Investment Appraisal

Chapter 3 Investments: Spot and Derivative Markets

Compounding vs. Discounting

- Invest sum over years, how much will it be worth?
- Terminal Value after *n* years @ *r* :

-
$$TV_n = P(1+r)^n$$
 if $r_1 = r_2 = ... = r_n$

 $-1000(1.1)^2 = 1210$

- Offer a final sum in *n* years, how much should I get now?
- Discounted Present Value:

$$- DPV = \frac{TV_n}{(1+r)^n} = \frac{1210}{1.1^2} = 1000$$

• Discounting is the inverse or mirror image of compounding.

Lecture III: Investment Appraisal

Investment Appraisal (a.k.a. Capital Budgeting)

- Central concepts:
 - Capital cost (KC)
 - Opportunity cost of capital (typically r)
 - Net Present Value (NPV)
 - Internal Rate of Return (IIR)
 - In principle equivalent concepts, but one may be more informative than another, depending on the context used.

A Project Proposal

- Cash Flow:
 - $-CF_1 = 1100$ and $CF_2 = 1210$
- KC = 2100
- *R* = 10%
- Should you invest?
- 2310 > 2100

NPV

- $DPV_{CF} = \frac{CF_1}{(1+r)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+r)^2} = \frac{1100}{1.1} + \frac{1210}{(1.1)^2} = 2000$
- KC = 2100
- DPV KC < 0
- Do not invest, because opportunity cost of capital not compensated for.
- Equivalently,
 - Place KC in bank for 2 years: $TV_{KC} = 2541$
 - Terminal Value of Project: 2420
 - Why?

IRR

• IRR is that rate of interest that equates an initial outlay with the DPV of an income stream.

$$2000 = \frac{1100}{1+y} + \frac{1210}{\left(1+y\right)^2}$$

- Implicit assumptions:
 - y is an average growth rate.
 - All payments received before the terminal investment are re-invested at y. Why?

Different CF Profiles

- {-,-,...,+,+,...} NPV>KC or $y > r \rightarrow$ Invest
- {+,+,...,-,-,...} NPV>KC or $y < r \rightarrow$ Invest
- {-,+,-,...} NPV>KC → Invest. IRR ambiguous.

Mutually Exclusive Projects

- Scale/Timing Problem: ${CF_t, CF_{t+1}}$
 - Project A: {-10, +15} with $r = 10\% \rightarrow IRR = 50\%$, NPV = 3.64
 - Project B: {-80, +110} & r = 10% → IRR = 37.5, NPV = 20.
 - Use NPV or adjust IRR:
 - Incremental CF: $CF_B CF_A \rightarrow = \{-70, 95\}$



Real vs. Nominal

$$(1+r_n) = (1+r_r)(1+\pi)$$

- Nominal CF discounted at nominal rate
- Real CF discounted at real rate
- Assume π = 5%, r_r = 3% & get €100 in a year:

100/1.0815 = 100/(1.05*1.03) = 92.464 100/1.05 = 95.238 95.238/1.03 = 92.464

Timing of Capital Expenditures

- The timing of the initiation of a project can be crucial. But when is a good time?
- Delays imply lose out on revenue but save on interest payments.
- If we know the CFs (and *r*) with certainty we can work out the NPV of the project at different start dates.
- Take care express the NPVs for different start dates in present value terms (i.e. NPV₁ is discounted for one period, NPV₂ for two periods...).
- Choose Project with highest NPV.
- Intuitive delay if growth in NPV > r

Uncertainty & Risk

- Cash Flows (& r) tend to vary over time.
- Use probability distributions to account for this: use *expected* CF
- E.g., a *good* and a *bad* state of the economy $\{V_G, V_B\} = \{100, 40\} \& \{Pr_G = 0.75, Pr_B = 0.25\}$:
 - $V^e = 0.75^*100 + 0.25^*40 = 85$

→ NPV = -KC +
$$V^{e}/(1+r)$$

- Decision Trees:
 - How many contingencies?
 - Exponential increase in complexity over time.
- Liquidation Value
- Real Options Theory, Sensitivity Analysis, Scenario Analysis
- Discount Factor:
 - 'Safe' Rate? Projections of yield curve.
 - Risk Premium? (, e.g. CAPM, WACC)
- Capital Rationing → NPV fails, so use Profitability Index to rank projects:

$$PI = \frac{DPV(CF)}{KC}$$

Other Decision Rules

• Payback Period:

- Number of years it takes for CF to exceed KC.
- Problem is CF not discounted.
- Unsophisticated (and therefore useful) Rule of Thumb often used alongside NPV.
- More frequently used in small firms and Europe according to CEO survey.
- Return on Capital Employed (ROC)

[Return on Investment (ROI), Accounting Rate of Return (ARR)]:

- 'Profits'/KC
- What profits to use? Current, average past, projections...
- Investment may take place over several periods.

Financing & Investment Decisions

- The financing and investment decisions are treated separately → A project's PV is calculated independent of debt considerations.
- Many possible sources of finance → Weighted Average
 Cost of Capital. Consider a Debt & Equity financed firm for example:

$$1 + r_{WACC} = \left(\frac{D}{D+E}\right) \left(1 + r_D\right) + \left(\frac{E}{D+E}\right) \left(1 + r_E\right)$$

• Does bankruptcy risk increase WACC? Chapter 11 Modigliani & Miller 'Irrelevance of Funding Theorem'.

Some Practical Considerations

- EBITD = Revenue Inputs Costs
- Depreciation (price, scrap value, lifetime)
- Tax T = t(R-C-D)
- Post tax CF:

$$CF_{Post Tax} = (R-C)(1-t)+tD$$

• *tD* is the depreciation tax shield

Working Capital

- Predictions on CF & KC tend to be smoothed out, WC is to account for the leads and lags.
- WC = Inventory + accounts receivable accounts payable
- Change in WC = Change in inventory + change in accounts receivable – change in accounts payable

- Opportunity Cost
- Sunk Costs

A&M

$$Gain = NPV_{A+B} - (NPV_A + NPV_B) - tc$$

- Success? Mixed assessment & difficult to assess NPV_{A+B} .
- Synergies? Economies of scale related cost sharing, market power, customer base, ...
- Are these beneficial to society?
- Discount Rate?
 - Horizontal (similar industry & rate) vs. Vertical (prob. differ) Merger
- Shareholder Maximisation vs. Empire Building
- Free Cash-Flow Hypothesis: M. C. Jensen, 'The Performance of Mutual Funds in the Period 1945-1964' *Journal of Finance*, 1968, 23, 389—416.
- Should invest in all own projects with NPV > 0, then release excess cash to shareholders to invest as they want. M&A only if gains accrue from joining itself.