**Department of Political Science**

**YEAR LONG RESEARCH PROJECT**

**“CAPSTONE” 2020-21**

**“We welcome students to the Capstone Year Long Research Project module, offering an exciting opportunity for individual research projects with the support of others working within a themed group.**

**This document sets out the arrangements for the year ahead, including the Capstone Themes on offer in 2020-21, and students are asked to read it carefully.”**

**Guidelines for Students and Supervisors Concerning the Research Project Module**

**20 Credit Module**

**A. THE MODULE DESCRIPTOR**

**Rationale and Aims**

This research project module is designed to allow students to develop research, teamwork and communication skills, and to engage in meta-learning (learning about learning). It seeks to provide students with an opportunity to engage in self-directed, independent research with the support of a theme instructor and peers. It will facilitate students to engage in a critical and in-depth analysis of a topic in political science, and to communicate their findings to specialist and non-specialist audiences. Students will also be supported to work with their peers and to take responsibility for various tasks within a group setting. A core rationale of the module is to facilitate students in the development of skills of life-long learning, to apply research skills to various contexts and to reflect on their learning, both individually and as a group. Through engaging in an in-depth piece of research, working collaboratively, taking responsibility for tasks, communicating effectively and responding to the needs of research-users, students will be facilitated to develop the graduate attributes promoted throughout the School’s programmes.

**Learning Outcomes**

Having completed this module, students should be able to:

1. Execute a demanding political science research project;
2. Collaborate with peers and act in leadership roles;
3. Constructively evaluate the work of others;
4. Synthesise and evaluate a variety of research methods, sources, findings and analysis.
5. Critically analyse of an area of political science through independent research;
6. Effectively communicate research findings.

**Module Content**

Each group will be organised around a broad research theme, with each student preparing a research project on a particular topic within that theme under the supervision of a theme instructor (academic staff member).

The topics for research will vary from year to year, but the skills employed will be similar.

*Research Theme*

Students will work on an individual piece of research which fits within a theme. The process of discussion and selection of individual projects will happen during the timetabled workshops period. **All supervision will be conducted during the timetabled workshops**.

Each student will work with the theme instructor to select a topic which is both suitable for a research project and aligns with the common theme.

Themes may vary as to whether they offer an “open-ended” choice of projects within the overall theme, subject to approval by the Theme instructor, or whether the Theme instructor will provide a more or less defined list of projects from which Capstone projects can be selected.

Students will work on their dissertation individually, but will meet with other students and the theme instructor in a group setting for a series of workshops. These workshops will provide an opportunity for students to discuss their progress, explore emerging themes in their work, examine the structure of their work, present their research, engage in reflection on their learning, and offer and receive feedback from the theme instructor, as well as their peers.

Groups will comprise no more than twelve students, and may sometimes consist of ‘half groups’ of six students. The number and nature of research themes available each year will depend on available staff resources.

Capstone themes for the year ahead are set out at the end of this document.

**Methods of Teaching and Student Learning**

The research themes will be settled prior to the academic year in which the students take the dissertation module.

Students will be invited to identify several research themes to which they could be assigned, at the same time as their module selection in March / April.

The assignment of students within the module to research groups will take place in April or May.

Theme based workshops will take place every second week for each group from the start of Michaelmas Term. Each workshop will last up to two hours. Students will be encouraged to meet with each other outside of the workshop format on a more regular basis; these meetings will not be supervised by the theme instructor. One-on-one supervision is **not** provided in this module.

In addition to the workshops, a series of lectures for all Capstone students (whichever theme they are pursuing) will commence at the start of Michaelmas Term, at which attendance will be taken. These will cover topics of common interest such as basic research design principles and time management.

A large portion of the work will be conducted by students working independently, outside of the workshops.

**Methods of Assessment**

Students will be assigned an individual mark for their dissertation. This will be worth 65% of the overall mark.

Students will present their research at a poster session at the end of Hilary Term, after the submission of Capstone projects. This will be worth 10% of the overall mark. Guidance on poster requirements will be set out at a later stage.

Students will be assigned an individual mark for their ongoing participation. Ongoing attendance and participation will be worth 10% of the overall mark. The participation mark will be reduced commensurate to any unexcused absences from classes. Students who fail to attend at least 2 workshops will not be permitted to submit a research project. The Theme instructor may require response papers, presentations, readings, discussions of others work in progress, and so on as part of this participation grade.

Students will submit 4-5 other pieces of assessed work 400-500 words each. This written component will be worth 15% of the overall mark.

Students must also submit a 1500 word research proposal in November on which they will receive detailed feedback. A short early proposal may be required by the instructor at the end of October.

The upper word limit for the dissertation will be 8,000 words.

The theme instructor will assess students’ work, subject to moderation within the Political Science Department and by the External Examiner.

Constant attendance and independent work throughout the year (including independent work over the winter break) is an essential requirement of the Capstone research project. Late work will be penalized at a rate of 5 marks per day. All requests for excuses from submission penalties, whether justified by a medical certificate, a LENS report, or other reason, must be submitted in advance of the deadline to the Theme instructor by the student’s college Tutor. Late or *ex post* requests to submit written materials without penalties will not be accepted.

**Evaluation**

Capstone students will be surveyed during and at the end of the academic year for feedback on the Capstone project experience.

**B. APPLYING FOR YOUR CHOSEN RESEARCH THEME**

The research themes will be notified to all students prior to the academic year in which the students take the dissertation module.

It may not be possible to assign students to their first, second or third choice research theme due to constraints concerning the size of the groups.

Students will be required to identify several research themes to which they could be assigned, at the same as their module selection in March. The method for identification will be communicated to students by the Department of Political Science.

The assignment of students within the module to research groups will take place in April or May.

**C. OBTAINING ETHICAL APPROVAL**

When undertaking your research project, you might wish to include research methods that involve the participation of humans. For example, this would be the case if you wanted to conduct interviews or if you decided to hold an online survey. In the first instance, you should discuss any plans for research involving human participants with your Theme instructor.

Conducting research with human participants requires permission in advance from the Department of Political Science. Authorisation can only be granted prior to undertaking the research; the College procedures do not permit retrospective authorisation.

After having discussed this with the theme instructor for your research theme, if you decide that you do wish to undertake such research methods, then you will need to apply for permission. Relevant information will be provided to students during the academic year.

**D. WORKING IN A RESEARCH GROUP: EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS AND THEME INSTRUCTOR**

The research project module involves working with your peers and the theme instructor in a group setting.

**Role of the Student**

Students will be contacted by their allocated Theme instructor before the end of May of the previous year, after the module choices have been submitted, with suggestions and advice for independent summer reading and preparation for the research project in the year ahead.

Students are asked to respect that theme instructors may not be available to provide advice on the research project module in response to email during the summer and before the start of the academic year. Workshops where theme instructors and students work together on the research project will be timetabled. Theme instructors will also be available to students during their office hours each week.

The role of the student is:

* To consider the appropriate subject-matter for their research project, which must be agreed with the theme instructor and fall within the research theme to which the student has been assigned;
* To participate constructively and actively in workshops;
* To take on roles appropriate to the activities of the group;
* To produce spell-checked, referenced work required in the schedule of work outlined below and to send such work to the theme instructor;
* To provide feedback to their peers in a constructive and supportive manner;
* To receive feedback from their peers and theme instructor in a constructive way and to implement an action plan arising out of that feedback;
* To engage in self-directed research and writing;
* To manage their timetable to ensure that their research is completed and submitted on time.

It is a student’s responsibility to ensure that research sources are properly acknowledged; **plagiarism is a serious academic offence**. For more information on academic integrity and good research and writing practices to avoid plagiarism see:

http://tcd-ie.libguides.com/plagiarism

**Role of the Theme Instructor**

The primary role of the theme instructor is to provide advice and feedback to the student on relevant sources, writing style, structure and layout in relation to the research project and:

* To facilitate workshops and thereby assist students to commence their research with appropriate research questions and an appropriate structure;
* To assign introductory reading and suggest suitable sources to students;
* To encourage the development of good research and writing habits;
* To provide written and oral feedback on the student’s written work in a timely fashion;
* To record attendance and review the quality of student participation;
* To lead workshops and support group discussion and interaction;
* Promote constructive and active group engagement;
* Assess each student’s work in coordination with others in the Political Science department.

The research project module is based on group discussion and feedback. The workshops are the primary mechanism for seeking and receiving feedback. One on one, individual supervision will not be provided by the theme instructor. Students will receive written feedback on their work at the times indicated in the timetable below. Outside of these times, theme instructors will not be in a position to provide responses to individual requests for feedback, whether through email or requests for individual meetings. Students can attend at lecturer’s weekly office hours.

**Should a situation arise where a student is dissatisfied with the quality of the supervision they are receiving, a student should make immediate contact with the Departmental Capstone Coordinator, Thomas Chadefaux.**

**Working in groups**

Working with peers and the theme instructor is a feature of the research project module.

Students will give each other feedback in workshops and receive feedback from the theme instructor in this setting also. This is designed to ensure that students have the benefit of a wide variety of perspectives on their work and to learn from others. Students and the theme instructor will be obliged to abide by these values in group work and giving and receiving feedback:

1. To participate actively in the group;
2. To fulfil the responsibilities they have agreed to take on;
3. To provide feedback and support to others;
4. To be respectful and considerate in providing feedback;
5. To acknowledge appropriately the contributions made by others to their work;
6. To work collaboratively for the benefit of everyone in the group and the research as a whole.

Theme instructor will decide with students the appropriate ways to acknowledge contributions in a situation where any work arising out of the research project module is published.

**E. PRESENTATION**

The presentation of final research projects must conform to the below requirements.

**House Style**

All research projects should include:

1. title page;
2. abstract;
3. table of contents;
4. bibliography (sources should be presented in alphabetical order in order of author surname);
5. an indication of the precise final word count,

**Physical Presentation**

Research projects must be typed and printed. They need not be hard-bound, providing that the binding is secure. Printing may be on single or double-sided paper, provided that the print is legible. They should be double-spaced and in 12 point font.

**Word Limits**

The word limits for research projects are as follows:

Individual Capstone research project: maximum 8,000 words.

These are hard limits **(no exceptions are possible)**. These limits **includes text and footnotes** but excludes title page, tables, appendices and bibliography.

**Research projects which exceed the maximum length will have 10 marks deducted.**

The word limit should be regarded as a recommended **maximum** but students are recommended that a successful project is likely to be not shorter than 7,500 words. Students should write concisely, and with rigour and structure.

**F. SUBMISSION**

**Submission Date**

The deadline for submission of research projects is **4pm** **Wednesday of week 8** [the week after Reading Week] **of Hilary Term**. Printed research projects must be submitted to the Department of Political Science and an electronic submission made to Turnitin by this deadline. Research projects submitted at any point after this time will be regarded as late submissions and will be subject to penalties of 5 grade points per day. Students who have not submitted by April 5th will be deemed to have failed and later submissions will not be accepted.

Extensions will only be authorized on the request of the student’s College Tutor and when backed by a medical certificate or similar documentation. All requests for extensions, whether justified by a medical certificate, a LENS report, or other reason, must be submitted in advance of the deadline to the course instructor by the student’s College Tutor. Retrospective requests for extensions will not be considered.

Students who have received a `failed’ mark (no submission or mark lower than 40) can resubmit their project in the supplemental session (August). Feedback will be provided on the project and the changes needed to achieve a passing grade, but **under no circumstances will supervision be offered for these projects after the reading week in Hilary Term**. Feedback from the instructor to allow completion of the project over the summer requires that progress has sufficiently advanced towards a well-defined and developed project by the ordinary submission deadline so that effective feedback of this sort can be given. If no work has been submitted at the deadline, or if – for whatever reason – progress so far has not identified or sufficiently advanced a well identified project, it will be impossible to give effective feedback and direction at this point and the student will not be able to submit a Capstone project in the supplemental session and may therefore need to repeat the Senior Sophister year. It is therefore essential that good progress on the project is made over the academic year before the submission date in March.

**Method of Submission**

**Essays must be submitted in both hard copy form and through Turnitin.**

(i) Hard Copy Submission to Department of Political Science

Students should submit two printed copies with a signed Plagiarism Declaration cover page, neither of which will be returned.

(ii) Submission to Turnitin

Students submitting an essay must also electronically submit a copy of their essay through Turnitin, the College’s anti-plagiarism software. The theme instructor will provide students with details on how to submit their research projects.

For details on how to use Turnitin see

<http://www.tcd.ie/CAPSL/students/integrity-plagarism/>

**G. ASSESSMENT**

Research projects, written assignments, poster sessions, participation in class will be assessed by the Theme instructor, in coordination with the Departmental Capstone coordinator, and subject to moderation by the Political Science Department and the External Examiner. Materials may be sent for review to an external examiner. in exceptional cases, an oral examination of the candidate may be required by the examiner(s).

Capstone research projects will be expected to include basic features of research in political science, such as defining a research question in terms of a “variation to be explained”, an assessment of previous scholarship, the elaboration of an explanation, an empirical test, and the drawing of conclusions from the project.

If different / more specific grading criteria are appropriate for a particular Capstone theme, (for example, there is a greater focus on historical research, or computer programming, or a political theory topic) these will be made clear to students at the start of the academic year.

The research projects will also be assessed on how well-written, well-structured and well-presented the research project is.

Overall standards for the assessment of student’s work are set out in the Political Science Department Handbook.

**CAPSTONE THEMES FOR 2020-21**

Six Political Science Capstone Themes will be on offer in 2020-21:

1. Forecasting Conflict (Quantitative Theme – Thomas Chadefaux)
2. Media Politics (Liam Kneafsey)
3. Political Violence (Liam Kneafsey and/or Dino Hadzic)
4. Comparative Public Opinion (Noah Buckley)
5. Political Alienation (Alexander Held)
6. Ethnic Politics & Identity (Dino Hadzic)

More information on each of these themes is set out in the pages that follow.

Please note:

You must select at least four themes on your “Capstone Theme Choice Form” to be submitted directly to the Political Science department on polsci@tcd.ie at the same time that Module Choice forms are returned to course administrators.

While the Department will do its best to meet students’ preferences admission to your first or second choice of themes is not guaranteed, and you must be prepared to complete a Capstone project on any theme that you have indicated as a “top 4” preference.

Not all Themes listed above may be offered, and the instructors of various themes are subject to change.

**Capstone 2020/21 Political Science: Forecasting Conflict Theme**

**Instructor: Thomas Chadefaux**

This research theme allows students the opportunity to develop a forecasting project. Forecasting political processes has become an increasingly central area of political science and social sciences in general, and has important practical implications. Governments, businesses, finance companies, all have an interest in predicting social phenomena. Conflicts have an major impact on economic, social, and political structures, and therefore, their anticipation is key to preventing them or at least to adjusting governments’ or businesses’ behaviour to their expected occurrence.[[1]](#footnote-1)

In this module, we will focus on predicting events related to the onset, unfolding, or termination of political violence (or the consequences thereof), such as terrorist attacks, interstate conflicts, civil wars, refugee flows, or human rights violations. Our goal will be to apply existing statistical methods (or develop new ones) to data on these processes, with the goal to anticipate their occurrence. The Research Methods skills taught in Junior Sophister (Research Methods A and B) are sufficient methodological training and background for students to take this Capstone theme. The skills acquired in this module can in turn be broadly applied beyond this class and are highly sought after by employers.

Students are free to choose and develop their own research project in consultation with the theme instructor. The project can employ any appropriate methodological approach of the student’s choosing, again in consultation with and advice from the instructor. The module will discuss methodological issues, available data, and the advantages and disadvantages of particular approaches.

Possible projects will mostly include combinations of:

1. A **substantive** **event** to forecast (interstate wars; civil wars; one-sided government violence; human rights violations; genocide; ethnic conflict; terrorist attacks; etc.)
2. A particular **unit of analysis**. Mainly, students may wish to forecast either the *timing* of an event (e.g., onset, attack, etc.) or its *geographical location* (e.g., predicting where terrorist attacks will occur).
3. A **process** to predict. This could be the onset, termination, incidence, recurrence, etc., of the substantive event.

Students will need to identify a gap in the literature (e.g, a new type of event, unit of analysis, or process to predict) and a method that is adequate for their particular topic, with the help of the instructor. They will aim to identify important predictors and to improve upon existing forecasts.

This module should be a very exciting opportunity for students who want to know more about war, conflict, and using data to forecast political events.

**Capstone 2020/21 Political Science: Media Politics Theme**

**Instructor: Liam Kneafsey**

This research theme allows students the opportunity to develop a research project that seeks to investigate the role and impact of the media on political and social outcomes. The mass media is the primary means by which citizens learn about political issues, events, and actors. It is therefore important to understand how and to what extent the mass media shape, influence, and reflect political attitudes and political behaviour. Students can engage with a broad range of topics in political communication and media politics that can form the basis for a research project.

Students are free to choose and develop their own research project in consultation with the theme instructor. The project can employ any appropriate methodological approach of the student’s choosing, again in consultation with the theme instructor. The module will discuss questions of research design and the advantages and disadvantages of particular methodological approaches as relevant to the media politics literature to guide students throughout the course and as they work on their project.

There are several substantive topics from the media politics literature to consider. A research question related to any of these topics could form the basis for a Capstone project. If you are interested in any of these topics, then you should consider a project within this theme.

The first topic concerns how media content is produced and how, and under what conditions, structural factors may influence it. How does the practice and routines of journalism affect news? Do media outlets have a left wing or right wing bias? If there is bias, where does it come from? How do journalists’ political beliefs and identities influence this? What are the impacts of organisational pressures? Does media ownership matter? If so, how and when do owners influence content? Alternatively, do media outlets simply give audiences what they want? How do media audiences’ preferences influence media content?

Second, you could consider the effects of the media on public opinion and political behaviour. If the media influences the public then how? Does the media persuade people to vote a particular way? Does the media tell the public what to think about by setting the agenda? Does it instead tell the public how to think about issues by how it frames them? What explains patterns of framing and agenda setting?

Third, there is a variety of contemporary political issues where many commentators argue that media coverage matters both at home in Ireland and elsewhere. These include the rise of populism, the impact of traditional and digital media in contentious elections, immigration, climate change, terrorism, welfare policy, and issues of representation and social identity. Projects could consider how the media coverage of these issues is shaped as well as the potential effects of this coverage on the attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of the citizens who consume it.

Finally, many would argue that technological innovation and the rise of online/social media has revolutionised the mass media landscape to the extent that our understanding of political communication has fundamentally changed. Projects could engage with questions arising from critical changes to the media taking place today such as the rise of soft news, eroding trust in media and journalism, social media and polarisation, and the rise (and meaning) of fake news and the online information wars.

**Capstone 2020/21 Political Science: Political Violence Theme**

**Instructor: Liam Kneafsey and/or Dino Hadzic**

This research theme provides students with the opportunity to develop a project that seeks to answer a central question arising from the study of one of the biggest and oldest questions in comparative politics: how, and to what ends, violence is practiced in pursuit of political ends? We will engage with a broad range of topics in the study of violence that can form the basis for a research project.

Students are free to choose and develop their own research project in consultation with the theme instructor. The project can employ any appropriate methodological approach of the student’s choosing, again in consultation with the theme instructor. The module will discuss questions of research design and the advantages and disadvantages of particular methodological approaches as relevant to the political violence literature to guide students throughout the course and as they work on their project.

There are four broad substantive strands in the political violence literature to consider. A research topic within any of these four broad strands can form a basis for the Capstone project. If you are interested in any of these strands and a related research question, then you should consider a project within this theme.

The first strand concerns the causes of political violence. We will deal with the question of why some societies are prone to civil conflict, while others are not. How does the nature of the state affect the likelihood of political violence? What is the role of poverty, economic inequality, and ethnic and religious identity in explaining political violence? When do political actors resort to violence over a peaceful solution to conflict?

The second strand concerns the logics of the organisation and production of violence. How is violence mobilised and structured? What are the major differences in the social organisation of violent groups? How does this affect the patterns of violence we observe? What is the role of ideology or identity in the organisation of violence? Is violence effective in the pursuit of distinct political goals? What is the role of socialisation in the organisation and production of collective violence?

The third strand that you may be interested in concerns the psychology of political violence. What accounts for individual and mass participation in violence? How do the perpetrators of political violence justify and motivate their actions? What are the psychological effects of violence?

The final strand engages with the question of how violence can be brought to an end, and the prospects for peacebuilding in post-conflict societies. What impact does foreign intervention have? When does it succeed and when does it fail? How and when can extreme actors undermine peace processes? What are the conditions under which conflict resolution is most likely? What types of institutions are most successful in embedding peace? What are the social, economic and political legacies of violence in post-conflict societies?

**Capstone 2020/21 Political Science: Comparative Public Opinion**

**Instructor: Noah Buckley**

This research theme allows students to develop an original research project that addresses questions of public opinion formation, change, and/or measurement in comparative perspective. In other words, students will compare these or other features of public opinion in two or more countries or contexts. While the study of public opinion in some countries, such as the United States and European democracies, is quite advanced, much of the rest of the world receives far less attention. What is more, the arrival of the internet and social media have irrevocably changed public opinion and the study of public opinion in all countries. Students can choose to compare any set of two or more countries—advanced democracies, Western or non-Western, authoritarian, developed or developing.

In this module, we will focus on extending existing public opinion research into new areas. Projects can take any of a variety of approaches: focusing on public opinion in non-democratic contexts, comparing less-studied countries, or exploring dimensions of public opinion that have been opened up by the growth of new communication methods. A quantitative approach will often be fitting, since good, international polling data is often easily accessible. But qualitative exploration, descriptive work, and other approaches are welcomed as well.

Students will need to define an original research question that contributes to our understanding of public opinion in a comparative, cross-national sense. Creative questions and inventive approaches are particularly welcomed. The theme instructor will offer some suggestions and possible directions for research, but students are encouraged to devise their own topic from a broad set of questions that are relevant to understanding how public opinion fits into our understanding of comparative politics. The cutting edge of comparative public opinion research in political science includes such topics as: the meddling of Russian Twitter bots, China’s “50 cent party” of pro-regime internet commenters, examination of polarization in social networks, unique web-scraped data from Google Trends to Nextdoor, and the low-cost implementation of surveys and survey experiments on Amazon Mechanical Turk. Students can engage with topics such as how and why public opinion is important to non-democratic regimes, how social media and the internet have made some groups’ voices stronger or weaker in how we view public opinion, and different ways that publics in various countries perceive opinion polls.

**Capstone 2020/21 Political Science: Political Alienation Theme**

**Instructor: Alexander Held**

This research theme provides students with the opportunity to develop a project that seeks to address one of the key political challenges that many established democracies face these days: Why do certain groups of citizens become increasingly disenchanted with democratic politics and traditional forms of political participation – and what can be done about it? We will engage with a broad range of topics on the relationship between voters and politicians in contemporary democracies. These topics may include the complex relationship between democracy and rising economic inequality, the increasing electoral support for anti-immigrant, populist parties and politicians in many Western industrialised democracies, and unequal political participation such as the low voter turnout of especially poor and young voters.

Our goal will be to apply existing statistical methods to data from national election surveys and other data sources (e.g., aggregate turnout data), with the goal to understand the political preferences and behaviour of citizens. The Research Methods skills taught in Junior Sophister (Research Methods A and B) are sufficient methodological training and background for students to take this Capstone theme. The skills acquired in this module can in turn be broadly applied beyond this class and are highly sought after by employers.

Students are free to choose and develop their own research project in consultation with the theme instructor. The project can employ any appropriate methodological approach of the student’s choosing, again in consultation with and advice from the instructor. The module will discuss methodological issues, available data, and the advantages and disadvantages of particular approaches.

Possible projects will mostly fall into one of three broad areas:

1. **Right-wing Populism:** Why do citizens vote for anti-immigrant, populist parties? What is the role of economic vs. cultural grievances in explaining support for right-wing populism? Who votes for right-wing populist parties and how are these voters different from mainstream voters? What can be done to reduce support for right-wing populism?

2. **Economic Inequality and Democracy:** Why do citizens not consistently punish governments for allowing increasing concentrations of wealth and income “at the top”? Why do some poor voters vote against their material self-interest by supporting right-wing instead of left-wing parties and politicians? Does information about increasing income inequality make the average voter more likely to support economic redistribution?

3. **Unequal Political Participation:** What factors explain the notoriously low voter turnout among young and poor citizens? What can be done to increase voter turnout among these groups of citizens? What are the political consequences of more equal political participation? In particular, does it increase support for left-wing or anti-immigrant, populist parties and their respective policy agendas?

This module should be a very exciting opportunity for students who are interested in the above topics and who want to know more about using surveys and other quantitative data sources to analyse citizens’ political attitudes and preferences.

**Capstone 2020/21 Political Science: Ethnic Politics & Identity**

**Instructor: Dino Hadzic**

This research theme provides students with an opportunity to develop a project that seeks to investigate the relationship between various kinds of social identities and important political processes/outcomes. Students will have an opportunity to delve into questions related to three kinds of social identities:

1. **Ethnicity:** perhaps the social identity that has received the most attention from the scholarly literature on identity politics. Potential research questions (or variations of) related to ethnicity can include:
	1. Are salient ethnic identities compatible with a civic, national identity?
	2. Ethnic conflict, both violent and not, is common throughout the world. Why do some episodes of ethnic conflict turn violent while others do not?
	3. Does ethnic diversity impede economic performance and development?
2. **Gender:** research on gender and politics typically focuses on electoral/party dynamics, the causes/consequences of women’s presence in politics, and the relationship between descriptive and substantive representation. In that vein, potential research questions related to gender can include:
	1. Are political factors (i.e., political institutions, elite recruitment) or social factors (i.e., gender equality, stereotypes) more responsible for the under-representation of women in politics?
	2. How (if at all) does the descriptive representation of women in politics affect substantive representation?
	3. What kinds of electoral institutions and practices increase/decrease women’s representation?
3. **European Identity:** much of the literature on European identification addresses its determinants and the relationship between national and European identities. Potential research questions related to European identity can include:
	1. Does national identification foster or hinder European identification?
	2. Are economic considerations or identity issues more powerful in explaining support for /opposition to European integration?
	3. How important are elite cues/messaging in terms of shaping mass attitudes toward the EU?

Ultimately, the student will be free to develop their own research question/project in consultation with the theme instructor. However, the research questions above are intended to serve as guidance with respect to crafting an effective question/project. Students will employ an appropriate methodological approach of their choosing, again in consultation with the instructor. In that vein, during our meetings we will discuss the advantages and disadvantages of various methodological approaches as relevant to the study of ethnic politics and identity. Finally, in consultation with the instructor, the student will be free to decide whether to conduct cross-national analyses or focus on specific case studies (or combine the two approaches) in answering their research question. In the end, which approach the student selects will in part depend on what is appropriate for the question at hand.

1. Students interested may wish to read the following article for a general introduction to forecasting in international relations: Schneider, Gerald, Nils Petter Gleditsch, and Sabine Carey. “Forecasting in International Relations: One Quest, Three Approaches.” *Conflict Management and Peace Science* 28.1 (2011): 5–14. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)