown of non-EU students (with non-EU addresses) and by faculty is outlined in the following tables.

Table 3.1.3 (c) A geographical breakdown of students with non-EU addresses

| Country | Total | UG | PG | Percentage of total Int pop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| USA | 534 | 349 | 185 | $43 \%$ |
| Canada | 151 | 109 | 42 | $12 \%$ |
| Malaysia | 97 | 89 | 8 | $8 \%$ |
| China | 62 | 13 | 49 | $5 \%$ |
| India | 42 | 7 | 35 | $3 \%$ |
| Russian Federation | 36 | 28 | 8 | $3 \%$ |
| Australia | 21 | 13 | 8 | $2 \%$ |
| Singapore | 21 | 20 | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Japan | 20 | 13 | 7 | $2 \%$ |
| Kazakhstan | 17 | 12 | 5 | $1 \%$ |
| Pakistan | 10 | 1 | 9 | $1 \%$ |
| Other (65 Countries) | 222 | 81 | 141 | $18 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 3}$ | 735 | 498 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 3.1.3 (d) Non-EU students by faculty

| Country | Total | AHSS | EMS | HS | $\mathbf{1}$ Yr/ Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| USA | 534 | 337 | 30 | 31 | 136 |
| Canada | 151 | 47 | 5 | 86 | 13 |
| Malaysia | 97 | 2 | 7 | 87 | 1 |
| China | 62 | 25 | 30 | 4 | 3 |
| India | 42 | 13 | 21 | 6 | 2 |
| Russian Federation | 36 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| Australia | 21 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| Singapore | 21 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 |
| Japan | 20 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Kazakhstan | 17 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Pakistan | 10 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (65 Countries) | 222 | 102 | 52 | 60 | 8 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ |

Based upon a comparison exercise with figures presented in a report "Education In Ireland - International Students in Ireland 2010-2011" College is similar to other institutes nationally in that UG courses are more popular amongst the non-EU students. Nationally, China is the most common country of origin of international students, with USA in second place. However, the USA is the most popular country of origin in College, followed by Canada and Malasia with Chinese students as the fourth largest cohort.

### 3.1.4 Age distribution

Table 3.1.4 Age distribution of TCD and HEA all student population (UG and PG) 2011-2012


| Age | TCD |  | HEA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total | \% of total | Total | \% of total |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ and under | 94 | $0.57 \%$ | 2286 | $1.12 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 1201 | $7.33 \%$ | 16180 | $7.96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 2236 | $13.65 \%$ | 27812 | $13.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 2617 | $15.98 \%$ | 29045 | $14.28 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 2356 | $14.39 \%$ | 25363 | $12.47 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 1569 | $9.58 \%$ | 17216 | $8.47 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 798 | $4.87 \%$ | 10685 | $5.25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 535 | $3.27 \%$ | 7651 | $3.76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | 2107 | $12.87 \%$ | 24901 | $12.25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ and over | 2864 | $17.49 \%$ | 42051 | $20.68 \%$ |
| Age Unknown* | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 144 | $0.07 \%$ |
| Total | 16377 | $100 \%$ | 203334 | $100 \%$ |

Source HEA, Key Facts and Figures 2011-12

The College age distribution data has been drawn from the statistics published by the HEA (2011-2012). The HEA student age figures include all HE institutions (Its, Colleges and Universities) and all students (UG and PG, FT and PT).

### 3.2 Comparative data: Socio-economic background and ethnicity

The HEA publishes the report Key Facts and Figures (2011-2012) providing comparative information in relation to gender and nationality. Data on student
socio-economic background, ethnicity and disability of students entering HE is available from the HEA based on a new entrants' survey implemented since 2007. There are variable response rate amongst institutions to the survey: overall $91 \%$ of new entrants responded to the Equal Access Survey although response rates to the socio-economic and ethnic cultural questions were lower at $60 \%$ and $61 \%$ respectively. In College the response rate was 90\%, a 4\% drop on 2010-11. Within the university sector as a whole:

- Over $92.9 \%$ of new entrants declared their ethnicity to be Irish and the second largest group (3.4\%) was from other white backgrounds.
- The largest group of entrants came from the 'employer and manager' parent background, followed by 'higher professional'.
- $5.5 \%$ of all entrants declared a disability, the largest category being students with specific learning disabilities.


### 3.2.1 TCD student equal access survey data

The HEA has facilitated this breakdown of data for new entrant students for 20112012 by socio-economic background and ethnicity. This data is not currently held locally in College.

The College response rate of $90.3 \%$ was slightly lower than the HEA average of $91 \%$. However, it was higher than the average response rate from the seven universities (87\%).

Table 3.2.1 (a) TCD response to new entrant survey 2011-2012

| Number of Respondents to HEA <br> Equal Access Survey | Number of FT new <br> entrants, | Response Rate as \% of all <br> New Entrants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2505 | 2774 | $90.3 \%$ |

Source HEA, May 2013- Refers to FT new entrants

In 2011-12 the majority (82.7\%) of new entrants identified as white Irish. The reported ethnicity of new entrants for 2011-12 differed from 2010-11, particularly in relation to "Other white Background" and "Other Asian Background", which fell from a combined total of $12 \%$ to 0 . These figures do not represent the actual make up of
new entrants to College and it must be noted that these figures are self-reported and that the response rate to this question was at $61 \%$. The cause of this response rate is unknown. Last year's figures are given in brackets to allow for comparison across the two years. These College percentages are based on 2223 responses, excluding 282 entrants who chose 'no response'.

Table 3.2.1 (b) Ethnicity new entrants to TCD 2011-2012

|  | Irish | Irish <br> Traveller | Any Other <br> White <br> Background | African | Any Other <br> Black <br> Background | Chinese | Any Other <br> Asian <br> Background | Other | No <br> Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCD | 2072 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 47 | 339 |
| TCD \% | $82.7 \%$ <br> $(82.2 \%)$ | $0.2 \%$ <br> $(0.3 \%)$ | $0 \%$ <br> $(9.7 \%)$ | $0.7 \%$ <br> $(0.9 \%)$ | $0 \%$ <br> $(0 \%)$ | $1 \%$ <br> $(0.8 \%)$ | $0 \%$ <br> $(2.3 \%)$ | $1.9 \%$ <br> $(2.5 \%)$ | $13.5 \%$ <br> $(1.1 \%)$ |
| \% HEA <br> total | $90.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |

Source HEA May 2013, HEA overall figures sourced from HEA: Key Facts and Figures 2011-12

In 2011-2012 49.9\% of College new entrants reported being from an Employer and Managers or Higher Professional background (based on father's occupation). These are also the largest categories across the university sector. These College percentages are based on 2223 responses, excluding 282 entrants who chose 'no response'.

Table 3.2.1 (c) Socio economic background new entrants to TCD 2011-2012

|  | TCD | \% TCD | \% University <br> Total | \% HEA <br> total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Employers and Managers | 556 | $25 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Higher Professional | 554 | $24.9 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Lower Professional | 322 | $14.5 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| Non-manual | 196 | $8.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| Manual skilled | 135 | $6.1 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Semi-skilled | 73 | $3.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Unskilled | 20 | $.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Own account workers | 136 | $6.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Farmers | 101 | $4.5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| Agricultural workers | 9 | $0.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| All others occupied, and unknown | 121 | $5.4 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Source HEA May 2013, HEA overall figures sourced from HEA: Key Facts and Figures 2011-12

New entrants to College were more likely to report a disability (8.4\% versus a HEA average of 6.1\%).

Table 3.2.1 (d) Number of TCD respondents who declared a disability

| Students with disability | \% of new entrants |
| :---: | :---: |
| 233 | $8.4 \%$ |

Source HEA, May 2013

### 3.2.2 UK Equality Data

The UK ECU has published the Equality in Higher Education Report 2012 which examines staff and student data in relation to gender, age, ethnicity and disability (2010-2011 cohort).

- $43.6 \%$ of the student population in the UK were male and $56.4 \%$ female, with variations by subject.
- $18.4 \%$ of UK national students were from Black or Minority Ethnic background (increased from 14.9\% in 2001/2004).
- $8 \%$ of the student population declared a disability, $47.6 \%$ of these declared a specific learning difficulty.
- $82.9 \%$ of student enrolled in UK institutions were UK students.


### 3.3 Alternative Admissions and Access Initiatives

Alternative admissions and access applications to the university are categorised into three principal types: (a) students with a disability, (b) mature students applying for admission under the MSDS, and (c) socio-economically disadvantaged students. In addition to applying through the Central Applications' Office (CAO), applicants in these three groups are invited to submit applications through local and / or national alternative admissions schemes.

College's Strategic Plan is committed to increasing the number of students from under-represented groups to UG programmes. The Strategic Plan (2009-2014) makes a commitment to increase the number of places reserved on UG courses for students from under-represented groups from $15 \%$ to $22 \%$ of CAO new entrants by 2013. In May 2009 the University Council approved the recommendations set out in the Access Plan 2009-2013.

### 3.3.1 Admissions Feasibility Study

In October 2012, the Senior Lecturer presented to Council a feasibility study which explores better mechanisms to admit the student body. Three courses - Law, History and Ancient and Medieval History and Culture - have agreed to take part in the feasibility study for admission in 2013-14. A total of 25 places have been set aside for the study. When applying through the CAO to these courses applicants will be invited to opt into the study or to remain out. Those who choose to opt into the study will be asked to provide supplementary material including a short essay. Once the Leaving Cert results are available applicants will be judged on three criteria: their CAO points, their Relative Performance Rank (performance in the Leaving Cert compared to the rest of their class) and their supplementary material. The criteria for judging the success of the study, which will run for two years, will include whether there are sufficient resources available to roll it out, whether there was public trust in the new metrics, whether the three scales produced meaningful results.

### 3.3.2 Non-traditional students overview

## Admissions 2012

In 2012, a total of 557 (524 in 2011) new entrant students from under-represented groups registered on undergraduate degree programmes, representing 19.8\% of the CAO intake.

- TAP: 234 new entrants to Level 8 programmes
- Disability Service: 202 new entrants to level 8 programmes
- Mature students: 121 MSDS (excluding Mature on age),

Student population 2012-2013
Table 3.3.2 (a) Non-traditional students (UG) 2012-2013

|  | Number | As \% of total student UG population* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Disability (UG only) | 940 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Access (TAP) | 815 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Mature Student Dispensation <br> Scheme* | 435 | $3.6 \%$ |
| No of registered non- <br> traditional UG students 12-13 | 2190 | $18 \%$ |

[^3]These figures are a minimum estimate, it is unknown how many students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds enter College outside of TAP or how many students choose not to disclose their disability. At present, progression to PG level for students who enter via access routes such as TAP or the MSDS is not tracked.

Table 3.3.2 (b) All non-traditional students by Faculty 2012-2013

|  | Total | AHSS | EMS | HS | Other/Cross Faculty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability | 1058 | 473 | 203 | 206 | 176 |
| TAP | 815 | 359 | 137 | 198 | 121 |
| Mature - MSDS | 435 | 226 | 63 | 80 | 66 |
| Mature - All | 904 | 294 | 103 | 423 | 84 |

Source: Disability as per Disability annual report statistics, TAP as advised 26/3/13, Mature students as per MSO 23/4/13. Mature students figure includes 5 Nursing Access students, 23 Mature TAP Students and 19 Certificate in Contemporary Living students.

## Multiple identities

There is cross-over between the students within each cohort. There are students who belong to two or more of the relevant categories and this leads to duplication in reporting. For example, out of the students registered with the Disability Service there are 102 students who entered as mature students, via either the MSDS or the CAO.

102 students registered with the Disability Service are mature students. Therefore:

- $11.3 \%$ of mature students have a disability
- $10.9 \%$ of UG students with a disability are mature students

Table 3.3.c outlines a summary of the completion and progression rates by access category (and overall College rate).

Table 3.3.2 (c) Student outcomes - progression and completion

|  | TCD total | Level 8 TAP | Mature - <br> MSDS | Disability <br> Service |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Completion Rate | $96.4 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Progression Rate | $91 \%^{* *}$ | $95.6 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $78 \%^{*}$ |

Source: Total TCD data as per Senior Lecturer report 12/13. TAP as reported from TAP Database $26 / 3 / 13$, Mature as per MSO 23/4/13, Disability as per Disability annual report statistics.
*The progression rate is for all students registered with DS including many students referred to DS when going off books on medical grounds or repeating a year due to health or disability related reasons.

## Note on definitions:

Completion Rate - All level eight final year students who successfully passed their final year assessments in 11/12.
Progression Rate - All level eight students, excluding final year students, who successfully passed their assessments and progressed onto the next year in 11/12. ** Refers to JF who completed the year successfully and qualified for the SF year.

### 3.4 Socio-economically disadvantaged students - TAP

The Trinity Access Programmes (TAP) are a range of initiatives aimed at increasing the participation rate at third-level of young adult and mature students from underrepresented socio-economic groups. In 2012234 students entered College via TAP entry routes increasing the total of TAP registered UG students in College to 815.

Table 3.4 (a) Total TAP Access students (UG)

|  | Number | As percentage of total UG <br> student population |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Total student UG population | 12174 |  |
| No. of Students on TAP Course | 121 | $1 \%$ |
| No of students in level 8 through TAP | 694 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Total Tap | $\mathbf{8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 \%}$ |

Figure 3.4 (b) Entry Route breakdown of TAP level 8 Students 2012


The 2012 TAP level 8 cohort comprised of 61.4\% females ( $n=426$ ) and $38.6 \%$ males ( $n=268$ ). 84.1\% of these students were young adults ( $n=584$ ) and $15.9 \%$ were mature students ( $\mathrm{n}=110$ ). The figure below details the faculty breakdown for TAP registered level 8 students in 2012.

Figure 3.4 (c) Faculty Breakdown of TAP UG students

| AHSS | HS | EMS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $51.7 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |

## Post-entry support programme

TAP provide a range of post-entry supports in response to student needs. These include tailored pre-university programmes, financial and personal support, extra tuition, career development programmes, a Writing Resource Centre, a Mathematics Help Room, the Studio (learning and IT resource centre), a laptop lending library, a supported accommodation scheme and end-of-term reviews.

There are currently five admissions initiatives, all of which are part-funded by the HEA through the Strategic Initiatives Scheme. TAP also receives support from a number of individual and corporate donors.

The programmes are:

- School and Community Outreach Links (SCOL)
- The Higher Education Access Route (HEAR)
- Foundation Course for Higher Education - Mature Students
- Foundation Course for Higher Education - Young Adults
- TAP/CDVEC Partnership University Access Courses


### 3.5 College Disability Service - student figures

College established the Disability Service to meet the requirements of students with a disability, and as a resource to the rest of the University. The brief of the Disability Service was later expanded to include staff. This service aims to provide prospective and current staff and students in College with appropriate information relating to disability issues and to outline the relevant resources and services available in College.

College has a supplementary application procedure in place for students from nontraditional learning backgrounds, which includes students with disabilities. This is known as the Disability Access Route to Education (DARE). DARE is a third level admissions scheme for school-leavers who have a disability or specific learning difficulty.

Applicants with a disability applying for full time UG degree/diploma courses in College must apply via the CAO indicating on the application form that they have a disability or specific learning difficulty. The number of UG CAO applicants who declared a disability and accepted a place as at $24^{\text {th }}$ October 2012, was 110 . This total does not include disabled students who registered subsequently, and who may not have disclosed via the CAO. Consequently the number of registered new entrants with a disability tends to be greater than the numbers declared at registration. During the course of the year 92 additional JF students declared a disability, bringing the total to 202 new entrants registered with the Service

- 1058 students registered with the service
- 8.6\% increase in students registered from 2011-12 to 2012-13
- 235 first year students (UG and PG) registered as at 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ May 2013.

Table 3.5 (a) Faculty breakdown students with disabilities by type

|  | Total | AHSS <br> / TSM | $\%$ of total | EMS | $\%$ of total | HS | $\%$ of total | Cross Faculty | $\%$ of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/SOI | 139 | 50 | 36\% | 27 | 19\% | 46 | 33\% | 16 | 12\% |
| Mental Health | 200 | 82 | 41\% | 42 | 21\% | 37 | 19\% | 39 | 20\% |
| Physical | 94 | 44 | 47\% | 13 | 14\% | 20 | 21\% | 17 | 18\% |
| HOH/Deaf | 35 | 23 | 66\% | 4 | 11\% | 6 | 17\% | 2 | 6\% |
| Visual Impairment | 22 | 14 | 64\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 27\% |
| ADHD and ADD | 63 | 28 | 44\% | 9 | 14\% | 11 | 17\% | 15 | 24\% |
| Dyspraxia | 51 | 37 | 73\% | 6 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 16\% |
| Neurological | 30 | 14 | 47\% | 4 | 13\% | 6 | 20\% | 6 | 20\% |
| Autistic Spectrum | 43 | 9 | 21\% | 24 | 56\% | 3 | 7\% | 7 | 16\% |
| Intellectual disability | 33 | 33 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Speech Language | 2 | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Dyslexia/ Dyscalculia/ Dysgraphia | 346 | 139 | 40\% | 72 | 21\% | 76 | 22\% | 59 | 17\% |
| Total | 1058 | 474 | 45\% | 203 | 19\% | 206 | 19\% | 175 | 17\% |

April 2013 DS statistics

Table 3.5 (b) Students registered with the Disability Service 2012-13

|  | Number (including <br> NIID students) | As percentage of total student <br> population* UG, PG and Cert |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. of register students | 1058 | $6.4 \%$ |
| UG | 940 | $7.7 \%$ |
| PG | 118 | $2.6 \%$ |

DS April 2013 statistics

Cumulative Record of Students registered with the Disability Service 2001-2013

Table 3.5 (c) Cumulative disability service student figures 2001-2013


| $\mathbf{0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 / 1 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 222 | 345 | 421 | 434 | 585 | 685 | 818 | 911 | 1058 |
| $1.50 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |

*As a percentage of the total student population

## Gender breakdown

The gender breakdown of students with disabilities is $53.5 \%$ female and $46.5 \%$ male.

### 3.6 Mature students

In 2012, 860 mature applicants applied under the MSDS of whom 121 are now registered.

Mature students are also admitted to the UG degrees in the School of Nursing and Midwifery. Applicants to these courses are assessed externally by the Nursing Career Centre. Seventy four mature students registered on degree courses in Nursing and Midwifery in 2012. Further details of students admitted under the MSDS and by the Nursing Career Centre together with an indication of the trends in mature student applications and offers/acceptances will be available in the Senior Lecturer's Annual Report 2011-2012.

There are currently 435 registered MSDS students in College across all years. In addition there are 244 registered mature Nursing and Midwifery students.

## Faculty distribution of mature students

It is important to note that many students who qualify as mature students use the usual Leaving Cert points' entry system and do not apply via the MSDS. In total there are 904 mature students on the basis of age (this includes entry via the MSDS, mature student nursing route, direct applications and CAO).

Table 3.6 (a) Faculty Breakdown from those who entered through MSDS and mature nursing route

|  | Total | AHSS and TSM | EMS | HS | Other/Cross Faculty |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MSDS | 435 | 226 | 63 | 80 | 66 |
| Mature - All | 904 | 294 | 103 | 423 | 84 |

January 2013

## Age profile of mature students

Half of mature students during the past five years are aged 30 years or over, compared to $59 \%$ in 2010-11. It is interesting to note that $14 \%$ of new entrants are over 50. This compares with just $0.5 \%$ nationally (HEA, 10/11 Higher Education Facts and Figures).

Table 3.6 (b) Age profile of mature students

| Age | MSDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | $2010 / 11$ | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ |
| $23-30$ | $37 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| $31-40$ | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $41-50$ | $14 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Over 50 | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

January 2013

## Gender breakdown

The gender breakdown of mature students has remained consistent throughout the past four years and stands at 49.5\% female and 50.5\% male. This mirrors a 50/50 split nationally (HEA, 11/12 Higher Education Facts and Figures).

Table 3.6 (c) Total Mature students, registered and by age

|  | Number | As percentage of total <br> student UG population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UG student population | 12174 |  |
| No of Mature students entering through <br> dispensation scheme and mature nursing <br> route | 697 | $5.7 \%$ |
| All mature students (23+ when starting UG <br> degree) | 904 | $7.4 \%$ |

## Post-entry supports for mature students:

Mature students are registered across all Faculties in College. MSO supports for registered mature students include:

- Annual financial information/getting organised seminar (120 attendees in 2012),
- A four day Welcome Programme in early September (180 attendees in 2012),
- A mature student resource centre for private and group study,
- Open door policy providing advice, advocacy and support,
- Social activities throughout the year in conjunction with the Mature Student Society and, more recently, the Student Parent Society.


### 3.7 Inclusive Curriculum

The SIF II funded Trinity Inclusive Curriculum strategy (TIC) commenced in College in 2008. This strategy, developed in partnership between the Centre for Academic Practice and Student Learning (CAPSL), access initiatives and the academic community, ran from the Disability Service.

TIC aimed to mainstream inclusive principles within the curricula of College by enhancing teaching, learning, and assessment procedures within College so as to enable all students, particularly those from non-traditional learning backgrounds, to participate more fully in the academic life of College. To achieve this, TIC developed online teaching and learning self-evaluation tools (www.tictool.ie) and a web based resource which acted as a one-stop-shop for information and guidelines on inclusion and universal design which could be used by staff involved in any aspect of university teaching and assessment (www.tcd.ie/capsl/tic).

### 3.8 Flexible education/ Part-time education

The National Access Office prepared a consultation document looking at part time HE in Ireland. Part-time and flexible learning opportunities are essential to promoting life-long learning and increasing access to HE , in particular for adults who need to combine study with work or caring responsibilities.

### 3.8.1 Part-time student numbers

Nationally, $17 \%$ of students are enrolled on a part-time basis across all levels. The proportion of part-time UG students in HE in Ireland increased from 7\% in 2008 to 14\% in 2010, and has since fallen off to 12.7\%. Part-time enrolments increased overall by $3.7 \%$ from 2010/11 to 2011/12 with an increase of $6.5 \%$ in part-time UG enrolments (while degree enrolment decreased, there was a large increase in enrolments across diplomas and certificates)

| Sector | \% of UG who are PT | \% of PG who are PT | \% of total who are PT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All HEIs | $12.7 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| IOTs | $16.9 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ |
| 7 Universities | $9.3 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| TCD | $3.5 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |

Source: HEA

College has a low proportion of UG part-time students, $3.5 \%$, compared to the university sector (9.3\%) and the HE Sector (12.7\%) as a whole. Part-time and flexible learning opportunities are essential to promoting life-long learning and increasing access to higher education by responding better to the changing needs of diverse learners, particularly students in employment or with caring responsibilities. The HEA target for 2013 is for $17 \%$ UG of students to be enrolled on part-time programmes.

Data from the three faculty offices indicate that there are 1758 part-time students in College in 2012-13: 1601 PG students and 157 UG.

### 3.8.2 Part-time, flexible and lifelong learning opportunities

College offers limited opportunities for accredited part-time undergraduate study and no opportunities for accredited distance learning. Across the HE Sector new initiatives have increased access to flexible and part-time study including Springboard, which is designed to assist unemployed people through free part-time courses at certificate, degree and post-graduate level. Accredited part-time undergraduate opportunities in College are limited to a handful of courses:

- B.Sc. Degree in Information Systems / Diploma in Information Systems
- Bachelor in Midwifery Studies / Bachelor in Nursing Studies
- Diploma in History of European Painting

In the College Access Plan 2009-2013 it was recommended that existing extra mural course offerings (part-time, evening and weekend) be considered as a starting point for the provision of accredited life-long learning with a view towards developing targets for such provision.

While the National Strategy for Higher Education to 2030 (2011) noted the importance of part-time and flexible learning, part-time students in Ireland still have very limited access to funding, grants and loans, and part-time students with disabilities have no access to the European Social Fund. Furthermore, students attending classes in College either in the evening or at weekends have more limited access to College services and facilities when compared to students attending College during the working day.

### 3.8.3 University of the Third Age (U3A)

U3A is a learning circle or cooperative for older people. Members learn from one another by sharing knowledge. U3A began in Toulouse, France in 1972, and there are now U3A's all over the world. U3A in the UK operates under The Third Age Trust, and there are over 500 groups.

In 2013, as part of the College's commitment to lifelong learning, community and to supporting research into positive ageing, College began engaging with U3A in Ireland
with the objective of possibly setting up a local TCD branch. In April, Trinity EngAGE, Centre for Research in Ageing, in association with Age Action, hosted the U3A Open Forum.
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## Appendix 2 - Definitions

Academic staff = are those on academic staff grades (Assistant Professor, Professor Part Time, Associate Professor, Professor (non-Chair) and Professor (Chair)) and medical academic grades.

Administrative staff = are those on administrative staff grades (Admin 3 to 1 and Senior Admin 3 to 1).

Annual/Statutory officers = refers to the Vice-Provost/Chief Academic Officer, Bursar, Senior Lecturer, Registrar, Senior and Junior Deans, Senior and Junior Proctors, Senior Tutor, Dean of Graduate Studies, Dean of Research, Dean of Students, and three Faculty Deans. Annual or Statutory officers are appointed by Board each year on the nomination of the Provost. They work closely with Senior Administrative Officers in the development of policy and management of the College. Annual Officers normally hold office for three years.

Casual staff = are those on the casual pay register. They may work for a few hours, or more regularly, throughout the year, in academic or administrative roles.

Core $=$ is the Human Resources personnel database. The staff data used in this report is from $30^{\text {th }}$ January 2013.

Heads of Administrative functions = refers to the Chief Operating Officer, College Secretary, Director of HR, Librarian, Treasurer, Academic Secretary, Director of Buildings, Director of ISS, Director of Accommodation and Catering, Director of Careers Advisory Service, Director of College Health Service, Director of College Disability Service, Director of Student Counselling, Associate Director of Trinity Research, and the Director of Sport.

Honorary Positions = refers to the Chancellor, Pro-Chancellors, and Visitors to the College. The Chancellor acts as head of the University on ceremonial occasions, is elected by the Senate and is also the primary Visitor of the College.

Level 8 students = are those who are registered on four year undergraduate honours degree programmes.

Mature students = are those who were aged 23 years on, or before, the $1^{\text {st }}$ January in their year of admission into an undergraduate programme. There are two classes of mature student:

- Mature Student on age = are those who commenced an undergraduate programme in College via any admission route.
- Mature Student Dispensation Scheme = are those who entered an undergraduate programme in College via this access scheme. These students will be studying for their first degree.

Research staff = are those who are on research grades (Research Fellows and Research Assistants). Research students are not included as they are included in the postgraduate student category.

- Research Fellow = is reserved for those holding a PhD qualification or other equivalent experience. This is the official College title for research staff who may be informally called "postdoctoral researchers" or "Research Scientists".
- Research Assistant = refers to research staff holding a Bachelors or Masters degree.

College Staff = includes all monthly and weekly paid staff. It includes full-time and part-time staff, and staff on permanent, indefinite, fixed term and temporary contracts. Where casual staff are included this is clearly indicated. The source of most staff figures is the HR Core database, on the $30^{\text {th }}$ January each year.

Students = include all full-time or part-time students. It includes undergraduate and postgraduate student registered in College. This data includes research students on postgraduate programmes who might also fulfil some teaching assistant roles. Student figures vary depending on the date the data extract is taken - the HEA annual figure is taken on $1^{\text {st }}$ March of each year.

The Centre for Women in Science and Engineering (WiSER) = was established in 2006 to ensure the retention and advancement of women working in sciences, engineering and technology (SET) disciplines where they are currently significantly under-represented.

WiSER database $=$ the Centre for Women in Science and Engineering Research (WiSER) manages a database that produces gender disaggregated statistical reports. The database staff data is populated from Core.

## Common Acronyms

AHSS - Faculty of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
CAO - Central Applications Office
DARE - Disability Access Route to Education
DS - Disability Service
ECU - Equality Challenge Unit (UK agency)
EMS - Faculty of Engineering, Maths and Science
EU - European Union
FT - full-time
HE - Higher Education
HEA - Higher Education Authority (Ireland)
HEAR - Higher Education Access Route
HESA - Higher Education Statistics Agency (UK agency)

HR - Human Resources
HS - Faculty of Health Sciences
MSDS - Mature Students Dispensation Scheme
MSO - Mature Students' Office
PG - postgraduate
PT - part-time
TAP - Trinity Access Programmes
TIC - Trinity Inclusive Curriculum
WiSER - Women in Science and Engineering Research (College Centre)
INTEGER - INstitutional Transformation for Effecting Gender Equality in Research (College Project)

UG - Undergraduate

## Appendix 3 - WiSER Database August 2013

The Centre for Women in Science and Engineering Research (WiSER) manages a database that produces gender disaggregated statistical reports. The database data is usually populated from Core annually on $1^{\text {st }}$ January annually. This did not happen in January 2013 due to database redevelopment.

In September 2013, the new database data was populated from Core with the August 2013 data. This was too late to be included in the original equality monitoring report that went to the Equality Committee and Board. Instead, data will be added here as an appendix to the final published report.

## 1. Academic Grades and Gender by Faculty

The following tables provide a breakdown of staff academic grades by Faculty. There may be small discrepancies in the figures provided when compared to the original report as they are sourced from the WiSER database on a different date to the HR Core snapshot which provides the overall College report.

The decrease in women's representation as one moves through the academic grades varies greatly by Faculty: while women are a minority in all EMS Schools at all grades, the rate of decrease is more marked in AHSS where women make up approximately $48 \%$ of assistant professors but only $12 \%$ of chaired Professors.

## 1.a Faculty of Health Sciences



| Grade Description | Female | F \% | Male | M \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professor (chair) | 2 | $22 \%$ | 7 | $78 \%$ | 9 |
| Professor (non-chair) | 9 | $82 \%$ | 2 | $18 \%$ | 11 |
| Associate Professor | 24 | $69 \%$ | 11 | $31 \%$ | 35 |
| Assistant Professor above Bar | 41 | $73 \%$ | 15 | $27 \%$ | 56 |
| Assistant Professor below Bar | 44 | $64 \%$ | 25 | $36 \%$ | 69 |
| Professor part-time | 3 | $25 \%$ | 9 | $75 \%$ | 12 |
| Total | 123 | $64 \%$ | 69 | $36 \%$ | 192 |

Source: WiSER DB, August 2013.
1.b Faculty of Engineering, Mathematics and Science


| Grade Description | Female | F \% | Male | M \% | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Professor (chair) | 5 | $12 \%$ | 37 | $88 \%$ | 42 |
| Professor (non-chair) | 11 | $31 \%$ | 25 | $69 \%$ | 36 |
| Associate Professor | 12 | $17 \%$ | 57 | $83 \%$ | 69 |
| Assistant Professor above Bar | 20 | $34 \%$ | 39 | $66 \%$ | 59 |
| Assistant Professor below Bar | 10 | $14 \%$ | 59 | $86 \%$ | 69 |
| Professor part-time | 7 | $21 \%$ | 26 | $79 \%$ | 33 |
| Total | 65 | $21 \%$ | 243 | $79 \%$ | 308 |

Source: WiSER DB, August 2013.
1.c Faculty of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences


| Grade Description | Female | F \% | Male | M \% | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Professor (chair) | 4 | $12 \%$ | 30 | $88 \%$ | 34 |
| Professor (non-chair) | 8 | $29 \%$ | 20 | $71 \%$ | 28 |
| Associate Professor | 27 | $42 \%$ | 37 | $58 \%$ | 64 |
| Assistant Professor above Bar | 52 | $51 \%$ | 49 | $49 \%$ | 101 |
| Assistant Professor below Bar | 39 | $44 \%$ | 49 | $56 \%$ | 88 |
| Professor part-time | 15 | $44 \%$ | 19 | $56 \%$ | 34 |
| Total | 145 | $42 \%$ | 204 | $58 \%$ | 349 |

Source: WiSER DB, August 2013.

## 2. Research

College research staff are gender balanced across the institution as a whole. Graph 2 shows research staff - Research Fellows and Research Assistants- by Faculty.

Table 2 Total Research Staff by Faculty (Research Fellows and Assistants)


| Faculty | Male | Female | Total | \%Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No Faculty Associated ()* | 52 | 53 | 105 | $50 \%$ |
| Arts, Humanities \& Social Science (F01) | 24 | 41 | 65 | $63 \%$ |


| Engineering, Mathematics \& Science (FO2) | 223 | 125 | 348 | $36 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Health Sciences (F03) | 58 | 129 | 187 | $69 \%$ |
| Report Total: | 357 | 348 | 705 | $49 \%$ |

Source: WiSER statistics August 2013.

## 3. Student Gender Breakdown by Faculty

The breakdown of students by gender and faculty indicates large variations: from 75\% female students in UG Health Sciences to 34\% female students in PG

Engineering, Mathematics and Science (Tables 3.a and 3.b).

Table 3.a Gender breakdown by Faculty (UG)


WiSER database August 2013

Table 3.b Gender breakdown by Faculty (PG)


| Faculty | Male | Female | Total | \%Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Health Sciences | 285 | 726 | 1011 | $72 \%$ |
| Arts, Humanities \& Social Science | 822 | 1345 | 2167 | $62 \%$ |
| Engineering, Mathematics \& Science | 818 | 424 | 1242 | $34 \%$ |
| Multi Faculty | 2 | 0 | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 1927 | 2495 | 4422 | $56 \%$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.tcd.ie/equality/assets/pdf/gender-promotions.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.tcd.ie/equality/assets/pdf/meritbarquan.doc and
    http://www.tcd.ie/equality/assets/pdf/meritbarqual.doc
    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.tcd.ie/wiser/integer/news-events/INTEGER\%20Baseline\%20Data\%20Report-Final.pdf

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:52010DC0491:EN:NOT

[^2]:    Equality Monitoring report - EqualMonPer

[^3]:    * This is the number of mature students who entered TCD via the Mature Student Dispensation Scheme. The total number of mature students calculated on age is 904 ( 853 level 8,51 cert / dip). Disability as per Disability annual report statistics, TAP as advised by TAP 26/3/13, Mature as per MSO 23/4/13. UG population as per student records July 2012: 12174.

