# Appendix 10: Internal Examiner Dissertation Report Form

**Internal Examiner Dissertation Report Form University of Dublin**

**School of Education**

**Master in Education Internal Examiner Report Form**

**STUDENT'S NAME:**

**DISSERTATION TITLE:**



1. **PRESENTATION (use of presentation conventions, referencing):**
2. **CLARITY OF EXPRESSION (style, flow, coherence):**
3. **LITERATURE REVIEW (theoretical background to research, critical analysis):**
4. **METHODS & METHODOLOGY (clarity of research focus and rationale, suitability and application of research methods and data collection):**
5. **ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS (critical analysis, interpretation of implications):**
6. **CONCLUSIONS (adequacy, relevance to practice, emerging from research undertaken):**

#

1. **REQUIRED CHANGES (if any):**
2. **SUGGESTED CHANGES (if any)**
3. **OVERALL RECOMMENDATION (*please delete to reflect recommendation*):**
	1. The Dissertation is passed with distinction;
	2. The Dissertation is passed as it stands;
	3. The Dissertation is passed subject to minor corrections being made;
	4. The Dissertation is not of a sufficient standard to warrant the award of either 1), 2) or 3) and a viva voce examination should be held.

Following a viva voce examination, the examiner, who is normally responsible for examining the candidate at viva, may make one of the following recommendations:

* + 1. The work is passed subject to minor changes;
		2. The work should be failed and the candidate may apply for permission to repeat the research component of the programme (Fail Category 1);
		3. The work should be failed and the candidate may not apply to repeat the research component of the programme. (Fail Category 2).

A candidate who successfully applies to repeat the research component of the programme is liable to pay the relevant resubmission fees and the new submission is capped at a pass.

**EXAMINER NAME:**

**DATE:**

**SUPERVISOR NAME:**

**SUPERVISOR COMMENTS:**

Are you in agreement with the examiner’s overall recommendation? Yes/No

Are you satisfied that the submission has been examined according to the approved criteria and processes?

Yes/No

*Note: The role of the supervisor is that of moderator. As such, the supervisor is asked to confirm whether or not they are in agreement with the assessment of the examiner and whether or not they are satisfied that the submission has been examined according to the approved criteria and processes.*

If the supervisor would like to suggest any additional changes that have not been identified by the examiner, please outline them here:

If the supervisor has any additional comments you would like to add, please include them below:

All grades provisional until the Court of Examin