PO8050: International Conflict

Department of Political Science Lecturer: Dino Hadzic Meeting Schedule: Thursdays 9.00-11.00am in LB08 (Lloyd Institute (INS Building)) or online (decision will me made at a later point) Office Hours: By appointment Email: dhadzic@tcd.ie

This module is an introduction to the causes and consequences of violent conflict between and within countries. It will be taught in seminar style where active participation by the students is essential to successful learning outcomes. In that vein, I will lecture intermittently, usually at the beginning or end of the meeting period in order to synthesize that week's readings (all of which will be available on Blackboard). I will also prepare discussion questions before every meeting in order to stimulate discussion and keep the conversation going in case it stalls. However, I ultimately want the discussion to cover those parts of each week's readings/topic that students find most interesting and engaging. The best way to achieve that is to have students guide as much of the discussion as possible. Therefore, please attend the meetings having completed the week's readings and prepared to engage with your peers.

Assessment

Students will be assessed through three components: participation, four response papers (and an optional fifth one), and a final research paper. All written work should be submitted through Turnitin on Blackboard. More details for each component are provided below:

Participation (20% of final mark): participation and attendance is essential to doing well in this module. Therefore, I will take attendance at the beginning of each meeting. Students should be ready to discuss the readings and engage with their peers. In particular, when doing each week's readings, students should think about feasible research extensions to what is covered that week.

Response Papers (40% of final mark): students are required to submit four response papers throughout the semester. Each paper should be at most 500 words long and each will be worth 10% of the final mark (for 40% total). The fifth response paper is optional. Should a student decide to submit five response papers, only the best four will count toward the final mark. Each paper should be related to a topic covered in the module, and students are free to write multiple response papers on the same general topic (i.e., bargaining and conflict, terrorism, civil war, etc.). At least two of the response papers should be submitted by 11.59pm on November 16, 2020. The remaining response papers should be submitted by 11.59pm on December 14, 2020. However, should a student decide to do so, they can submit the response papers as early as they wish so long as they meet the minimum of two submissions by November 16. In these response papers, the student should:

1. Identify a research question.

2. Describe how they would answer that question. What they propose can be ambitious but should also be feasible for the student to execute.

For these response papers, please do not write a summary of a particular week's readings. Instead, the purpose of the response papers is to begin brainstorming ideas for potential research projects, the research paper for this module, or perhaps even the dissertation. Note that for late submissions I will deduct five points per day. Under no circumstances will response papers be accepted after the end of the term.

Research Paper (40% of final mark): the research paper is due by 11.59pm on December 21, 2020. It should not exceed 2,000 words in length, including footnotes but not the list of references. I have no preference for any particular citation style, but please make sure you use citation procedures consistently throughout. You should double-space the essay and provide the word count at the beginning. The paper should outline a research design for a larger project, be creative, and also feasible for the student to carry out. The paper should follow a clear structure:

- 1. What is the motivating question?
- 2. How does the project relate to existing work?
- 3. What are the hypotheses? These should flow naturally from good theory.
- 4. What relevant data are available, or could feasibly be collected?

5. What methods would be used to test the hypotheses? This could include any combination of comparative case studies, interviews, statistical analysis, etc.

Note that for late submissions I will deduct five points per day. Under no circumstances will the paper be accepted after the end of the term.

Academic Integrity

Violations of academic integrity (cheating, plagiarism, representing someone else's work as your own, etc.) will not be tolerated. In that vein, please follow best practices as described in the College Calendar (https://libguides.tcd.ie/friendly.php?s=plagiarism/calendar). Also, please complete the university's online tutorial on avoiding plagiarism as soon as possible (https://libguides.tcd.ie/friendly.php?s=plagiarism/ready-steady-write).

Disability-Related Accommodations

Students who require any disability-related accommodations are encouraged to register with the Trinity Disability Service (https://www.tcd.ie/disability/).

Term Outline

3
3
3
4
4
4
4
5
5
5
6
6

Schedule and Readings

Week 1 (1 October): Introduction

Week 2 (8 October): Bargaining and Conflict

- Fearon, James D. 1995. "Rationalist Explanations for War." *International Organization* 49(3): 379–414.
- Kydd, Andrew H. 2010. "Rationalist Approaches to Conflict Prevention and Resolution." Annual Review of Political Science 13(1): 101–121.
- Reed, William, David H. Clark, Timothy Nordstrom, and Wonjae Hwang. 2008. "War, Power, and Bargaining." *Journal of Politics* 70(4): 1203–1216.
- Walter, Barbara F. 2009. "Bargaining Failures and Civil War." Annual Review of Political Science 12(1): 243–261.

Week 3 (15 October): Borders and Conflict

- Carter, David B. and H. E. Goemans. 2011. "The Making of the Territorial Order: New Borders and the Emergence of Interstate Conflict." *International Organization* 65(2): 275–309.
- Chapman, Thomas and Philip G. Roeder. 2007. "Partition as a Solution to Wars of Nationalism: The Importance of Institutions." American Political Science Review 101(4): 677–691.
- Gibler, Douglas M. and Alex Braithwaite. 2013. "Dangerous Neighbours, Regional Territorial Conflict and the Democratic Peace." *British Journal of Political Science* 43(4): 877–887.
- Johnson, Carter. 2008. "Partitioning to Peace: Sovereignty, Demography, and Ethnic Civil Wars." International Security 32(4): 140–170.

Week 4 (22 October): Economic Interdependence and Conflict

- Barbieri, Katherine. 1996. "Economic Interdependence: A Path to Peace or a Source of Interstate Conflict?" Journal of Peace Research 33(1): 29–49.
- Martin, Philippe, Thierry Mayer, and Mathias Thoenig. 2008. "Make Trade Not War?" *The Review of Economic Studies* 75(3): 865–900.
- Rosecrance, Richard and Peter Thompson. 2003. "Trade, Foreign Investment, and Security." Annual Review of Political Science 6(1): 377–398.
- Schultz, Kenneth A. 2015. "Borders, Conflict, and Trade." Annual Review of Political Science 18(1): 125–145.

Week 5 (29 October): Terrorism and Elections

- Aksoy, Deniz. 2014. "Elections and the Timing of Terrorist Attacks." *Journal of Politcs* 76(4): 899–913.
- Berrebi, Claude and Esteban F. Klor. 2008. "Are Voters Sensitive to Terrorism? Direct Evidence from the Israeli Electorate." *American Political Science Review* 102(3): 279–301.
- Getmansky, Anna and Thomas Zeitzoff. 2014. "Terrorism and Voting: The Effect of Rocket Threat on Voting in Israeli Elections." *American Political Science Review* 108((3): 588–604.
- Kibris, Arzu. 2011. "Funeral and Elections: The Effects of Terrorism on Voting Behavior in Turkey." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 55(2): 220–247.

Week 6 (5 November): Terrorism and Leadership

- Falk, Erika and Kate Kenski. 2006. "Issue Saliency and Gender Stereotypes: Support for Women as Presidents in Times of War and Terrorism." Social Science Quarterly 87(1): 1–18.
- Holman, Mirya R., Jennifer L. Merolla, and Elizabeth J. Zechmeister. 2011. "Sex, Stereotypes, and Security: A Study of the Effects of Terrorist Threat on Assessments of Female Leadership." *Journal of Women, Politics and Policy* 32(3): 173–192.
- Holman, Mirya R., Jennifer L. Merolla, and Elizabeth J. Zechmeister. 2016. "Terrorist Threat, Male Stereotypes, and Candidate Evaluations." *Political Research Quarterly* 69(1): 134–147.
- Merolla, Jennifer L. and Elizabeth J. Zechmeister. 2009. "Terrorist Threat, Leadership, and the Vote: Evidence from Three Experiments." *Political Behavior* 31(4): 575–601.

Week 7 (12 November): Reading Week

Week 8 (19 November): Civil War Motivations

- Cederman, Lars-Erik, Andreas Wimmer, and Brian Min. 2010. "Why Do Ethnic Groups Rebel? New Data and Analysis." *World Politics* 62(1): 87–119.
- Collier, Paul and Anke Hoeffler. 2004. "Greed and Grievance in Civil War." Oxford Economic Papers 56(4): 563–595.
- Fearon, James D. and David D. Laitin. 2003. "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War." *American Political Science Review* 97(1): 75–90.
- Weidmann, Nils B. 2011. "Violence 'from above' or 'from below'? The Role of Ethnicity in Bosnia's Cvil War." *Journal of Politics* 73(4): 1178–1190.

Week 9 (26 November): Civil War Dynamics

- Cunningham, David E. 2006. "Veto Players and Civil War Duration." American Journal of Political Science 50(4): 875–892.
- Denny, Elaine K. and Barbara F. Walter. 2014. "Ethnicity and Civil War." Journal of Peace Research 51(2): 199–212.
- Lacina, Bethany. 2006. "Explaining the Severity of Civil Wars." Journal of Conflict Resolution 50(2): 276–289.
- Walter, Barbara F. 1997. "The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement." International Organization 51(3): 335–364.

Week 10 (3 December): International Intervention and Peacekeeping

- Costalli, Stefano. 2013. "Does Peacekeeping Work? A Disaggregated Analysis of Deployment and Violence Reduction in the Bosnian War." British Journal of Political Science 44(2): 357–380.
- Greig, J. Michael and Paul F. Diehl. 2005. "The Peacekeeping-Peacemaking Dilemma." International Studies Quarterly 49(4): 621–645.
- Lo, Nigel, Barry Hashimoto, and Dan Reiter. 2008. "Ensuring Peace: Foreign-Imposed Regime Change and Postwar Peace Duration, 1914-2001." International Organization 62(4): 717–736.
- Regan, Patrick M. 2002. "Third-Party Interventions and the Duration of Intrastate Conflicts." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 46(1): 55–73.

Week 11 (10 December): Repression

- Davenport, Christian. 2007. "State Repression and Political Order." Annual Review of Political Science 10(1): 1–23.
- Hill Jr., Daniel and Zachary M. Jones. 2014. "An Empirical Evaluation of Explanations for State Repression." *American Political Science Review* 108(3): 661–687.
- Rozenas, Arturas and Yuri M. Zhukov. 2019. "Mass Repression and Political Loyalty: Evidence from Stalin's 'Terror by Hunger'." *American Political Science Review* 113(2): 569–583.
- Young, Lauren E. 2018. "The Psychology of State Repression: Fear and Dissent Decisions in Zimbabwe." *American Political Science Review* 113(1): 140–155.

Week 12 (17 December): Human Rights

- Carey, Sabine C. 2007. "European Aid: Human Rights Versus Bureaucratic Inertia?" *Journal of Peace Research* 44(4): 447–464.
- Hafner-Burton, Emilie M. 2012. "International Regimes for Human Rights." Annual Review of Political Science 15(1): 265–286.
- Peterson, Timothy M. and Leah Graham. 2011. "Shared Human Rights Norms and Military Conflict." Journal of Conflict Resolution 55(2): 248–273.
- Poe, Steven C. 1991. "Human Rights and the Allocation of US Military Assistance." *Journal of Peace Research* 28(2): 205–216.