




Trinity College Dublin

Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath

The University of Dublin

Department of History

Erasmus & Visiting Student Handbook 2026-2027



Contents

Introduction	3
Section 1: About the Department	5
How to make contact with the Department.....	5
Submission of written work	5
Whom to Contact When.....	5
Transcripts.....	6
Trinity Careers Service	6
Section 2: Advice and regulations	8
What is ECTS?	8
Things to remember about ECTS	8
Requirements for obtaining academic credit	9
Progression	9
Classes and Coursework.....	9
Making the best use of your lectures and tutorials.....	9
Attendance at lectures and seminars.....	9
Module assessment	10
Junior Fresh.....	10
Senior Fresh	12
Sophister Modules.....	13
Essays.....	14
Oral Examinations.....	14
Academic Integrity	14
Submission of essays.....	18
Deadlines	19
Feedback.....	19
Examinations.....	20
Examination and Essay marking criteria	20
Results	23
Supplemental examinations.....	23
Non-satisfactory performance	23
Guidelines for writing essays	23
Preparation	23
Sourcing information	24
Structure	24
Format and prose	24
Referencing	26
Section 3: Important dates	30

Introduction

We are delighted to welcome you to Dublin and to the Department of History, Trinity College Dublin.

This handbook will guide you through your studies in the Department in 2026-27. While most modules are open to Visiting and Erasmus students, you may face some restrictions to your choice of modules. Since Trinity students register for modules long before Visiting and Erasmus students arrive, some modules may have already reached full enrolment. Other restrictions arise because of timetable constraints or because you do not have the necessary pre-requisite knowledge for a particular module.

Whether you are in Dublin for the full academic year or one semester, we expect that you fulfil the requirements of the modules for which you are registered.

If you have any questions about how the regulations affect you, please consult our Coordinator of exchanges, Dr Sparky Booker (Sparky.Booker@tcd.ie)

You should also inform Dr Booker of any change to your contact details and of any changes to your choice of modules.

More detailed information on individual modules is provided on the Department website <https://www.tcd.ie/history/>. Many module guides will also be provided through Blackboard. This handbook provides essential information about your History programme. It supplements information in the University Calendar. In the event of conflict or inconsistency between the General Regulations published in the University Calendar and information contained in our handbooks, the General Regulations prevail. The University Calendar is available at <http://www.tcd.ie/calendar/>

More detailed information on individual modules is provided in the relevant module guides and on the Department website <https://www.tcd.ie/history/>. Many module guides will be provided through Blackboard.

As a Department we expect certain things of you:

- to read this handbook carefully
- to read your Trinity e-mails regularly
- to set aside at least 40 hours each week for academic work
- to attend all classes, whether shared-space, synchronous, or asynchronous
- to read for each class and come to seminars and tutorials prepared to speak
- to know and meet your deadlines
- and, if you have a problem, to speak to someone about it: your module coordinator, year coordinator, Head of Department, or College Tutor. We cannot promise that we can solve your problem, but we will do our best to help.

This handbook addresses three main areas:

1. Useful information about the Department
2. Advice and regulations
3. Important dates for 2026-27

Best of luck with your studies in Dublin in 2026-27

Section 1: About the Department

Executive Officers: Joanne Lynch and Alberto Santos Cancelas

Dept. of History Office: room 3133. **Normal Opening Hours** are 10.00 - 12.00 & 14.00 - 16.00. Only one staff member will be in the office as a rule, and they may need to leave the office occasionally. Email may be a better way of contacting them.

Telephone: 01- 896 1020

E mail: histhum@tcd.ie

Academic Staff	Role	E mail address
Professor Lindsey Earner-Byrne	Head of the Department of History	lindsey.earner@tcd.ie
Professor Robert Armstrong	M. Phil in Early Modern History	robert.armstrong@tcd.ie
Dr Sparky Booker	Incoming Exchange Student Coordinator Junior Sophister Coordinator	Sparky.Booker@tcd.ie
Dr Katja Bruisch	Exams Officer	bruischk@tcd.ie
Dr. Diogo De Carvalho Cabral	M.Phil in Environmental History	decarvad@tcd.ie
Dr Peter Crooks	Research Students Coordinator M.Phil in Medieval Studies Senior Sophister Coordinator	pcrooks@tcd.ie
Dr David Ditchburn	AHMC Coordinator	ditchbud@tcd.ie
Professor Anne Dolan	On research leave - Research Students Coordinator	adolan@tcd.ie
Dr Joseph Clarke	On research leave	joseph.clarke@tcd.ie
Dr Susan Flavin	Director of Research	sflavin@tcd.ie
Dr Gillian Frank	M.Phil in GWSS	frankg@tcd.ie
Professor Daniel Geary	Director of Undergraduate Teaching and Learning	gearyd@tcd.ie
Professor Patrick Geoghegan	Director – Trinity Long Room Hub	patrick.geoghegan@tcd.ie
Dr Brian Hanley	Junior Fresh Coordinator	hanleybr@tcd.ie
Professor Poul Holm	On research leave	holmp@tcd.ie
Dr Carole Holohan	M.Phil in Modern Irish History (MT) On research leave HT	holohaca@tcd.ie
Dr Patrick Houlihan	On research leave HT	patrick.houlihan@tcd.ie
Dr Isabella Jackson	M.Phil in International History	jacksoni@tcd.ie
Professor Ruth Karras	On research leave MT	ruth.karras@tcd.ie
Dr Georgina Laragy	Dissertation Coordinator	laragy@tcd.ie
Dr Erin-Marie Legacey	Columbia DUAL BA programme co-ordinator	LEGACEYE@tcd.ie
Dr Francis Ludlow		ludlowf@tcd.ie
Dr Graeme Murdock	Head of the School of Histories and Humanities	murdockg@tcd.ie
Professor Jane Ohlmeyer	On research leave	jane.ohlmeyer@tcd.ie
Dr Ciaran O'Neill	M.Phil in Public History and Cultural Heritage	ciaraneoneill@tcd.ie
Dr Ramazan Öztan	Outgoing Exchange Student Coordinator	ramazan.oztan@tcd.ie
Professor Micheál Ó Siochrú	On research leave	osiochrm@tcd.ie
Dr Molly Pucci	On research leave HT	puccim@tcd.ie
Dr Immo Warntjes	Disability Liaison Officer	iwarntje@tcd.ie
Dr Patrick Walsh	Director of PG Teaching and Learning	walshp9@tcd.ie

How to make contact with the Department

- Staff will communicate with you via your Trinity email address. You are expected to check this regularly and to read and act promptly upon all messages sent to you.
- Staff post boxes are located in the Departmental Office (Room 3133).
- Staff usually post office hours, when they are available for consultation, on their door, but as many will be holding office hours synchronously on line, please email them to find out arrangements.
- Departmental notices will be posted on relevant notice boards and on the department website.
- The student information system, Blackboard, and your myzone email accounts are all accessible at <https://www.tcd.ie/students/>

Submission of written work

Essays must be submitted on Blackboard via Turnitin, **not** to your module coordinator or teaching assistant, by 11:00 a.m. on the specified date. (Dates are to be found in the 'Important dates' section at the end of this handbook.) They must include a signed coversheet including a declaration concerning plagiarism. Copies of coversheets can be downloaded on the Departmental webpage. Please note 11am is the latest you can submit without incurring a penalty

- Essays may not be posted, emailed or handed to academic staff.
- Students are required to retain their own electronic copy of all written work. If we do not receive the electronic copy of your essay, you will be deemed not to have submitted your essay and standard penalties for non-submission will be applied.

For further details on the submission of essays see [Submission of essays](#) below.

Whom to Contact When

- If you are unable to attend a class because of illness or any other reasons, you must, where possible, give prior notice to the lecturer or teaching assistant via email or phone if they have provided a phone number.
- If you have an academic problem with a particular module, you should discuss it with your module coordinator, your exchange coordinator, or the Head of Department.
- If you have other problems affecting your work, you should contact your College Tutor or your S2S mentor.
- Full details of all student support services are available at <https://www.tcd.ie/students/supports-services/>
- If you believe you have grounds for an extension on your work, you must contact the Erasmus/Visiting student coordinator directly or through your College Tutor. The coordinator will also advise you on all aspects of the programme. Contact details are as follows:

Dr Sparky Booker	Incoming Erasmus/Visiting Students Co-ordinator	3146	Sparky.Booker@tcd.ie
------------------	--	------	--

- If you need a reference, ask either your College Tutor or your module coordinator.
- If you need special exam provision for any medical reason, approach the Disabilities Office <http://www.tcd.ie/disability/index.php>

Transcripts

Academic Registry will provide you with an official transcript. These are usually issued in July to your home University. Please contact Academic Registry if you have any queries in relation to Visiting/Erasmus transcripts at <https://www.tcd.ie/study>

Trinity Careers Service

As a Trinity student you have access to information, support and guidance from the professional team of Careers Consultants throughout your time at Trinity and for a year after you graduate. The support offered includes individual career guidance appointments, CV and LinkedIn profile clinics, practice interviews and mentoring.

Visit <https://www.tcd.ie/Careers/> for career, further study and job search advice.

Sign into MyCareer to book appointments, find information about vacancies and bursaries, and book your place on upcoming employer events.

Follow the service on Instagram for career news and advice [@trinity.careers.service](https://www.instagram.com/trinity.careers.service)

What do you want to do? How will you get there? We are here to support you in answering these and other questions about your career.

Junior (JF) & Senior Fresh (SF)

What can I do with my degree? Start with Trinity Careers Service website. Then book an appointment with your careers consultant through MyCareer.

Pathway Choices: Book an appointment with your careers consultant through MyCareer to tease out the career implications of minor, major subject choice as well as Electives and Open Modules.

Get work experience: Apply for work experience and internships to get an insight into different sectors. Opportunities are increasingly available remotely. Personalise your MyCareer profile to receive email alerts tailored to your preferences.

Trinity Employability Bursary: Apply for the bursary, in place to support students finding it financially difficult to take up a career-related opportunity e.g. internship, job shadowing.

Laidlaw Undergraduate Research and Leadership Programme (SF): Apply for a scholarship designed to invest in talented and motivated undergraduate students from all disciplines and walks of life. The Programme aims to develop your potential, equip you with strong leadership and research skills and give you the experience to become active global citizens and future leaders.

Spring Week Bursary (SF): Apply for this bursary that supports costs for students of any discipline who secure Spring Week internships with leading professional services firms.

Polish your CV: Book onto a CV/LinkedIn Clinic for a 15mins consultation through MyCareer

MyCareer: Login to the Trinity careers portal to keep abreast of awards, scholarships, jobs, events, mentoring and lots more

Need to chat about your future? Book an appointment with your careers consultant, Orlaith Tunney on MyCareer. No career query or concern too small!

More information on the above and lots more at Trinity Careers Service website www.tcd.ie/careers

MyCareer

An online service that you can use to:

- Apply for opportunities which match your preferences - vacancies including research options
- Search opportunities
- View and book onto employer and Careers Service events
- Submit your career queries to the Careers Service team
- Book an appointment with your careers consultant

Simply login to MyCareer using your Trinity username and password and personalise your profile.

Trinity Careers Service

Trinity College Dublin, 7-9 South Leinster Street, Dublin 2

01 896 1705/1721 | Submit a career query through careers@tcd.ie or MyCareer

 MyCareer: mycareerconnect.tcd.ie	 Trinity.Careers.Servi ce	
 www.tcd.ie/careers	 @TCDCareers	 trinity.careers.servi ce/

Section 2: Advice and regulations

What is ECTS?

The European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) is an academic credit system based on the estimated student workload required to achieve the objectives of a module or programme of study. It is designed to enable academic recognition for periods of study, to facilitate student mobility and credit accumulation and transfer. The ECTS is the recommended credit system for higher education in Ireland and across the European Higher Education Area.

The ECTS weighting for a module is a measure of the student input or workload required for that module, based on factors such as the number of contact hours, the number and length of written or verbally presented assessment exercises, class preparation and private study time, examinations, and so on as appropriate. There is no intrinsic relationship between the credit volume of a module and its level of difficulty.

The European norm for full-time study over one academic year is 60 credits. The Trinity academic year is 40 weeks from the start of Michaelmas Term to the end of the annual examination period. One ECTS credit represents 20-25 hours estimated student input, so a 10-credit module will be designed to require 200-250 hours of student input, including class contact time, assessments preparation and private reading.

ECTS credits are awarded to a student only upon successful completion of the course year. Progression from one year to the next is determined by the course regulations. Students who fail a year of their course will not obtain credit for that year even if they have passed certain component modules. Exceptions to this rule are one-year and part-year visiting students, who are awarded credit for individual modules successfully completed.

Things to remember about ECTS

It is European: its aim is to facilitate and to improve transparency and comparability of periods of study and of qualifications across the European Higher Education sector.

It is about Credit: it is a student-centred (not a teacher-centred) system based upon a clearly defined body of work (e.g., contact hours + time allocated to study for the preparation and execution of essays, assignments, exams, etc.) required to obtain the credit allocated for the achievement of the objectives of a particular course of study.

It is a System, based on the following principles: the ECTS works on a yearly norm of 60 credits for a

full-time course (30 credits for a half-honor subject) over one academic year where one credit represents 20-25 hours estimated student input. The measure of one academic year is 40 weeks from

the start of Michaelmas Term to the end of the annual examination period.

It is an Accumulative System. ECTS credits are assigned to modules in multiple units of five. Students are expected to take 60 credits per year. Credits accrue over the four-year cycle. The Trinity four-year honors Bachelor degree is 240 ECTS.

It is about Transferability. By making the student input in different courses offered in different universities comprehensible under the same standard measurement, the system aims to remove the many obstacles which currently obstruct increased mobility in and between the many different educational institutions of the EU and to enhance communications between the universities and other training institutions and the labour market.

In accordance with the spirit of the ECTS, History is studied as part of a student-centred programme. The success of the programme depends largely upon student participation in lectures, tutorials, essay writing and general research and reading.

Requirements for obtaining academic credit

In order to obtain credit for each term, you must be enrolled for the required range of modules. You must attend all tutorials or seminars in each module for which you are enrolled, except where medical evidence for absence is submitted. You must complete all preliminary essays and any other written exercises prescribed for each module.

Progression

Most Erasmus and Visiting Students take Junior Fresh or Senior Fresh modules, but a few take Sophister modules, which they can enroll in if there is sufficient space in the modules and they have the necessary prerequisites. Students can enquire about Sophister modules by contacting Dr Sparky Booker, sparky.booker@tcd.ie.

As students' progress through the History programme, they are faced with a widening range of intellectual and methodological challenges. Whereas Freshman modules aim to survey national or international histories over long periods, most Sophister modules concentrate on short periods or specific themes. The closer focus of Sophister modules requires more intensive teaching through small classes, and more immersion in documentary sources.

Classes and Coursework

Making the best use of your lectures and tutorials

- If you cannot understand any aspect of your modules, please feel free to make this clear during or after a tutorial or seminar, after a lecture, or by visiting our offices at times notified on our doors or our synchronous on-line office hours. We welcome comments and questions. Each instructor will indicate the best method of reaching them.
- Mobile telephones are not to be used during shared-space or synchronous classes.
- Please arrive punctually at shared-space or synchronous classes and stay to the end.

Attendance at lectures and seminars

You should aim to attend all lectures, whether synchronous (at a specifically timetabled time), asynchronous (posted online for you to watch at another time), or in shared space (a possibility for Hilary term). Lectures are intended to provide analysis of selected topics and an introduction to the issues raised in the reading set for each module. They are not intended as a substitute for reading. There is wide variation in the style of presentation across modules, which reflects the variety of approaches historians take to their research.

The value of a lecture depends as much on your responsiveness as on its quality.

Attendance at tutorials and seminars is compulsory. They are designed to allow small groups to discuss selected topics under the guidance of a teacher, and to encourage students to practice the arts of documentary interpretation, critical reading, and lucid exposition. You will experience much variation in the nature of tutorials and seminars, again reflecting the wide range of methodological approaches, as well as in the format (synchronous, asynchronous or shared-space).

Tutorial exercises and assignments will differ across modules – from class presentations and debates to summaries of vying interpretations and textual analyses of extracts taken from documents. The more you contribute, the better the tutorial. Materials for tutorials will be available through Blackboard. Once you are registered for a module, you are also registered for that module on Blackboard. Remember that you may be required to have prepared work and be set assignments for your first tutorial, so check Blackboard before your first tutorial. **Tutorials begin in your second or third week of classes depending on the module (check tables at the end of this handbook for details).**

You are required, where stipulated, to have work prepared for your tutorials. College regulations state that students must take part fully in the academic work of their class throughout the period of the course (Calendar H5) and the ECTS calculation for all modules includes a proportion of credits for tutorial work. Persistent non-attendance may result in you being returned as non-satisfactory for a given module.

Module assessment

All the survey modules in the Junior Fresh year are assessed by two main pieces of work. 40% of your overall module mark will be based upon a portfolio of work completed throughout the term, and 60% of the module mark will be based upon a final essay or exam. Your portfolio will consist of a compilation of assignments reflecting the content of the module in a way that will contribute to the completion of your final essay or exam for these modules. The choice of assignments will be decided by each module coordinator, but these may include some of the following: an analysis of a document excerpt; a book review; an annotated bibliography on a topic; an essay outline; a summary of your research question. Failure to submit any one of these components will lead to you being returned as non-satisfactory for a given module.

In order to pass any history module, students at all levels must complete all the prescribed exercises.

Junior Fresh

In the Junior Fresh year, Michaelmas Term 5 ECTS modules are assessed as follows (submission dates may be found in the 'Important Dates' section of this handbook):

- HIU11010: History in the Present:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word assignment (40%)
 - End of semester in-person exam (60%)
- HIU11011: Imagining History:

- An assignment portfolio (40%)
- A 2,000-2,500-word final essay (60%)
- HIU12035: Saints and Sinners in Medieval Europe:
 - Two-500-word primary source commentaries ((40%)
 - An end of term take-home exam (60% of the overall mark) etc.
- HIU12036 Europe Divided, c. 1480-1620:
 - A 1,000-1,500 word primary source commentary (40%)
 - An end-of-term essay (60%)
- HIU12043: The World Wars, 1914-1945:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word book or film review (40%)
 - 2,000-word end-of-term essay (60%)
- HIU12037: The Sexual Politics of Modern Ireland:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word document analysis (40%)
 - A 2,000 word end-of-term essay (60%)

In the Junior Fresh year, Hilary Term the 5 ECTS modules are assessed as follows (submission dates may be found in the 'Important Dates' section of this handbook):

- HIU12030: The English Invasion of Ireland and its impact 1100-1400:
 - Two tutorial assignments (40%)
 - An end-of-term in-person exam (60%)
- HIU12054: Birth of Empire: Ireland and the Atlantic World:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word review essay (40%)
 - A 2,000- 2500 word end-of-term essay (60%)
- HIU12038: US History: Power, Politics, People:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word review of a relevant podcast (40%)
 - A 2,000-word podcast script (60%)
- HIU12041: Earthly pasts: an introduction to environmental histories:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word historiographical review essay (40%)
 - A 2,000-word end-of-term essay (60%)
- HIU12042: Famine, Land and People: Ireland 1830s-1880s:
 - Tutorial-based coursework (40%),
 - A 2,000-word end-of-term essay (60%)
- HIU12055 : An Introduction to the History of Japan:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word historiographical review essay (40%)
 - A 2,000-word end-of-term essay (60%)

Senior Fresh

In Senior Fresh year, the modules below may be available subject to space (submission dates may be found in the 'Important Dates' section of this handbook):

These Michaelmas Term 5 ECTS modules are assessed as follows:

- HIU22010: Writing History:
 - A 1,000-word book review (40%)
 - An end-of-term in-person exam (60%)
- HIU12022: Early Christian Ireland, 400-800 AD:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word review or source commentary (40%)
 - A 2,000-2,500-word end-of-term essay (60%)
- HIU12046: Thinking About Thought: Ideas in History:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word essay (40%)
 - An end-of-term in-person exam (60%)
- HIU12047: American Dreams: Culture in the US, 1840-present:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word essay (40%)
 - An end-of-term in-person exam (60%)
- HIU12048: Modern Eastern Europe, 1890-1990:
 - A 1,000-1,500-word essay (40%)
 - An end-of-term in-person exam (60%)
- HIU12049: Humans and the Environment in Modern History:
 - A learning journal (40%)
 - A 2,000-2,500-word end-of-term essay (60%)

These Hilary Term 5 ECTS modules are assessed as follows:

- HIU12030: The Hundred Years War:
 - A primary source-focused 1,000-1,500 word essay (40%)
 - End-of-term exam (60%)
- HIU12050: Northern Ireland since 1921:
 - 1,500-word essay (40%)
 - End-of-term take-home exam (60%)
- HIU12051: Debating Modern Britain: Conflict, Change, and Society in the Long Eighteenth Century:
 - A 1,000-1,500 primary source commentary (40%)
 - A 2,000-2,500 word essay (60%)

- HIU12052: Empire, Oil, and Revolution: The Middle East in the 20th Century:
 - A 1,000-1,500 essay (40%)
 - A 2,000-2,500 word end-of-term essay (60%)

Sophister Modules

Most Erasmus and Visiting Students take Junior Fresh or Senior Fresh modules, but a few take Sophister modules, which they can enrol in if there is sufficient space in the modules and they have the necessary prerequisites. Students can enquire about Sophister modules by contacting Dr Sparky Booker, sparky.booker@tcd.ie.

Types of Sophister modules include:

List I modules are taught throughout the year, as two tethered 10 ECTS modules that are co-requisites (you must take both). Students spend two hours per week in class for List I subjects. What sets List I modules apart is the degree to which these modules focus on primary sources, that is, historical materials generated during the period under study. Essays for List I modules will require use of primary sources, and assessments will include questions directly related to primary sources studied in class. You will be assessed by:

- Michaelmas term: one written exercise as prescribed by your module coordinator, 40% of mark, and one essay of around 3000-3500 words, 60% of mark.
- Hilary term: TBC
- In addition, you will be asked to undertake additional coursework, needed to gain the 20 credits for these modules but not counting towards the final grade. This coursework, and the feedback arising from it, will be of great help in preparing for assessed essays and exams. This additional coursework may consist of a second essay each term (making four essays over the year) or an equivalent form of work, such as a presentation, a group project, frequent short pieces of writing, etc.

List II modules are worth 10 ECTS and are taught through two hours of classes per week. There are different marking patterns for different List II modules; module descriptions will indicate which pattern applies to any given module. <https://www.tcd.ie/history/undergraduate/single-honors.php>. Patterns include:

- Two essays, one of 2000-2500 words (40% of mark) and one of 3000-3500 words (60% of mark)
- One essay of 2000-2500 words (40% of mark) and a take-home exam (60% of mark)
- One essay of 5000-6000 words
- One essay of 2000 words (40% of mark) and an in-person exam (60% of mark)

[List III modules](#) are worth 5 ECTS and are taught through one hour of class per week. The assessments will total about 2000 words but the form and requirements will vary by module. See module descriptions and handbooks for details.

The use to which classes are put will vary from module to module. Some staff give regular lectures, while others devote more time to textual analysis, debate or seminar discussion. For precise details see the relevant module guides. In general, however, List I modules require more extensive reading, more intensive primary research and more class exercises than List II modules. Whereas most List I subjects cover short periods, most List II modules cover specified themes over longer periods. The range of available modules roughly reflects the research interests (past, present, or future) of teaching staff.

Essays

Essays allow us to assess your mastery of relevant primary sources and/or secondary reading, your ability to supply full and accurate citations, and your critical and analytical skills. The ability to synthesise information in lucid, clearly-argued prose is no less important than your capacity to carry out systematic research or reading. The more you write, the better you will perform. (See [Guidelines for writing essays](#) below.)

Guidance on essay topics or questions will be found in each module guide. Check with your lecturer and teaching assistants if you have any questions regarding your essay topics.

The word-count of your essay should be *within* the specified range; penalties may apply if it falls above or below the range. If the essay is shorter it is likely that you have not done enough, and if it is longer you have likely either attempted too much, been too wordy, or included irrelevant information. Adjusting your writing plan to the task at hand is part of good writing.

The footnotes and the bibliography must conform to the style prescribed below or another one prescribed by your instructor and are not counted as part of the word count. **Poor citation may lead to lower marks.**

Oral Examinations

A selection of your written work, including essays and examination answers, may be subject to a short *viva voce* (live oral) examination. Where students are selected for a *viva voce* examination, this is part of the assessment and the viva must be passed in order to gain pass marks in the written assignment.

Academic Integrity

Upholding the principles of academic Integrity should be central to all of your work at Trinity College. Plagiarism is an extremely serious matter which carries severe penalties for students. The College has drafted a definition of plagiarism and some rules and guidelines which must be followed, which can be accessed here, [Academic Integrity Homepage](#) College now requires **all** students to complete an online tutorial on maintaining academic Integrity and avoiding plagiarism, the 'Ready, Steady, Write' tutorial, at [Ready Steady Write](#) All essay cover sheets now include a statement confirming that students have read the

College regulations and taken the online tutorial. You can find the text of this declaration at [Coversheet Declaration](#)

Failure to comply with these regulations will result in serious penalties and/or disciplinary steps in accordance with College Regulations. The consequences may be found here [Levels and Consequences](#)

If you are unsure of what the plagiarism regulations require, please contact your tutorial teacher, your module coordinator, your College Tutor, the Junior Fresh Coordinator or the Head of Department.

The official College position is set out in the [Calendar](#) and the principal points are as follows:

96 General

It is clearly understood that all members of the academic community use and build on the work and ideas of others. However, it is essential that we do so with integrity, in an open and explicit manner, and with due acknowledgement.

Any action or attempted action that undermines academic integrity and may result in an unfair academic advantage or disadvantage for any member of the academic community or wider society may be considered as academic misconduct. Examples of academic misconduct include, but are not limited to:

- (i) plagiarism - presenting work/ideas taken from other sources without proper acknowledgement. Submitting work as one's own for assessment or examination, which has been done in whole or in part by someone else, or submitting work which has been created using artificial intelligence tools, where this has not been expressly permitted;
- (ii) self-plagiarism - recycling or borrowing content from the author's own previous work without citation and submitting it either for an assignment or an examination;
- (iii) collusion - undisclosed collaboration of two or more people on an assignment or task, or examination, which is supposed to be completed individually;
- (iv) falsification/fabrication;
- (v) exam cheating - action or behaviour that violates examination rules in an attempt to give one learner an unfair advantage over another;
- (vi) fraud/impersonation - actions that are intended to deceive for unfair advantage by violating academic regulations. Using intentional deception to gain academic credit;
- (vii) contract cheating - form of academic misconduct in which a person uses an undeclared and/or unauthorised third party to assist them to produce work for academic credit or progression, whether or not payment or other favour is involved. Contract cheating is any behaviour whereby a learner arranges to have another person or entity ('the provider') complete (in whole or in part) any assessment (e.g. exam, test, quiz, assignment, paper, project, problems) for the learner. If the provider is also a student, both students are in violation.
- (viii) Further examples of the above available at www.tcd.ie/teaching-learning/academic-integrity.

97 Academic misconduct in the context of group work

Students should normally submit assessments and/or examinations done in co-operation with other students only when the co-operation is done with the full knowledge and permission of the lecturer concerned. Without this permission, submitting assessments and/or examinations which are the product of collaboration with other students may be considered to be academic

misconduct.

When work is submitted as the result of a group project, it is the responsibility of all students in the group to ensure, so far as is possible, that no work submitted by the group is plagiarised, or that any other academic misconduct has taken place. In order to avoid academic misconduct in the context of collaboration and group work, it is particularly important to ensure that each student appropriately attributes work that is not their own.

Should a module co-ordinator suspect academic misconduct in a group assignment, the procedure in cases of suspected academic misconduct must be followed for each student.

98. Avoiding academic misconduct

Students should ensure the integrity of their work by seeking advice from their module coordinator, tutor or supervisor on avoiding academic misconduct. All schools and departments must include, in their handbooks or other literature given to students, guidelines on the appropriate methodology for the kind of work that students will be expected to undertake. In addition, a general set of guidelines for students on avoiding academic misconduct is available at <https://libguides.tcd.ie/academic-integrity>.

99. Procedure in cases of suspected academic misconduct

If academic misconduct as referred to in §96 above is suspected, in the first instance, the module co-ordinator may choose to arrange an informal meeting with the student to discuss the instance of concern. Following this informal meeting, or if a meeting is not necessary, the module co-ordinator must complete the academic integrity form (accessed via www.tcd.ie/teachinglearning/academic-integrity), which will provide an indicative score and level, as below.

- (i) Level 1: (0 - 200) poor academic practice/conduct
- (ii) Level 2: (201 - 350) academic misconduct (minor)
- (iii) Level 3: (351 - 500) academic misconduct (major)
- (iv) Level 4: (501+) academic misconduct (severe)

Levels 1 - 3 are normally managed by the School, and all level 4 cases will be referred directly to the Junior Dean.

Level 1 (0 - 200): Scores in the range 0 - 200 are considered to reflect poor academic practice and level 1 consequences should apply. The module co-ordinator must inform their School's Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or their designate, who will either approve the outcome, or if they form the view that the misconduct is more serious, agree an alternative level with the module co-ordinator. Levels 2 - 3 (201 - 500): The module co-ordinator must inform their School's Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or their designate, of the suspected infringement and proposed consequence. If the Director or designate approves the recommended consequences, the module co-ordinator will write to the student advising them of the suspected infringement of academic integrity, offering them the option of an appropriate consequence should they admit that misconduct has taken place.

If the Director or designate forms the view that the alleged misconduct requires further investigation, or if the student disputes the academic misconduct or the consequence, it will proceed to the academic integrity meeting.

100. Academic integrity meeting

The Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or their designate, writes to the student and

the student's tutor indicating the nature of the suspected academic misconduct and the evidence for it,

and inviting the student to:

- (i) respond to the suspicions by completing and submitting the academic integrity response form (accessed via www.tcd.ie/teaching-learning/academic-integrity) within an appropriate timeline determined by the School;
- (ii) indicate whether or not they (and a representative) plan to attend an academic integrity meeting on a specified date. If the student and or/representative is unable to attend, or chooses not to attend, the meeting will take place as planned.

The academic integrity response form will be the student's submission to the meeting. The academic integrity meeting is attended by a Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) or their designate (Chair); two academic colleagues from the School (at least one from the discipline to which the module belongs); the student and their tutor (or a representative of the Students' Union), if they wish; the co-ordinator of the module, if they wish, but only to present additional evidence.

The academic integrity meeting considers the assessment or examination(s) in question; the academic integrity form (and any verbal submissions by the module co-ordinator, if present); the student's academic integrity response form (and any verbal submissions by the student and/or tutor, if present).

The academic integrity meeting assesses the abovementioned evidence in order to determine at what level (if at all) academic misconduct has occurred and selects a consequence appropriate to that level, giving due consideration to any mitigating circumstances. Minutes of the meeting must be recorded. The Chair completes the academic integrity meeting decision form (accessed via www.tcd.ie/teaching-learning/academic-integrity), which is submitted for approval to the Senior Lecturer/Dean of Undergraduate Studies. The Senior Lecturer may approve, reject, or vary the recommended consequence, or seek further information before making a decision. If the Senior Lecturer considers that the consequences provided for under the above procedure are inappropriate given the circumstances of the case, he/she may also refer the matter directly to the Junior Dean who will interview the student and may implement the procedures as referred to 50 Calendar 2023-24 under CONDUCT AND COLLEGE REGULATIONS §2. If the Senior Lecturer/Dean of Undergraduate Studies approves the recommended consequence, the Chair communicates the decision to the student and their tutor.

101. Consequences in cases of suspected academic misconduct
- If the instance of concern can be dealt with under the above procedure, one of the following consequences will be recommended:
- (i) Level 1: (0 - 200): poor academic practice/conduct
 - Mandatory academic integrity training is required
 - Informal warning – a record is kept for the duration of the learner's enrolment on the programme of study to inform any future instances of concern
 - The work must be corrected. The student is required to amend all elements identified as poor academic practice. Other content may or may not be altered as appropriate to the assessment and as determined by the School. The corrected work will be assessed. In the case of examinations, the work must be reassessed. The mark for the component/ assessment/examination may or may not be reduced;
 - (ii) Level 2: (201 - 350): academic misconduct (minor infringement)
 - Mandatory academic integrity training is required
 - Formal warning – a written warning is issued by the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) or designate, and the instance of academic misconduct is recorded for the duration of the learner's enrolment on the programme of study

- The work must be resubmitted. The student is required to amend all elements identified as breaching academic integrity. Other content may or may not be altered as appropriate to the assessment and as determined by the School. The resubmitted work will be assessed. In the case of examinations, the work must be reassessed. The component/assessment/ examination mark will be reduced or capped at the pass mark and might not be confirmed until the reassessment Court of Examiners;

(iii) Level 3: (351 - 500): academic misconduct (major infringement)

- Mandatory academic integrity training is required

- Formal warning – a written warning is issued by the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) or designate, and the instance of academic misconduct is recorded for the duration of the learner's enrolment on the programme of study

- The work must be resubmitted at the reassessment session. The student is required to amend all elements identified as breaching academic integrity. Other content may or may not be altered as appropriate to the assessment and as determined by the School. In the case of examinations, the work must be reassessed. The mark for the module will be capped at the pass mark and will not be confirmed until the reassessment Court of Examiners;

(iv) Level 4: (501 - 615): severe academic misconduct

- The case will be referred directly to the Junior Dean.

102. If the facts of the case are in dispute, or if the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or designate, feels that the consequences provided for under the academic misconduct procedure are inappropriate given the circumstances of the case, they may refer the case directly to the Junior Dean, who will interview the student and may implement the procedures as referred to under under CONDUCT AND COLLEGE REGULATIONS §2. Nothing provided for under the summary procedure diminishes or prejudices the disciplinary powers of the Junior Dean under the 2010 [Consolidated Statutes](#).

Submission of essays

All essays must be formatted for A4 paper, with 1 ½ or double---spacing and a wide margin for comments. All quotations, and substantive information taken indirectly from other works, must be acknowledged by means of footnotes giving author, title, place and date of publication, and page number. When citing unpublished sources, students should follow the advice of the module teacher. A bibliography, listing the documentary sources, books, and articles used (including all those acknowledged in footnotes) must be appended to the essay. The Department's Guidelines for writing essays are found below.

Essays must be submitted on Blackboard via Turnitin, **not** to your module coordinator or teaching

assistant, by 11:00 a.m. on the specified date. (Dates are to be found in the 'Important dates' section at the end of this handbook.) They must include a signed coversheet including a declaration concerning plagiarism. Copies of coversheets can be downloaded on the Departmental webpage.

Please note 11am is the latest you can submit without incurring a penalty

Essays may not be posted, e-mailed or handed to academic staff.

Students are required to retain their own electronic copy of all written work. If we do not

receive the electronic copy of your essay, you will be deemed not to have submitted your essay and standard penalties for non-submission will be applied.

Deadlines

Deadlines are serious. The deadlines for 2026-7 are available on the final page of this handbook. Deadlines exist so that you can structure your work with maximum efficiency and success. Serious penalties for late submission apply. Extensions will be granted only in exceptional circumstances. **Extensions can only be granted by the Incoming Erasmus/Visiting Students Co-ordinator, Dr Sparky Booker, sparky.booker@tcd.ie.**

No written work will be accepted for assessment after the due date, in the absence of an extension granted in advance by the Erasmus/Visiting Students Co-ordinator, covering the entire period of delay.

Extensions will be granted only in the most exceptional circumstances. Crashed computers, minor mishaps etc. will not be taken as satisfactory grounds for the granting of extensions. No requests for an extension on the day of submission of written work will be considered. An essay deemed to be late by the Year Coordinator will be given a mark of not more than 40%.

Where no essay is forthcoming, you will fail that entire module as a result.

Feedback

Marked term essays are returned in individual meetings with your lecturers or teaching assistants. They will arrange times for you to discuss your essay for about 15 minutes. Times will be notified either by e-mail or on Blackboard – so check both regularly. It is very important that you attend meetings about feedback on your work. They provide an invaluable opportunity for you to be given individual feedback on the strengths and weaknesses of your written work. Students who achieve high marks are usually those students who take careful note of what is said to them in feedback meetings and act upon the advice as they progress through the degree. Your module coordinator will not arrange a feedback meeting for your final essay unless you specifically request one.

Feedback sessions are also an opportunity for you to ask about any aspects of the topic about which you are unsure but did not wish to raise in class discussion. You may also receive guidance on how to improve your essay writing skills and your capacity for historical analysis. Do not hesitate to ask about

the mechanics of writing, as well as about matters of historical interpretation.

In order to benefit as much as possible from these sessions, you should do the following:

- Always keep a copy of your essay or assignment and read through it before coming to the meeting
- Make a note of any questions that you would like to ask – e.g. ‘I don’t understand what MacInnes was arguing in the conclusion to his book’; ‘I wasn’t sure if this point went in the conclusion of introduction’; ‘I really just didn’t know what else to write;’ etc.
- Take notes of the replies to these questions and think about them afterwards.
- When you come to write the next essay, look over your notes and think about how to apply the suggestions for improvement to your next submission.

Examinations

All examination papers consist of ‘unseen’ questions related to your module. You are allowed to consult your notes or readings. The function of examination questions is to assess your capacity to develop an argument, breadth of knowledge, analytical skills, and mastery of the readings set for lectures and tutorials. Exam answers are not to be considered full-fledged essays and do not require full citation apparatus; direct quotations or heavy reliance on someone

else’s argument should be accompanied by brief references but format is less crucial than in an essay.

Examination and Essay marking criteria

First class (70-80)

Structure and focus

- Engages closely with the question throughout, showing a mature appreciation of its wider implications.
- The structure of the argument is lucid and allows for the development of a coherent and cogent argument.
- Factual evidence and descriptive material is used to support the writer’s argument, and is both concise and relevant.

Quality of argument and expression

- The writing will be fluent, coherent and accurate.
- The writing will go well beyond the effective paraphrasing of the ideas of other historians. It will show that the writer has a good conceptual command of the historical and, where relevant, historiographical issues under discussion.
- The work will display originality and imagination, as well as analytical skills of a high order.
- The work will demonstrate that the writer can move between generalisations and detailed discussion confidently.

Range of knowledge

- The answer demonstrates in---depth reading and critical analysis of the texts, secondary literature and (where relevant) contemporary sources.
- The answer demonstrates that the writer has a comprehensive knowledge of the subject and a good understanding of the historical period under discussion.

- The writer will demonstrate an ability to evaluate the nature and status of the information at their disposal and identify contradictions and attempt a resolution.

Upper second class (60-69)

Structure and focus

- Work which displays an understanding of the question, an appreciation of some of its wider implications and tries seriously to engage with the question.
- The structure of the answer will facilitate the clear development of the writer's argument. But towards the lower end of this mark band the candidate will not be able to sustain a consistently analytical approach.
- The writer will deploy relevant evidence to support the argument. But towards the lower end of this mark band, the writer may not explain the full implications of the evidence cited.

Quality of argument and expression

- The answer will be clear and generally accurate, and will demonstrate an appreciation of the technical vocabulary used by historians.
- The answer will deploy the ideas of other historians and try to move beyond them. It will also show some appreciation of the extent to which historical explanations are contested.
- The answer may not demonstrate real originality or imagination, but the writer will present ideas with some degree of intellectual independence, and show an ability to reflect on the past and its interpretations.

Range of knowledge

- The answer will display an extensive, but sometimes uneven, range of knowledge. It will demonstrate evidence of considerable reading.
- The answer will demonstrate a sense of the nature of historical development.
- The writer will demonstrate an ability to move between generalisations and detailed discussions, although there may be a tendency towards either over-generalised or an over-particularised response to the question.
- The writer will reflect on the nature of the evidence and sources available to them and attempt to use it critically.
- The answer will demonstrate a secure understanding of the historical period under discussion.

Lower second class (50-59)

Structure and focus

- The work will display some understanding of the question, but it may lack a sustained focus and only a limited understanding of the question's wider implications.
- The structure of the work may be determined largely by the material available to the writer, rather than by the demands of the question. Ideas may be stated rather than fully developed.
- The writing may include descriptive and factual material, but without the kind of critical reflection characteristic of answers in higher mark bands.

Quality of argument and expression

- The writing will be sufficiently accurate to convey the writer's meaning, but it may lack fluency and command of the scholarly idioms used by historians. It may be clumsy in places.
- The writing will show some understanding of historians' ideas. But it may not reflect critically upon them. The problematic nature of historical explanations may not be fully understood.
- The answer is unlikely to show any intentional originality, and may tend towards the assertion of essentially derivative ideas.

Range of knowledge

- The answer will show significant knowledge, but it may be limited or patchy. It will be sound, but may contain some inaccuracies. The range of reading will be limited.
- The answer will show only limited awareness of historical development.

- The writer may show a proneness to present too much narrative or descriptive material, and may present information without reference to the precise requirements of the question.
- Information may be presented uncritically and there will be little attempt to evaluate its status or significance.
- The answer will demonstrate some appreciation of the nature of the historical period under discussion.

Third class (40-49)

Structure and focus

- Work that displays little understanding of the question and the writer may tend to write indiscriminately around it.
- The answer will have a structure, but it may be underdeveloped, and the argument may be incomplete and developed in a haphazard and undisciplined manner.
- Some descriptive material will be deployed, but without any critical reflection of its significance or relevance

Quality of argument and expression

- The writing may not always be grammatical, and it may lack the sophisticated vocabulary or construction needed to sustain a complex historical argument. In places it may lack clarity and felicity of expression.
- There will be little appreciation of the contested and problematic nature of historical explanations.
- The answer will show no intentional originality of approach
- There will be sufficient knowledge to frame a basic answer, but it will be patchy and limited. There are likely to be some inaccuracies.
- There will be some understanding of historical development, but it will be underdeveloped, and the ideas of historians and others may be muddled or misunderstood.
- There will be an argument, but the writer may be prone to excessive narrative, and the argument may be signposted by bald assertions rather than informed generalisations.
- Information will be employed uncritically as if it was always self-explanatory.
- The answer will demonstrate only a rudimentary appreciation of the historical period under discussion.

Fail 1 (30-39)

Structure and focus

- Work that displays very limited understanding of the question and in many places displays a tendency to write indiscriminately around it.
- The answer will have a weak structure that is poorly developed. There is only a limited and somewhat incoherent argument.
- Only a limited amount of descriptive material will be deployed, usually without any critical reflection on its significance or relevance.

Quality of argument and expression

- The writing will frequently be ungrammatical, and will not be such as is required to sustain a complex historical argument. It will often lack clarity and felicity of expression.
- There will be almost no appreciation of the contested and problematic nature of historical explanations.
- The answer will show no intentional originality of approach.
- There will only be sufficient knowledge to frame a very basic answer. It will contain many inaccuracies.
- There will be only a limited understanding of historical development.
- There will be only very limited evidence of an argument.
- Information will be employed uncritically and as if it was always self-explanatory.
- The answer will demonstrate only a very rudimentary and extremely limited appreciation of the historical period under discussion.

Fail 2 (20-29)

Structure and focus

- Work that displays little or no real understanding of the question.
- The answer will have a weak structure, which is poorly developed. There is no coherent argument.
- Only a very limited amount of descriptive material will be deployed, without any critical reflection on its significance or relevance. Some of it will be irrelevant.

Quality of argument and expression

- The writing will be ungrammatical. Ideas will sometimes be presented in note form.
- There will be no appreciation of the contested and problematic nature of historical explanations.
- The answer will show no intentional originality of approach. Range of knowledge
 - There will not be sufficient knowledge to frame even a basic answer.
 - There will be no real understanding of historical development.
 - There will be little if any evidence of an argument.
 - It will contain little relevant information.
 - The answer will demonstrate no real appreciation of the historical period under discussion.
-

Results

The examination periods, when final essays are due and are marked, are a particularly busy time for the Department and we try to provide students with their results as promptly as we possibly can.

College regulations prohibit us from providing results over the phone or to a third party.

Supplemental examinations

Supplemental examinations are available for those who fail modules. To pass the year you need to secure an overall mark of 40% and to obtain 60 ECTS, either by passing all modules or by

'compensation'. Please refer to College policy on passing by compensation.

Non-satisfactory performance

Students who have failed to obtain credit for one module or more in either Michaelmas Term or Hilary Term, for any reasons listed above, may be returned as 'non-satisfactory' at the end of that term. You will be informed of this decision through your College Tutor. If you believe that you should not have been so recorded, you should state your case to your College Tutor, who must submit an appeal to the Department by the second Monday of the following term. Students who are recorded as 'non-satisfactory' in both Michaelmas and Hilary Terms are not entitled to credit for the year, and may be excluded from sitting the examinations.

Guidelines for writing essays

Preparation

The usual purpose of writing a history essay is to answer a specific question or set of interlocking

questions, not to provide a mere chronology of vaguely related events. Where the essay title does not consist of a specific question, you should formulate your own question to limit the topic.

You should prepare for an essay by using the reading lists provided by the module lecturer, which are directly relevant to the subject, making use where appropriate also of relevant reference works. In reading, you should attempt to take account of historical controversies surrounding the topic. Before writing the essay, devise an outline with a clear structure. This may be submitted with the essay.

Essays should concentrate on argument and analysis, and not narrative.

An essay which simply narrates a series of events without analysing them will always score a low mark, no matter how well written and presented it is. In assessing essays, teachers take account of attributes such as critical ability, range of reading and analysis, accuracy, structure, expression, presentation and originality of thought. The mark represents a medley of distinct evaluations. An interesting, provocative, but technically flawed, essay might receive the same grade as one which is systematic, faultlessly presented, but dull.

Sourcing information

All direct and indirect **quotations**, as well as the ideas or opinions of others, must be referenced. Indirect quotations should be extensively reworded, reordered and their contents analysed, in order to avoid suspicion of plagiarism. As a general rule, any information taken from a book/article/website must be sourced. However, it is not necessary to source general information or facts (e.g. Hitler came to power in 1933) which are common knowledge and/or can easily be verified.

Structure

The basic structure of any essay should consist of an introduction, a main part, a conclusion, and a bibliography. The **introduction** should define a specific question or discuss the question already posed and outline how the student intends going about answering the question. Any terms/concepts requiring definition should be dealt with in the introduction (e.g. 'Was the First World War a total war?' requires a definition of what 'total war' is.).

The **main part** of an essay should consist of several central points, which deal with individual aspects of the question posed and lead up to an answer, or a set of possible conclusions based on the evidence. A clear structure will make the argument more coherent and easier to follow.

The **conclusion** should make a case for the arguments put forward in the essay. The reader does not need a summary of the preceding pages, but to be left thinking about the arguments put forward in a concise and coherent way. The conclusion does not have to be definitive but may consider possible implications or future directions.

The **bibliography** is dealt with below.

Format and prose

- Essays must be typed or word-processed and may be submitted as Word, .rtf, or .pdf files.
- Pages must be numbered consecutively

- Text must be spaced at 1 ½ or double with generous left- and right-hand margins.
- Font size must be 12 point; footnotes must be 10 point.
- Quotations longer than three lines should be separated from the text and indented (reduced font size or single line spacing are optional but must be applied consistently).
- Paragraphs should be limited to less than a page and the development of a single point; single sentence paragraphs should be avoided.
- Write full sentences, do not link two grammatically separate sentences with a comma. (The previous sentence makes this mistake in order to demonstrate it.) All sentences begin with a capital letter and end with a full stop. This applies to footnotes as well as text. Quotations, too, must end with a full stop at some point: the footnote number and the inverted commas are no substitute for the full stop.
- Where possible the active rather than the passive form should be used (again, this sentence makes the mistake in order to demonstrate it).
- Avoid abbreviations (e.g. 'esp.' for especially) as much as possible within the text. Where abbreviations are used they should be consistent throughout the essay.
- Use the first person ('I', 'we') very sparingly if at all.
- Elisions (e.g. doesn't, isn't) as well as slang, jargon and an excessive use of metaphors constitute informal style not suited to a formal scholarly essay.
- A frequent mistake made is the use of it's (it is) instead of its.
- Use italics for foreign words and the titles of books, films, etc. within the text.
- Spell out all numbers less than 101 should be spelt out, except in groups or in statistical discussion. Figures in thousands, such as 5,000, take a comma.
- Note elisions: 156-9, but (for teens) 115-16.
- Dates should be formatted in the following manner: 12 December 1970 (no comma) in the text; 12 Dec. 1970 in footnotes. Elisions: 1834---5, 1816---17, except that in article headings and in citing titles of books and articles use 1834---1835, i.e. without elision. Place a comma before dates in titles of books and articles. Decades: 1850s not 1850's; eighties not '80s. 'Sixteenth century' (noun, without hyphen); 'sixteenth---century' (adjective, with hyphen).
- 'From 1785 to 1789', not 'from 1785-89'; likewise, 'between 1785 and 1789', not 'between 1785-89'.
- Attention should be paid to spelling and grammar; names, place names, and foreign words are frequently misspelled.
- Re-read your work before submitting it and, if possible, ask someone else to read it.

Referencing

Bibliography

Every essay must contain a bibliography, situated at the end of the essay, listing the works consulted. Only works actually consulted should be listed. There are different methods of constructing a bibliography; the main thing is that it is consistent within itself and that sufficient information is supplied to trace the materials listed.

Divide the bibliography into **primary sources** and **secondary sources**.

Primary sources

- Primary sources, depending on your field, might include: Manuscript sources (list by order of archive)
Official publications
Newspapers and periodicals
Contemporary printed texts and modern editions of contemporary sources
- As everyone's range of primary sources will differ consult with your module lecturer or teaching assistant as to the most appropriate method of arranging your particular bibliography.
- When listing primary sources (especially medieval) without an apparent author the text should be listed first followed by the name of the editor. Where the author is known the editor of the edition used must also be included. For example, *Die Chroniken Bertholds von Reichenau und Bertolds von Konstanz*, ed. I.S. Robinson (Hanover, 2003).

Secondary sources

Books and articles should be listed as secondary sources.

Books

When citing a book, observe the following order:

- author's surname
- author's first name
- Editors should be identified as such by placing '(ed.)' after their name – e.g. Roger Griffin (ed.). For more than one editor use '(eds)'.
- title in italics
- place and date of publication (The place of publication is the town and not the country (e.g. London and not England or Great Britain; Princeton, NJ, not just New Jersey.)
- edition used and date of first edition (if not first edition), for example:
 - Karras, Ruth, *Common women: prostitution and sexuality in medieval England* (Oxford, 1996)
 - Ó Siochrú, Micheál (ed.), *Kingdoms in crisis: Ireland in the 1640s* (Dublin, 2000)
 - Jackson, Isabella, *Shaping modern Shanghai: colonialism in China's global city* (Cambridge, 2017)
- The common abbreviation for page is 'p.', for pages 'pp.' (e.g. p. 3 and pp. 3-5).

Chapters in books

When citing a book chapter, observe the following order:

- author's surname
- author's first name
- title of the chapter
- editor's or editors' full name or names
- title of book
- place and date of publication
- edition and date of first edition (if not first edition)
- page numbers of the full chapter For example:
 - Duffy, Sean, 'Henry II and England's insular neighbours', in Christopher Harper---Bill and Nicholas Vincent (eds), *Henry II: new interpretations* (Woodbridge, 2007), 129– 53.
 - Murdock, Graeme, 'A magyar reformatus egyhaztortenet---iras', in G. Fazakas, D. Csorba & B. Barath (eds), *Egyhaz es kegyesseg a kora ujkorban. Kutatastorteneti tanulmanyok* (Debrecen, 2009), 59---82.
 - Flavin, Susan, 'Domestic Materiality in Ireland, 1550-1730', in J. Ohlmeyer (ed.), *The Cambridge History of Ireland*, vol. 2, *Early Modern Ireland, 1550-1730* (Cambridge, 2018), 321-45.
 - O'Neill, Ciaran, 'Pearse, Parnell & the priests: history and politics in the Irish schoolboy novel', in K. Jencova (ed.), *The politics of Irish writing* (Prague, 2010), 69- 77.

Articles in journals

When citing a journal article, observe the following order:

- author's surname
- author's first name
- title of article
- name of journal
- volume, number, and year of journal
- page numbers of article
- edition and date of first edition (if not first edition) For example:
 - Bruisch, Katja, 'The Soviet village revisited: household farming and the changing image of socialism in the late Soviet period', *Cahiers du Monde Russe*, 57 (2016), 81-100.
 - Clarke, Joseph, "'The rage of the fanatics': religious fanaticism and the making of revolutionary violence", *French History*, 33 (2019), 236-58.
 - Dolan, Anne, 'Death in the archives: witnessing war in Ireland, 1919-1921', *Past and Present*, 253 (2021), 271-300.

Websites

When citing a website, observe the following order:

- name of website
- exact website address in brackets (URL)
- date of access For example:
Trinity College Dublin (<http://www.tcd.ie>) [accessed: 1 September 2024].

****Always check with your module lecturer or tutorial teacher that the websites you are consulting are reputable and authoritative sources of information.**

Issues will arise in your bibliography because of the individual nature of your research. Consult your module lecturer if you are in doubt about any issues of presentation or citation.

Footnotes

References must be inserted as consecutively numbered footnotes after the relevant text passage. References must be consistent and unambiguous, containing precise page references, not only for direct quotations but also for indirect ones. Poor citation may lead to the impairment of grades.

These are just some general guidelines but consult your module lecturer about their preferred style sheet.

- The **first reference** to a particular work should contain the same information as the bibliographic entry with just two differences:
 - i. the order of the author's name is reversed, so author's first name and then surname
 - ii. and you must include specific page references

For example: David Fitzpatrick, *Harry Boland's Irish revolution* (Cork, 2003), 57.

- **Subsequent references** to the same source should use an abbreviated form (author's surname, abbreviated title, page number(s)). Where references are identical (or only refer to different page or volume numbers) and follow one another immediately, the second and following ones may be abbreviated with '**ibid.**' (i.e. 'just there') or by a short title: Simms, *Kings to Warlords*. Do not use 'ibid.' where there is more than one reference in the preceding note. Use '**idem**' to denote a repetition of the immediately preceding author's name, where only a different book or article title (and page references) needs to be recorded. Use '**passim**' to denote that a topic is referred to periodically throughout the source cited. Do not use '**op. cit.**' or '**loc. cit.**' Only use '**cf.**' when it really does mean 'compare'; otherwise use 'see'. For example:

¹ Mridu Rai, *Hindu Rulers, Muslim Subjects: Islam, Rights and the History of Kashmir* (Princeton NJ, 2004), 122-36

² Daniel Geary, 'Carey McWilliams and antifascism, 1934-1943', *Journal of American History*, 90 (2003), 912-34, at pp. 919-20

³ Rai, *Hindu Rulers*, 14-18. *Ibid.* [or Rai, *Hindu Rulers*], 17.

⁴ Geary, 'Carey McWilliams', 933.

- When abbreviating months in footnotes, note standard abbreviations: Jan., Feb., Mar., Apr., May, Jun., Jul., Aug., Sept., Oct., Nov., Dec.
- Use abbreviations (e.g. for archive repositories) only if the abbreviation has been

explained in the initial reference

- Footnotes should not in general be discursive. Occasionally, they may be used to provide further explanatory information which is of secondary importance to the point being made and would disrupt the flow of the argument.

Section 3: Important dates

VISITING AND ERASMUS STUDENTS (JUNIOR FRESH MODULES)

Note: All essays are due via Blackboard before 11.00am on the relevant date

WEEK	DATE	MICHAELMAS TERM 2026
5	Mon 21 Sept.	Lectures begin in all modules
6	w/b Mon., 28 Sept.	Tutorials begin this week in: • HIU11010 History in the Present • HIU12035 Saints and Sinners in Medieval Europe • HIU12037 The Sexual Politics of Modern Ireland
7	w/b Mon., 5 Oct.	Tutorials begin this week in: • HIU12036 Europe Divided, c. 1480-1620 • HIU12043 The World Wars, 1914-1945
9	Mon 19 Oct.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU11010 History in the Present
9	Fri., 23 Oct.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU11011 Imagining History
10	w/b Mon 26 Oct.	READING WEEK
10	Mon., 26 Oct.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12037 The Sexual Politics of Modern Ireland
10	Fri., 30 Oct.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12035 Saints and Sinners in Medieval Europe
11	Mon., 2 Nov.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12036 Europe Divided, c. 1480-1620
12	Mon., 9 Nov.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • Tbc
13	Mon., 16 Nov.	Submission of Assignment 2 in: • HIU12035 Saints and Sinners in Medieval Europe
14	Mon., 23 Nov.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU12037 The Sexual Politics of Modern Ireland
15	Mon., 30 Nov.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU12036 Europe Divided, c. 1480-1620
15	Fri., 4 Dec.	Lectures end
16	Mon., 7 Dec.	Submission of End-of-Term Assignment in: • TBC
16	Thurs., 10 Dec. Mon. 21 Dec.	Examinations will be scheduled during this period in: • HIU11010 History in the Present • HIU12035 Saints and Sinners in Medieval Europe
17	Mon., 21 Dec.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU11011 Imagining History

WEEK	DATE	HILARY TERM 2027
22	Mon 18 Jan.	Lectures begin in all modules
24	Mon., 1 Feb	Tutorials begin from this week: • HIU12039 The English Invasion of Ireland • HIU12041 Earthly Pasts: An Introduction to Environmental History • HIU12038 US History: Power, Politics, People
25	Mon., 8 Feb.	Tutorials begin this week in: • HIU12042 Famine, Land and People: Ireland 1830s-1880s • HIU12054 Birth of Empire: Ireland and the Atlantic World • HIU12055 An Introduction to the History of Japan
27	Mon., 22 Feb.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12039 The English Invasion of Ireland
27	Fri., 26 Feb.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12041 Earthly Pasts: An Introduction to Environmental History
28	Mon., 1 Mar.	READING WEEK
28	Mon., 1 Mar.	Submission of Assignment 1 in • HIU12038 US History: Power, Politics, People • HIU12054 Birth of Empire: Ireland and the Atlantic World
29	Mon., 8 Mar.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12055 An Introduction to the History of Japan
29	Fri 12 Mar.	Submission of Assignment 2 in: • HIU12039 The English Invasion of Ireland
30	Mon., 15 Mar.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • HIU12042 Famine, Land and People: Ireland 1830s-1880s
31	Mon., 22 Mar.	Submission of Assignment 1 in: • TBC
32	Mon., 29 Mar.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU12041 Earthly Pasts: An Introduction to Environmental History
33	Tues., 6 Apr.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU12038 US History: Power, Politics, People • HIU12054 Birth of Empire: Ireland and the Atlantic World
33	Fri., 9 Apr.	Lectures end
34	Mon., 12 Apr.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU12042 Famine, Land and People: Ireland 1830s-1880s • HIU12055 An Introduction to the History of Japan
35-36	20 Apr. – 30 Apr.	Examinations will be scheduled during this period in: • HIU12039 The English Invasion of Ireland

Section 4: Important dates
VISITING AND ERASMUS STUDENTS (SENIOR FRESH MODULES)
All essays due before 11.00am on the relevant date

WEEK	DATE	MICHAELMAS TERM 2026
3	w/b Mon. 14 Sept.	Lectures begin in all modules
6	w/b Mon. 28 Sept.	Tutorials begin this week in the following modules: • HIU22010 Writing History • HIU12022 Early Christian Ireland, 400-800 AD • HIU12046 Thinking about Thought: Ideas in History
7	w/b Mon. 5 Oct.	Tutorials begin this week in the following modules: • HIU12047 American Dreams: Culture in the US • HIU12048 Modern Eastern Europe, 1890-1990 • HIU12049 Humans and the Environment in Modern History
9	Mon. 19 Oct.	Submission of Essay 1/Assignment in: • HIU22010 Writing History
10	w/b Mon. 26 Oct.	Reading Week
10	Mon., 26 Oct.	Submission of Essay 1/Assignment in: • HIU12046 Thinking about Thought: Ideas in History
11	Mon., 2 Nov.	Submission of Essay 1/Assignment in: • HIU12022 Early Christian Ireland, 400-800 AD
12	Mon., 9 Nov.	Submission of Essay 1/Assignment in: • HIU12049 Humans and the Environment in Modern History
12	Fri. 13 Nov.	Submission of Essay 1/Assignment in: • HIU12047 American Dreams: Culture in the US
13	Mon., 16 Nov.	Submission of Essay 1/Assignment in: • HIU12048 Modern Eastern Europe, 1890-1990
15	Mon., 30 Dec.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: • HIU12022 Early Christian Ireland, 400-800 AD
15	Fri., 4 Dec.	Teaching Ends
16	Mon., 7 Dec.	Submission of End-of-Term Essay in: HIU12049 Humans and the Environment in Modern History
16-18	Thurs., 10. Dec. - Mon., 21 Dec.	Assessment Session. Examinations will be scheduled during this period in: • HIU22010 Writing History • HIU12046 Thinking about Thought: Ideas in History • HIU12047 American Dreams: Culture in the US • HIU12048 Modern Eastern Europe, 1890-1990

WEEK	DATE	HILARY TERM 2027
22	w/b Mon., 18 Jan.	Lectures begin in all modules
24	w/b Mon., 1 Feb.	Tutorials begin this week in the following modules: • HIU12030 The Hundred Years War • HIU12052 Empire, Oil and Revolution: The Middle East in the 20th Century
25	w/b Mon., 8 Feb.	Tutorials begin this week in the following modules: • HIU12050 Northern Ireland since 1921 • HIU12051 Debating Modern Britain: Conflict, Change and Society in the Long 18th Century
28	w/b Mon., 1 Mar.	Reading Week
29	Mon., 1 Mar.	Submission of Mid-Term Essay/Assignment in: • HIU12030 The Hundred Years War • HIU12052 Empire, Oil and Revolution: The Middle East in the 20th Century
30	Mon., 15 Mar.	Submission of Mid-Term Essay/Assignment in: • HIU12050 Northern Ireland since 1921 • HIU12051 Debating Modern Britain: Conflict, Change and Society in the Long 18th Century
33	Fri 9 Apr.	Lectures end
34	Mon. 12 Apr.	Submission of End of Term essays • HIU12052 Empire, Oil and Revolution: The Middle East in the 20th Century • HIU12051 Debating Modern Britain: Conflict, Change and Society in the Long 18th Century
35-36	20 Apr. – 30 May	Assessment Session. Examinations will be scheduled during this period in: • HIU12030 The Hundred Years War • HIU12050 Northern Ireland since 1921

Section 4: Important dates
VISITING AND ERASMUS STUDENTS (SOPHISTER MODULES)
 All essays due via BlackBoard before 11am on the relevant date

WEEK	DATE	MICHAELMAS TERM 2026
3	Mon 14 Sept	Classes begin in all Sophister modules
5	Mon 28 Sept	Submission of dissertation review essay (2,000-2500 words), dissertation outline and bibliography of major primary and secondary sources (Sen. Soph. only) For students who did HIU33001, HIU33002, and/or HIU33005, portions of your previous work may be included without being considered self-plagiarism.
9	Mon 19 Oct	Submission of source analysis or other written exercise in List I module
10	w/b 26 Oct	READING WEEK
10	Mon 26 Oct	Submission of essay in List II modules that have two essays or essay and exam
12	Mon 09 Nov	Submission of a dissertation draft chapter (2,500-3000 words), table of contents, and full bibliography (Sen. Soph. only)
12	Mon 09 Nov	Submission of essay in HIU33001 (Jun. Soph. only)
14	Fri 04 Dec	Teaching ends
14	Fri 04 Dec	Submission of essay in List I modules
14	Fri 04 Dec	Submission of final essays in List III modules (or the last work in List III modules with more than one assessed piece of work). (Jun. Soph. only)
16	Mon 07 Dec.	Submission of essay in List II modules that have two essays or one long essay
16	Mon 14 Dec – Mon 21 Dec.	Assessment period. Examinations will be scheduled during this period in List II modules that include an exam.
WEEK	DATE	HILARY TERM 2027
22	w/b 18 Jan	Classes begin in all Sophister modules
27	Mon 15 Feb	Submission of essay in List I modules
28	w/b 01 Mar	READING WEEK
29	Mon 08 Mar	Submission of essay in List II modules that have two essays or essay and exam
31	Mon 22 Mar	Submission of Dissertation (Sen. Soph. only)
33	Tues 06 Apr	Submission of Dissertation proposal in HIU33002
33	Fri 09 Apr	Teaching ends
33	Fri 09 Apr	Submission of essay in List II modules that have two essays or one long essay
33	Fri 09 Apr	Submission of final essay in List III modules (or the last work in List III modules with more than one assessed piece of work) (Jun. Soph. only)
35-6	19 Apr-30 Apr	Assessment period. Examinations will be scheduled during this period.