

When did *nd* become *nn* in which Early Irish environments?

*Comhdháil lae in onóir do Damian McManus,
Ollamh na LuathGhaeilge, Coláiste na Tríonóide, agus é ag éirí as.
A day of talks to mark the retirement of Damian McManus,
Professor of Early Irish, Trinity College Dublin.*

Jürgen Uhlich, Department of Irish, Trinity College Dublin

21 May 2021

1. *GOI* §151 (c): ‘The assimilation of *nd* to *nn* in proclitic words begins in the archaic period’.
inda, Philargyrius, Wb. I; *dundaib*, Cambrai; > *inna* (Cambrai), *donaib*; cf. POKORNY 1923 on ‘*Da-* in Irish place names’ < *inda*.
GOI §151 (c): ‘Otherwise *nd* before vowels and in final position is retained in Wb.’. Add FORTSON 2009/10 on -*gleinn* verbs (cf. *GOI* §548) and pre-Wb. **e/iNd’ e/i* > **e/iN’ e/i*.
2. BREATNACH 1994: 259, §7.7: ‘-**nd/nn** vs. -**n**. Diaidh ar ndiaidh déantar -*n* singil a ghinearáilú sa MG, ach nuair nach singil é is suntasach a annaimhe is a mheasctar -*nd* agus -*nn* stairiúil i litriú an ailt, ar nós ’*sinn inud* “san áit”, LL 31162 (TT)’ – adding ‘samplaí den fhoirm stairiúil’ and ‘samplaí den simpliú go -*n*’.
3. Compare nasalised *nd-* (and *mb-*):
FEUTH 1982: 88: ‘nasalized plosives are [in Old Irish sources] uniformly written as *mb*, *nd*, *ng*’. If > /N/ etc., occasional phonetic spellings might have been expected, cf. (len.) *innaʃʃlaith* ‘into His kingdom’, Wb. 31a3; (nas.) *amal dēte* ‘as ... goes’, Ml. 93b12–13.
FEUTH 1982: 91: ‘... problem ... why coalescence [i.e. *ND* > *N*] is expressed in the spelling in one case (viz. within words ...), but not in the other (viz. in the case of nasalization).’
ibid.: ‘difference in phonological environment, viz. the fact that nasal-plosive coalescence works within words, whereas nasalization is essentially a rule operating between words’. Furthermore in favour of /ND/ rather than /N/ = -CNC- > -CC-?
(a) word-internal: *dofoirde* ... *dofoirnde* ‘that ... denotes’, Sg. 203b4, etc.; FEUTH 1982: 91–3, see *GOI* §180 (3); THURNEYSEN 1905: 1–2
(b) ?between words: *fritoil dée* ‘against God’s will’, < *fri toil ndé*? Cf. FEUTH 1982: 89–90 and THURNEYSEN 1905: 6, 8, 10–12, for or implying (optional) deletion, vs. ROMA 2018, 2020 for limitations on analogical spread (at least after stressed nominals).
4. ROMA 2013: 255: ‘Mentre in generale -*nn* e -*nd* finali in MI sono grafie alternative per la nasale non lenita/ tesa, nel caso dell’articolo la grafia con -*nd* in posizione finale rappresentava una sequenza di due consonanti, che compare di norma in IA ... davanti a vocale e *f*, *r*, *l*, *n*, lenite. La conservazione di questa grafia è tanto più notevole data la direzione della semplificazione verso -*n* semplice. Si noti che l’articolo è una forma proclitica, che forma con la parola seguente una parola fonologica’. UHLICH 2014: 160: ‘... most likely implying that the original *d* was protected in this special pattern when introducing the stressed syllable’.

For the syllabic segmentation, cf.

(n)d'adaig = *ind adaig* ‘on the (following) night’, GOI §251.3, see THURNEYSEN 1936:

356–7 (see also *DIL* s.v. 1 *adaig* 28.29–40, s.v. *dadaig*):

nd adaig [sic leg., Thurneysen], *CompCC* §5

dadaig, *BDD*² 91 (§7; v.l. *issin aidhqui*, Eg., s. *BDD*), 133 (§12).

in | *dfir* ‘of the man’, Wb. 33c9 (MS 33va23, left margin)

cf. linking alliteration:

a ndúine. → *It é* ‘their strongholds. | These are they’, *Fél. Prol.* 68–9

5. Two general environments distinguished by *GOI*, i.e. without distinguishing by syllables:

[\textcircled{P} = proclitic/pretonic, \textcircled{T} = tonic/stressed, \textcircled{E} = enclitic/posttonic]:

(a) $\textcircled{P}nd\textcircled{P}$: EOIr. *inda* > ClassOIr. *inna*

(b) $\textcircled{T}nd(\textcircled{E})$: ClassOIr. *cland* (12x Wb., 40x Ml.) > *clan(n)* (1x Wb., 2x Ml.)
légend : Érenn, *Fél. Sep.* 26

(or $\textcircled{E}nd\textcircled{E}$: *Cenandán* > *Cenannán*)

add (c) $\textcircled{P}nd\textcircled{T}$: ClassOIr. *ind V-* (etc.) > MidIr. *ind V-* > *in(n) V-*

6. ROMA 2020: 190–1 on 5x *-n-* in Sg.:

etar n̄ dirainn ‘between the two parts’, Sg. 2b2; + *Thes. (Supplement)* II, 493: ‘Apparently for *etar in dí rainn*, with reduction of the article between the preposition and the noun [sic]’, see STRACHAN 1903: 488.

eter ndán · ulla ‘between the two *ullas*’, Sg. 45b19.

STRACHAN 1903: 488:

cf. also *tresigné* ‘by the species’, Sg. 73b1, ‘undoubtedly for *tresin n̄gnē*’, but ROMA 2020: 191, n. 15: with ‘nasalising accusative neuter singular article ... for *tresaigné* (cf. *DIL* s.v. *tre*, 277.12–13).

cf. also *frismbiat* ‘with which they are’, Sg. 202b3.

is fornóin n̄ deilb biit semper, “tis according to one paradigm they are always (declined)”, Sg. 201b6 (on the apparently “Scottish” nasalising *n̄* see ROMA 2020: 190).

far cétnu diull ... far nōeñdeilb, ‘according to the first declension ... according to the same paradigm’, Sg. 90b2, i.e. dat. also in 201b6 (ROMA 2020: 191).

arbertar as noéntarmoirciunn, ‘they are expressed by the same termination’, Sg. 33a19a (leg. *nóen-*, ROMA 2020: 191, n. 13).

7. óen in “compounds”?

(1) Cf. ModIr. *aon*: Ó CURNÁIN 2007: §3.88: only recorded as type II (= primary stress on *aon-*, secondary [or none] on base, ‘-’ or ‘-’-) or type III (= ‘-’), never type I (= ‘-’).

(2) *ind óen ré* ‘at the same time’ : *umaide*, Blathmac §87 (ll. 345–6; MS *ind oen re*)
i n-oen-uair ‘thereupon’ : *sluaig*, Gospel of Thomas §41 (ll. b, d; MS *anaon uair*)

CARNEY 1964: 129, n. 345: ‘Note that *oen* does not form a compound with the following word; similarly *i n-oen uair* (*anaon uair* MS.), II, § 41’.

CARNEY 1964: 163 [*i n-oen-uair*]: ‘it would appear that in the early Old Irish period it was not uncommon for the second element in a compound to rhyme independently. Compare in Broccán’s poem on St. Brigit *maith* (: *derglaid*), *noebdúil*

(: *súil*), *amra* (: *comlabra*), *Thes.* II, pp. 337–8. This practice may have been imitated sporadically in the later period – but also referring to:
O'BRIEN 1955: 51, on internal rhyme *Liamna* : *bliadna* in *rē ōenbliadna deäc*, p. 46,
§43: ‘Perhaps in the construction with *deäc ōen* need not form a compound with the following noun’.

(3) *Félice Óenguso* (Rinnard, 4x 6²)

- (a) compound:
conrecat for óenchái ‘they meet on the same road’, *Fél.* 10 June.
Cf. *scís* : *óendís*, *Thes.* II, 293.18 (*rind* 7 *airdrind*)
- (b) preposed adjective:
for óenlíni ‘on one line’, *Fél.* Oct. 2 (consonance with *féli* : *Eusébi*)
Oct. 14 (: *Paulíni*, consonance *ógi*)
cechóin laithi ‘of every single day’, *Fél.* Ep. 5 (end of line a)

(4) *GOI* §385.1: ‘**óin-**, **óen-**, always in composition’;
cf. §365.5 (where also on *fecht n-óen*, etc.).
Cf. (semantically no longer transparent)
óenach ‘assembly’ < **oino-ago-* (*aigid*; O'Brien, see GREENE 1954: 334);
óenar ‘one alone’ < **oino-uitrom* (*fer*; HAMP 1982: 179–80);
or with enclitic voicing *óenrad* ‘one grace’, *Wb.* 13b9 (*rath*) (unless hypercorrect spelling, cf *GOI* §130).

However, *DIL* s.v. *oen* I (f):

inna óina oinasa, gl. *hos eosdem^{gl.}* (*deos*), *Ml.* 70a4 (also quoted *GOI* §351. (2)),
‘these very same ones’, GRIFFITH & STIFTER 2013.
inna oinaméite ‘of the same size’, *Sg.* 203a26, with proclitic depalatalisation
(contrast stressed substantivised in *cuit inna aine* 7 *inna aile* ‘the part of the
one and of the other’, *BCr.* 31c4).

On secondary proclisis see UHLICH 2019: 20–7, e.g.

aile + *aile* > *al(a)aile*; *cách* > *cachfer*, *ní* (for **né*) > *nalled* (*leth*).

8. Result: with *óen* in secondary proclisis, the pattern applicable to the development of the dat. sg. article *ind* underlying *fornóin n̄ deilb*, *far nóeñdeilb*, *as noéntarmoirciunn* in no. 6 above is (cf. no. 5)

not (c) ②nd③: ClassOIr. *ind V-* (etc.) > MidIr.*ind V-* > *in(n) V-*,
but (a) ②nd②: EOIr. *inda* > ClassOIr. *inna*

Thus *as-ind-óen* X > *asinnóen* X > *asnóen* X.

9. FEUTH 1982: 91: ‘*debe mec*, “a little difference” (*Ml.* 40^a20, in place of *debe mbec*). Contrary to the quite respectable number of valuable phonetic spellings in the case of nasalized voiceless plosives, *debe mec* is an isolated instance as far as nasalized voiced plosives are concerned. Therefore it looks like, and definitely must be a writing error’.

atá debe mec nand ‘there is a little difference there’, *Ml.* 40a20.

- Cf. MidIr. *Loch nEchach n-án* ‘shining Loch nEchach’, *LL* l. 28572
 OIr. *fis forcell 7 dlidged rechto ndáe* ‘knowledge of the testimonies and rules of the law of God’, *Wb.* 46c8
déde didiu nand ‘two things, then, are therein’, *Wb.* 1a5 (*didiu* as such neither ‘not fully stressed’ nor ‘weakly stressed’ [GOI §§41 (b), 901], see GOI p. 673)

See UHLICH 2019 for nasalisation being transferred across a secondarily proclitic word (*Echach, rechto, didiu*) to the following stressed word, and cf. MidIr. (BERGIN 1932)
a nda n-athair, Anecd. III, 57.24, ‘both of their fathers’
a da nduma, LL l. 1541, ‘their two mounds’

Pattern *déde didiu n-ànd* = *debe mec n-ànd*, i.e. with fully proclitic /Mb/ > /M/?

References

- BERGIN, Osborn, 1932: ‘Varia. II. 11. *dá* “two” in Mid.Ir. 12. *trí* “three” in Mid.Ir.’, *Ériu* 11, 146–149.
 BREATNACH, Liam, 1994: ‘An Mheán-Ghaeilge’. In: Kim McCONE, Damian McMANUS, Cathal Ó HÁINLE, Nicholas WILLIAMS & Liam BREATNACH (ed.), *Stair na Gaeilge in ómós do P[h]ádraig Ó Fiannachta*. Maigh Nuad: Roinn na Sean-Ghaeilge, Coláiste Phádraig, Maigh Nuad, 221–333.
 CARNEY, James (ed.), 1964: *The poems of Blathmac son of Cú Brettan*. Dublin: Irish Texts Society (vol. 47).
 FEUTH, Els, 1982: ‘Two segments or one?: nasalized voiced plosives in Old Irish’, *ZCP* 39, 88–95.
 FORTSON IV, Benjamin W., 2009/2010: ‘On “double-nasal” presents in Celtic and Indo-European and a new Irish sound law’, *ZCP* 57, 48–78.
 GOI: Rudolf THURNEYSEN, *A grammar of Old Irish*. Revised and enlarged edition. Translated from the German by Daniel A. BINCHY and Osborn BERGIN. Dublin: Dublin Institute of Advanced Studies, 1946 (reprinted with supplement 1975).
 GREENE, David, 1954: ‘NVA Pl. -aige’, *Celtica* 2.2, 334–335.
 GRIFFITH, Aaron, & David STIFTER, 2013: *A dictionary [and database] of the Old-Irish Glosses in the Milan Codex Ambrosianus C 301 inf*. See https://www.univie.ac.at/indogermanistik/milan_glosses/.
 HAMP, Eric P., 1982: ‘The collective numerals’, *Ériu* 33, 178–180
 LL: Richard I. BEST, Osborn BERGIN, Michael A. O’BRIEN & Anne O’SULLIVAN (ed.), *The Book of Leinster, formerly Lebar na Núachongbála*. Vols I–VI. Dublin: Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 1954–1983.
 Ó CURNÁIN, Brian, 2007: *The Irish of Iorras Aithneach County Galway*. 4 vols. Dublin: Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.
 O’BRIEN, Michael A. (ed.), 1955: ‘A Middle-Irish poem on the Christian kings of Leinster’, *Ériu* 17, 35–51.
 POKORY, Julius, 1923: ‘*Da-* in irischen Ortsnamen’, *ZCP* 14, 270–271.
 ROMA, Elisa, 2013: *Il medioirlandese / Middle Irish. A translation into Italian of the Gaelic text An Mheán-Ghaeilge by Liam Breathnach*. Lewiston/Queenston/Lampeter: Edwin Mellen Press.
 ROMA, Elisa, 2018: ‘Nasalization after inflected nominals in the Old Irish glosses: a reassessment’, *Journal of Celtic linguistics* 19, 1–30.
 ROMA, Elisa, 2020: ‘Nasalization after inflected nominals in the Old Irish glosses: evidence for variation and change’. In: Elliot LASH, Fangzhe QIU & David STIFTER (ed.), *Morphosyntactic variation in medieval Celtic languages*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter Mouton, 179–193.
 STRACHAN, John, 1903: ‘On the language of the Milan glosses’; ‘On the language of the St. Gall glosses’, *ZCP* 4, 48–71; 470–492.
 THURNEYSEN, Rudolf, 1905: ‘Miscellen zur altirischen Grammatik. I. Die Nasalierung des Anlauts nach deklinierten Wörtern im Altirischen’, *ZCP* 5, 1–19.
 THURNEYSEN, Rudolf, 1936: ‘Ir. *d’adaig* und *adaig*’, *ZCP* 20, 356–363.
 UHLICH, Jürgen, 2014: (Review of ROMA 2013), *Journal of Celtic linguistics* 16, 157–164.
 UHLICH, Jürgen, 2019: ‘*Loch nEchach n-án*: Nasalierungsübertragung in den altirischen Glossen und späteren Quellen’, in Caoimhín BREATNACH, Meidhbhín Ní ÚRDAIL & Gordon Ó RIAIN (ed.), *Lorg na leabhar. A Festschrift for Pádraig A. Breathnach*. Dublin: The National University of Ireland, 13–38.