EC3090 – Econometrics -Lab session 2 Lecturer: Dr Gaia Narciso Teaching Assistants: Martin Schmitz, Agustin Benetrix

Data file: MEAP93

Question C3.7 (Wooldridge, 2008)

1. Estimate the model

 $math10 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(expend) + \beta_2 \ln chprg + u$

and report the equation in the usual form, including the sample size and R-squared. Are the signs of the slope coefficients what you expected? Explain.

- 2. What do you make of the intercept you estimated in part 1)? In particular, does it make sense to set the two explanatory variables to zero?
- 3. Now run the simple regression of *math10* and log(*expend*) and compare the slope coefficient with the estimate obtained in part 1). Is the estimated spending effect now larger or smaller than in part 1)?
- 4. Find the correlation between *lexpend*=log(*expend*) and *lnchrg*. Does its sign make sense to you?
- 5. Use part 4) to explain your findings in part 3).

Variables:

1. lnchprg	perc. of studs. in sch. lunch prog.
2. enroll	school enrollment
3. staff	staff per 1000 students
4. expend	expend. per stud., \$
5. salary	avg. teacher salary, \$
6. benefits	avg. teacher benefits, \$
7. droprate	school dropout rate, perc
8. gradrate	school graduation rate, perc
9. math10	perc studs passing MEAP math
10. sci11	perc studs passing MEAP science
11. totcomp	salary + benefits
12. ltotcomp	log(totcomp)
13. lexpend	log of expend
14. lenroll	log(enroll)
15. lstaff	log(staff)
16. bensal	benefits/salary
17. lsalary	log(salary)