

Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin



Brian Caulfield, Sarah Bowman, Martina Mullin, Sarah Browne, Clare Kelly (Trinity College Dublin)

Working together to re-open our City and Campus post-COVID: A case study of Trinity College Dublin, the University of Dublin

Abstract:

Trinity College Dublin, the University of Dublin (TCD) is located in the city center of Ireland's capital. Since less than 1% of staff drive to the campus and students are not permitted to park on the campus, the University community has been working with Dublin City Council (DCC) to advance planning and built environment interventions to enable staff and students to safely return to work and education, in September 2020. This paper presents the results of the "Commuting to Trinity while Covid-19 Social Distancing is Required" Travel Survey for TCD students and staff, conducted in June and July 2020 (n=2,653). Conducted to determine how staff and students would like to travel to TCD, from September, it identifies which factors influence their mode choice and choice of working locations. The Trinity University campus makes for an interesting case study as it allows us to understand how the reopening of a major employment, educational and cultural site within an urban area, which is primarily served by transit and active transport, can address physical distancing restrictions and decreased capacity of public transport.

Impacts of COVID





Figure 2: Walking and Cycling Zone

Cluster Analysis:

The surveys showed two clusters.

Student Cluster: aged 18-20, that prior to COVID would take the bus to college, and while restrictions are in place would like to study from home 1-2 days a week and when travelling to college would choose to walk (N = 1,499 (63.2%))

Staff Cluster: aged 35-45, that prior to COVID would use rail to get to college, and while restrictions are in place would like to WFH 3+ days a week and when travelling to college would choose to cycle. (N = 873 (36.8%))



Figure 3: Examples of cyclist and pedestrian priority

Table 1: Cross-tabulation of	Cluster Analysis an	nd persoi	nal consid	lerations
Variable		Staff	Student	

Variable		Staff	Student
		Cluster	Cluster
If you lived less than 2km from c consider walking?	ampus would you		
I already walk	Count	114	281
-	% within cluster	14.7%	19.6%
Yes	Count	501	913
	% within cluster	64.5%	63.8%
Yes, if sufficient space for social	Count	80	156
distancing was available	% within cluster	10.3%	10.9%
No, it would take too long	Count	63	68
	% within cluster	8.1%	4.7%
No	Count	19	14
	% within cluster	2.4%	1.0%
If you lived 2- 5km from campus cycling?	would you consider		
I would prefer to walk	Count	154	398
-	% within cluster	19.7%	27.8%
I already cycle	Count	156	135
	% within cluster	19.9%	9.4%
Yes, if safe, segregated cycling	Count	321	644
was available	% within cluster	41.0%	45.0%
Yes, for some proportion of my	Count	30	70
trip	% within cluster	3.8%	4.9%
No, it would take too long	Count	40	46
	% within cluster	5.1%	3.2%
No	Count	82	139

Table 2: Cross-tabula	tion of cluster analysis	s and concerns about c	ontracting COVID

Variable		Staff Cluster	Student Cluster
	out contracting COVID on public		
transport			
No	Count	107	274
	% within cluster	32.1%	46.8%
Yes	Count	226	312
	% within cluster	67.9%	53.2%
I feel the chances o	f contracting COVID are lower		
walking rather than	on public transport		
No	Count	70	247
	% within cluster	21.0%	42.2%
Yes	Count	263	339
	% within cluster	79.0%	57.8%
I feel the chances o	f contracting COVID are lower		
cycling rather than	on public transport		
No	Count	91	198
	% within cluster	27.3%	33.8%
Yes	Count	242	388
	% within cluster	72.7%	66.2%
I feel the chances o	f contracting COVID are lower		
driving			
No	Count	243	507
	% within cluster	73.0%	86.5%
Yes	Count	90	79
	% within cluster	27.0%	13.5%