### Addressing Assessment in an Open-Book Context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moving from Closed-book to Open-Book may….</th>
<th>Potential for Open-Book to Enhance Teaching, Learning, and Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Require modification of broader assessment strategy, e.g. re-thinking (expanding) the role of ‘continuous assessment’.

N.b. Continuous assessment = discrete summative assessment tasks taking place across the term such as essays or lab reports, as opposed to traditional end-of-module exams. | • Open-book assessment tasks are best used to probe conceptual or applied knowledge, or test students’ capacity to manipulate knowledge.
• Can improve depth of learning rather than shallow engagement, e.g. less “binge-learning” before a closed-book exam.
• Can enhance engagement with disciplinary content (capitalising on role of assessment as driving learning).
• Can support redistribution of assessment load more evenly across the module/programme, e.g. through reduction in prevalence of high-stakes final exams (and recognizes iterative nature of student learning across a module/programme).

| • Place additional workload on staff as they re-design or re-purpose assessment tasks for open-book use. | • Can reduce ‘bottlenecks’ in volume of exam assessment to correct.
• Can reduce need for ‘special accommodations’ in a diverse group of learners.
• Can reduce invigilation resourcing needs (e.g. staff time, printing costs).

| • Make it challenging, if not impossible, to test ‘recall’ effectively

1. Un-proctored remote assessment is likely to be open-book - whether access to supporting resources is authorised or not.
2. Proctored remote assessment is not an automatic solution to the need to test recall effectively (data storage risks/privacy concerns/cost of proctoring/infrastructure). | • “Live” short oral exams may be an appropriate way to test ‘recall’-style tasks, where these are essential.

| • Be challenging to familiarise students with the concepts or practices of an open-book assessment. | • Can enhance informational retrieval skills (e.g. students still need to be able to locate appropriate notes/reference materials to support them with an assessment in a time window).
• Can reduce exam stress (e.g. less pressure on ‘one-shot’ assessment where students know they can support their work with notes).

| • Jeopardise academic integrity

1. Not all assessment tasks are suitable for open-book use, particularly those focused predominantly on factual recall. Solutions to recall-style tasks can be easily located online by students, even within a strict time limit.
2. Context inequity is more of a risk than with in-person proctored exams (e.g. addressing connectivity).
3. Potential risk of undesirable collaboration/collusion between students, especially e.g. where a time window is extended. | • Can facilitate greater academic integrity e.g. where steps are taken to minimise ‘recall’-type tasks (See ‘Repurposing Exam Questions’ resource).
• Tasks (re)designed for a hybrid context can facilitate greater assessment integrity. This acknowledges that in a remote context, all assessments carry some risk of open-book behaviours.
• Can take context inequities into account.
• Can be supported through the integration of digital technologies such as similarity report checkers such as Turnitin.
• Can have a transformative effect on learning (e.g. can enable shift in student mindset from knowledge acquisition to knowledge production).
• Can enhance ‘authenticity’ of assessment experience (see ‘Enhancing ‘Authenticity’ in Assessment’).