Multi-variable Calculus and Optimization

Dudley Cooke

Trinity College Dublin

EC2040 Topic 3 - Multi-variable Calculus

Reading

- Chapter 7.4-7.6, 8 and 11 (section 6 has lots of economic examples) of CW
- Chapters 14, 15 and 16 of PR

Plan

- Partial differentiation and the Jacobian matrix
- Interpretation of a function of a function
- Optimization (profit maximization)
- Implicit functions (indifference curves and comparative statics)

過 ト イヨ ト イヨト

- Functions where the input consists of many variables are common in economics.
- For example, a consumer's utility is a function of all the goods she consumes. So if there are n goods, then her well-being or utility is a function of the quantities (c₁,..., c_n) she consumes of the n goods. We represent this by writing U = u(c₁,..., c_n)
- Another example is when a firm's production is a function of the quantities of all the inputs it uses. So, if (x_1, \ldots, x_n) are the quantities of the inputs used by the firm and y is the level of output produced, then we have $y = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.
- We want to extend the calculus tools studied earlier to such functions.

- 本間 ト 本 ヨ ト - オ ヨ ト - ヨ

Partial Derivatives

- Consider a function $y = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.
- The *partial derivative of f with respect to x_i* is the derivative of *f* with respect to *x_i* treating all other variables as constants and is denoted,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$$
 or f_x

- Consider the following function: y = f(u, v) = (u+4) (6u + v).
- We can apply the usual rules of differentiation, now with two possibilities:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = 1 (6u + v) + 6 (u + 4) = 12u + v + 24$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0 (6u + v) + 1 (u + 4) = u + 4$$

(4 回) (4 \Pi) (4 \Pi)

Partial Derivatives - Economic Examples

- Again, suppose $y = f(x_1, ..., x_n)$. Assume n = 2 and $x_1 = K$ and $x_2 = L$; that is, y = f(K, L).
- Then assume the following functional form: $f(K, L) = K^{0.25}L^{0.75}$; i.e., a Cobb-Douglas production function.
- The partial derivatives are given by,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial K} = 0.25 K^{-0.75} L^{0.75}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial L} = 0.75 K^{0.25} L^{-0.25}$$

• Alternatively; suppose utility is $U = c_a^{\alpha} c_b^{1-\alpha}$, where a =apples, b =bananas. We find:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial c_a} = \alpha \left(\frac{c_b}{c_a}\right)^{1-\alpha}, \ \frac{\partial U}{\partial c_b} = (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{c_a}{c_b}\right)^{\alpha}$$

• So, for a given labor input, more capital raises output. For given consumption of apples, consuming more bananas makes you happier.

Interpretation of Partial Derivatives

- Mathematically, the partial derivative of *f* with respect to *x_i* tells us the rate of change when only the variable *x_i* is allowed to change.
- Economically, the partial derivatives give us useful information. In general terms:
- With a production function, the partial derivative with respect to the input, x_i, tells us the marginal productivity of that factor, or the rate at which additional output can be produced by increasing x_i, holding other factors constant.
- With a utility function, the partial derivative with respect to good c_i tells us the rate at which the consumer's well being increases when she consumes additional amounts of c_i holding constant her consumption of other goods. I.e., the marginal utility of that good.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

Derivative of a Linear Function

- As with functions of one variable, to gain some intuition, we start with functions that are linear.
- We motivated the notion of a derivative by saying that it was the slope of the line which "looked like the function around the point x₀."
- When we have *n* variables, the natural notion of a "line" is given by

$$y = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_n x_n$$

- When there are two variables, x_1 and x_2 , the function $y = a_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2$ is the equation of a *plane*.
- In general, the function y = a₀ + a₁x₁ + ... + a_nx_n is referred to simply as the equation of a plane.

くぼう くほう くほう

Two Variable Linear Function

- Consider the plane $y = a_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2$.
- How does the function behave when we change x₁ and x₂? Clearly, if dx₁ and dx₂ are the amounts by which we change x₁ and x₂, we have,

$$dy = a_1 dx_1 + a_2 dx_2$$

• Note furthermore that the partials are,

$$rac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} = a_1 ext{ and } rac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} = a_2$$

We can then write,

$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} dx_2$$

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Jacobian Matrix

• We can take this result (i.e., that $dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} dx_2$) and recast it in matrix (here, vector) form.

$$dy = \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \end{array}\right]}_{\equiv \underline{J}} \begin{bmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- We call <u>J</u> the Jacobian.
- Take our previous example. The vector $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is what we can think of as the *derivative* of the plane.
- This vector tells us the rates of change in the directions x_1 and x_2 . The total change is therefore computed as $a_1 dx_1 + a_2 dx_2$.

ヘロン 人間 とくほと 人 ヨン・

General Functions

- Now consider a more general two variable function, $y = f(x_1, x_2)$.
- With a general function $y = f(x_1, x_2)$, the idea is to find a plane which looks locally like the function around the point (x_1, x_2) .
- Since the partial derivatives give the rates of change in x₁ and x₂, it makes sense to pick the appropriate plane which passes through the point (x₁, x₂) and has slopes ∂f/∂x₁ and ∂f/∂x₂ in the two directions.
- The *derivative* (or the *total derivative*) of the function $f(x_1, x_2)$ at (x_1, x_2) is simply the vector $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}$ where the partial derivatives are evaluated at the point (x_1, x_2) .
- We can interpret the derivative as the slopes in the two directions of the plane which looks "like the function" around the point (*x*₁, *x*₂).

An Example

- When we have a function $y = f(x_1, ..., x_n)$, the derivative at $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is simply the vector $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$.
- Take a more concrete example. Suppose,

$$y = 8 + 4x_1^2 + 6x_2x_3 + x_3$$

The Jacobian must be,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8x_1 & 6x_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

So we can write,

$$dy = \begin{bmatrix} 8x_1 & 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \\ dx_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

(本間)と 本語 と 本語 と

An Economic Example

- Consider the utility function from before; $U = c_a^{\alpha} c_b^{1-\alpha}$, where a =apples, b =bananas. The Jacobian is a vector of the partials (which we already computed).
- The change in utility is therefore given by the following.

$$dU = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial U}{\partial c_a} & \frac{\partial U}{\partial c_b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dc_a \\ dc_b \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \left(\frac{c_b}{c_a}\right)^{1-\alpha} & (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{c_a}{c_b}\right)^{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dc_a \\ dc_b \end{bmatrix}$$

• The same is true for the Cobb-Douglas production function, $f(K, L) = K^{0.25} L^{0.75}$.

Second-Order Partial Derivatives

- We now move on to second-order partial derivatives. Our motivation will be economic problems/interpretation.
- Given a function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the second-order derivative $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i x_j}$ is the partial derivative of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ with respect to x_j .
- The above may suggest that the order in which the derivatives are taken matters: in other words, the partial derivative of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ with respect to x_j is different from the partial derivative of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ with respect to x_i .
- While this can happen, it turns out that if the function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is *well-behaved* then the order of differentiation does not matter. This result is called *Young's Theorem*.
- We shall only be dealing with well-behaved functions (i.e., Young's Theorem will always hold).

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Economic Example

- For the production function $y = K^{0.25}L^{0.75}$, we can evaluate the second-order partial derivative, $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial K \partial L}$, in two different ways.
- First, since $\frac{\partial y}{\partial K} = 0.25 K^{-0.75} L^{0.75}$, taking the partial derivative of this with respect to L, we get,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial L}\frac{\partial y}{\partial K} = \frac{3}{16}K^{-0.75}L^{-0.25}$$

• And since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial L} = 0.75 K^{0.25} L^{-0.25}$, we have,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial K}\frac{\partial y}{\partial L} = \frac{3}{16}K^{-0.75}L^{-0.25}$$

• This illustrates Young's Theorem: no matter in which order we differentiate, we get the same answer.

- 本間 医 本語 医 本語 医二語

- The second-order partial derivatives can be interpreted economically.
- Our example production function is $y = K^{0.25} L^{0.75}$.
- Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial K^2} = -\frac{3}{16} K^{-1.75} L^{0.75}$$

- This is negative, so long as K > 0 and L > 0.
- This tells us that the marginal productivity of capital decreases as we add more capital.

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

Economic Interpretation

As another example, consider,

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \mathcal{K} \partial \mathcal{L}} = \frac{3}{16} \mathcal{K}^{-0.75} \mathcal{L}^{-0.25}$$

- This is positive, so longas K > 0, L > 0.
- This says that the marginal productivity of labour increases as you add more capital.
- It also suggests a symmetry. The above can be interpreted as saying that the marginal productivity of capital increases when you add more labor.

Concave and Convex Functions

- Our interest in these SOCs is motivated by the local versus global max/min of functions. Previously, we related this to concavity and convexity.
- In the case of one variable, we defined a function f(x) as concave if $f''(x) \le 0$ and convex if $f''(x) \ge 0$.
- Notice that in the single-variable case, the second-order total is,

$$d^2y = f''(x)(dx)^2$$

 Hence, we can (equivalently) define a function of one variable to be concave if d²y ≤ 0 and convex if d²y ≥ 0. The advantage of writing it in this way is that we can extend this definition to functions of many variables.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Second-Order Total Differential

• Suppose
$$y = f(x_1, x_2)$$
.

- The first order differential is $dy = f_{x_1}dx_1 + f_{x_2}dx_2$.
- Think of dy as a function. It's differential is,

$$d(dy) = [f_{x_1x_1}dx_1 + f_{x_1x_2}dx_2] dx_1 + [f_{x_1x_2}dx_1 + f_{x_2x_2}dx_2] dx_2$$

Collecting terms,

$$d^{2}y = f_{x_{1}x_{1}}(dx_{1})^{2} + 2f_{x_{1}x_{2}}dx_{1}dx_{2} + f_{x_{2}x_{2}}(dx_{2})^{2}$$

 The second-order total differential depends on the second-order partial derivatives of f(x1, x2).

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Second-Order Total Differential

- **Corollary:** If we have a general function $y = f(x_1, ..., x_n)$, one can use a similar procedure to get the formula for the second-order total differential.
- This is a little more complicated but it can be written compactly as,

$$d^2y = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f_{x_i x_j} dx_i dx_j$$

• E.g., $y = f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ the expression becomes,

$$d^{2}y = f_{x_{1}x_{1}}(dx_{1})^{2} + f_{x_{2}x_{2}}(dx_{2})^{2} + f_{x_{3}x_{3}}(dx_{3})^{2} + 2f_{x_{1}x_{2}}dx_{1}dx_{2} + f_{x_{1}x_{3}}dx_{1}dx_{3} + f_{x_{2}x_{3}}dx_{2}dx_{3}$$

Again, we care about this because a function y = f(x₁,..., x_n) will be concave if d²y ≤ 0 and convex if d²y ≥ 0.

- 本間 ト 本 ヨ ト - オ ヨ ト - ヨ

Maxima/Minima/Saddle Points

- As in the single variable case, we are really after maxima and minima. The first order conditions alone cannot distinguish between local maxima and local minima.
- Likewise, the first order conditions cannot identify whether a candidate solution is a local or global maxima. We thus need second order conditions to help us.
- For a point to be a local maxima, we must have $d^2y < 0$ for any vector of small changes (dx_1, \ldots, dx_n) ; that is, y needs to be a strictly concave function.
- Similarly, for a point to be a local minima, we must have $d^2y > 0$ for any vector of small changes (dx_1, \ldots, dx_n) ; that is, y needs to be a strictly convex function.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Hessian Matrix - Two Variable Case

- As things stand, none of this is not particularly useful since it is not clear how to go about verifying that the second-order total differential of a function of *n* variables is never positive or never negative.
- However, notice that we can write the second order differential of a function of two variables (that is, $d^2y = f_{11}(dx_1)^2 + 2f_{12}dx_1dx_2 + f_{22}(dx_2)^2$) in matrix form in the following way.

$$d^{2}y = \begin{bmatrix} dx_{1} & dx_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{12} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx_{1} \\ dx_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- The matrix $\begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{12} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ is called the *Hessian matrix*, *H*. It is simply the matrix of second-order partial derivatives.
- Whether $d^2y > 0$ or $d^2y < 0$ leads to specific restrictions on the Hessian.

• In the general case, the second order differential can be written as,

$$d^{2}y = \begin{bmatrix} dx_{1} & dx_{2} & \dots & dx_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & \dots & f_{1n} \\ f_{12} & f_{22} & \dots & f_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{1n} & f_{2n} & \dots & f_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx_{1} \\ dx_{2} \\ \vdots \\ dx_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

• If we want to know something about d^2y all we need to do is evaluate the Hessian.

Hessian Matrix - Economic Example

• Returning to the Cobb-Douglas utility case; $U = c_a^{\alpha} c_b^{\beta}$, where a =apples, b =bananas. The first-order differential was,

$$dU = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \alpha c_{a}^{\alpha-1} c_{b}^{\beta} & \beta c_{a}^{\alpha} c_{b}^{\beta-1} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} dc_{a} \\ dc_{b} \end{array}\right]$$

• The second-order differential is,

$$d^{2}U = \begin{bmatrix} dc_{a} & dc_{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{a}\partial c_{a}} & \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{a}\partial c_{b}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{b}\partial c_{a}} & \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{b}\partial c_{b}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dc_{a} \\ dc_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

where,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{a}\partial c_{a}} & \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{a}\partial c_{b}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{b}\partial c_{a}} & \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial c_{b}\partial c_{b}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha (\alpha - 1) c_{a}^{\alpha - 2} c_{b}^{\beta} & \alpha \beta c_{a}^{\alpha - 1} c_{b}^{\beta - 1} \\ \alpha \beta c_{a}^{\alpha - 1} c_{b}^{\beta - 1} & \beta (\beta - 1) c_{a}^{\alpha} c_{b}^{\beta - 2} \end{bmatrix}$$

|山田 | 山田 | 山田 |

Positive Definite and Negative Definite Matrices

- The Hessian matrix is square. We can say something about square matrices:
- A symmetric, square matrix, A_n , is said to be *positive definite* if for every column vector $x \neq 0$ (dimension $1 \times n$) we have $x^T A x > 0$.
- A symmetric, square matrix A_n is negative definite if for every column vector $x \neq 0$ (dimension $1 \times n$), we have $x^T A x < 0$.
- To check for concavity/convexity we evaluate the Hessian. But the Hessian (for our purposes) can be PD or ND.
- If the Hessian is ND the function is concave. If the Hessian is PD the function is convex. All we need to be able to do then is detemine whether a given matrix is ND or PD.

(4 回) (4 \Pi) (4 \Pi)

Checking for PD-ness and ND-ness

• Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 be a

be a $n \times n$ symmetric square matrix.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

• The principal minors of A are the n determinants,

$$a_{11}, \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}, \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}, \dots, |A|$$

- The matrix A is positive-definite if and only if all the principal minors are positive.
- The matrix A is negative-definite if and only if its principal minors alternate in sign, starting with a negative.

Examples of PD and ND Matrices

- One can see how this works if we look at the matrices I_n and -I_n. The principal minors of I are uniformly 1; on the other hand the principal minors of -I start with -1 and then alternate in sign. Thus, the matrices I_n and -I_n are trivially positive-definite and negative-definite.
- Consider, [1 1 1]. One can easily show that the matrix is positive-definite. How? Well, the principle minors are 1 and 3.
 The matrix [-1 1 1] is negative-definite as the principle minors are -1 and 3.
- A matrix may be neither positive-definite nor negative-definite. For instance, consider the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

・ロン ・聞と ・ヨン ・ヨン … ヨ

ND and PD Relation to Concavity and Convexity

- We defined a function to be concave if d²y ≤ 0 for all x and to be convex if d²y ≥ 0 for all x.
- If the function satisfies a stronger condition $-d^2y < 0$ for all x then it will be said to be *strictly concave*. Analogously, if $d^2y > 0$ for all x, then it will be said to be *strictly convex*.
- We can also relate the notions of positive-definiteness and negative-definiteness to strict concavity and strict convexity.
- A function *f* is strictly concave if the Hessian matrix is always negative definite.
- A function f is strictly convex if the Hessian matrix is always positive definite.

Example

- Consider the following. Is f (x, y) = x^{1/4}y^{1/2} concave or convex over the domain (x, y) ≥ 0?
- Compute the second order partials. E.g., $f_{xy}(x, y) = f_{yx}(x, y) = (1/8) x^{-3/4} y^{-1/2}$ and $f_{xx}(x, y) = -(3/4) (1/4) x^{-7/4} y^{1/2}$. So, $H = \begin{bmatrix} -(3/4) (1/4) x^{-7/4} y^{1/2} & (1/8) x^{-3/4} y^{-1/2} \\ (1/8) x^{-3/4} y^{-1/2} & -(1/4) x^{1/4} y^{-3/2} \end{bmatrix}$

 $\bullet\,$ The principle minors are, $-\left(3/4\right)\left(1/4\right)x^{-7/4}y^{1/2}<0$ and,

$$\begin{vmatrix} -(3/4)(1/4)x^{-7/4}y^{1/2} & (1/8)x^{-3/4}y^{-1/2} \\ (1/8)x^{-3/4}y^{-1/2} & -(1/4)x^{1/4}y^{-3/2} \end{vmatrix}$$

= (3/64)x^{-7/4}y^{1/2}x^{1/4}y^{-3/2} - (1/64)x^{-3/4}y^{-1/2}x^{-3/4}y^{-1/2}
= (1/64) $\left[2x^{-6/4} \right] / y > 0$

• We conclude $x^{1/4}y^{1/2}$ is convex over the domain $(x, y) \ge 0$.

Unconstrained Optimization with Multiple Variables

- We now link concavity and convexity to optimization problems in economics.
- Consider the general maximization problem,

$$\max f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

- The first order conditions for maximization can be identified from the condition that the first order differential should be zero at the optimal point.
- That is, a vector of small changes $(dx_1, ..., dx_n)$ should not change the value of the function.
- We thus have

$$dy = f_{x_1} dx_1 + f_{x_2} dx_2 + \ldots + f_{x_n} dx_n = 0$$

通 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

First Order Conditions

- Suppose that we have a function of 2 variables $y = f(x_1, x_2)$. Then, the first order condition dy = 0 implies that $f_{x_1} dx_1 + f_{x_2} dx_2 = 0$.
- Therefore, the only way we can have $f_{x_1}dx_1 + f_{x_2}dx_2 = 0$ is when $f_{x_1} = 0$ and $f_{x_2} = 0$.
- By the same logic, for a general function $y = f(x_1, ..., x_n)$, the first order conditions are

$$f_{x_1} = 0, f_{x_2} = 0, \ldots, f_{x_n} = 0$$

• Note that these conditions are *necessary* conditions in that they hold for minimization problems also.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Relation to SOCs for Optimization

- We can now summarize the first and second order conditions for an optimization problem in the following way:
- If (x₁^{*},...,x_n^{*}) is a local maximum, then the first order conditions imply that f_i(x₁^{*},...,x_n^{*}) = 0 for i = 1,...,n. The second order condition implies that the Hessian matrix evaluated at (x₁^{*},...,x_n^{*}) must be negative definite.
- If (x₁^{*},...,x_n^{*}) is a local minimum, then the first order conditions imply that f_i(x₁^{*},...,x_n^{*}) = 0 for i = 1,...,n. The second order condition implies that the Hessian matrix evaluated at (x₁^{*},...,x_n^{*}) must be positive definite.

白 医水理 医水黄 医水黄 医二丁酮

Economic Example: Profit Maximization

- To better understand all of this, we can look at a firm's optimization problem.
- Suppose a firm can sell it's output at \$10 per unit and that it's production function is given by $y = K^{1/4} L^{1/2}$.
- What combination of capital and labour should the firm use so as to maximize profits assuming that capital costs \$2 per unit and labour \$1 per unit?
- The firm's profits are given by

$$\Pi(\mathcal{K}, L) = \underbrace{10y - 2\mathcal{K} - L}_{\text{revenue minus costs}} = 10\mathcal{K}^{1/4}L^{1/2} - 2\mathcal{K} - L$$

• This is a problem of unconstrained optimization with multiple variables.

ヘロト 人間ト 人口ト 人口ト

- We can use the first order conditions to obtain **potential candidates** for optimization, as with one variable.
- For the firm we have the following profit maximization problem:

$$\Pi(K, L) = 10K^{1/4}L^{1/2} - 2K - L$$

• The first order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial K} = (5/2)K^{-3/4}L^{1/2} - 2 = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial L} = 5K^{1/4}L^{-1/2} - 1 = 0$$

• Dividing the first first-order condition by the second first-order condition gives us (1/2)L/K = 2, or,

$$L = 4K$$

• Substituting this into the second first-order condition, we get $5K^{1/4}(4K)^{-1/2} = 1$, or $K^{-1/4} = 2/5$, or,

$$K = (5/2)^4 = 625/16$$

Finally, we have,

$$L = 625/4$$

 This is our candidate solution for a maximum. But to verify that it really is the maximum we need to check the second-order conditions.

白人 不得人 不足人 不足人 一足

- The candidate solutions are, $K^* = 625/16$ and $L^* = 625/4$.
- The Hessian matrix at any (K, L) is given by

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{15}{8}K^{-7/4}L^{1/2} & \frac{5}{4}K^{-3/4}L^{-1/2} \\ \frac{5}{4}K^{-3/4}L^{-1/2} & -\frac{5}{2}K^{1/4}L^{-3/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Note that since K > 0, L > 0.

$$\begin{split} f_{11} &= -\frac{15}{8} \mathcal{K}^{-7/4} \mathcal{L}^{1/2} < 0 \\ |\mathcal{H}| &= -\left(-\frac{5}{2}\right) \frac{15}{8} \mathcal{K}^{-7/4+1/4} \mathcal{L}^{-3/2+1/2} - \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^2 \mathcal{K}^{-3/4-3/4} \mathcal{L}^{-1/2-1/2} \\ &= (75/16) \mathcal{K}^{-3/2} \mathcal{L}^{-1} - (25/16) \mathcal{K}^{-3/2} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \\ &= (50/16) \mathcal{K}^{-3/2} \mathcal{L}^{-1} > 0 \end{split}$$

• This shows that the second order conditions are satisfied at (K*, L*), which shows it is a local maximum.

Implicit Functions and Comparative Statics

- So far, we have consider the interpretation of functions with more than one variable through the Jacobian and the Hessian.
- We have also related the Hessian to the notions of concavity and convexity and maxima and minima, via an optimization problem.
- However, when we deal with functions of more than one variable, we also run into other complications.
- Basically, we tend to think of the LHS variable of a function as endogenous and the RHS variable(s) as exogenous. But sometimes exogenous variables and endogenous variables cannot be separated and we need to differentiate implicitly.
- Implicit differentiation is also useful when thinking about utility functions (indifference curves) and production functions (isoquant curves).

ヘロト 人間ト 人口ト 人口ト

• An example of an *implicit function*, i.e., one where the exogenous and endogenous variables cannot be separated, is,

$$F(x, y) = x^2 + xye^y + y^2x - 10 = 0$$

- It is not clear how to separate out *y* from *x* or even whether it can be done. However, we may still want to find out how the endogenous variable changes when the exogenous variable changes.
- Under certain circumstances, it turns out that even when we cannot separate out the variables, we can nonetheless find the derivative dy/dx.

Implicit Function Theorem

- The following result enables us to find the derivatives for implicit functions.
- **Theorem**: Let f(x, y) = 0 be an implicit function which is continuously differentiable and (x_0, y_0) be such that $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$. Suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$. Then,

$$\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{(x_0,y_0)} = -\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0,y_0)}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0,y_0)}$$

• So, without specifying x and y we can find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ at a given point, here (x_0, y_0) .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Abstract Example of Implicit Function Theorem

- Consider $f(x, y) = x^2 + xye^y + y^2x 10 = 0$. Suppose we want to evaluate the derivative at some point (x_0, y_0) such that $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$.
- Using the rules of differentiation,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = xe^y + xye^y + 2xy$$

• This *can* be zero for some x and y but assume that the point (x₀, y₀) is not one of them. Now differentiate with respect to x,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x + ye^y + y^2$$

The implicit function theorem allows us to write the following.

$$\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{(x_0,y_0)} = -\frac{2x_0 + y_0 e^{y_0} + y_0^2}{x_0 e^{y_0} + x_0 y_0 e^{y_0} + 2x_0 y_0}$$

Economic Example of Implicit Function Theorem

- One use of the implicit function theorem in Economics is to find the slopes of indifference curves and isoquants.
- Consider the production function used earlier.

$$y = K^{0.25} L^{0.75}$$

- If we fix y = y₀, then the combination of all (K, L) which gives y₀ units of output is called an isoquant.
- Suppose (K_0, L_0) is one such combination.
- We want to find the slope of the isoquant, that is $\frac{dK}{dL}\Big|_{(K_0,L_0)}$.

< □→ < □→ < □→

• Setting $y = y_0$, we can write the production function in implicit function form as,

$$F(K, L) = K^{0.25} L^{0.75} - y_0 = 0$$

• Using the values for the partial derivatives found earlier, we have,

$$\frac{dK}{dL}\Big|_{(K_0,L_0)} = -\frac{0.75K_0^{0.25}L_0^{-0.25}}{0.25K_0^{-0.75}L_0^{0.75}} = -3\frac{K_0}{L_0}$$

- Note that $K_0 \neq 0$, $L_0 \neq 0$.
- This equation tells us the slope of the isoquant at (K_0, L_0) .

Indifference Curves

- As a final example, consider the following utility function: $U = \left[\alpha c_a^{\eta} + \beta c_b^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$, where *a* =apples, *b* =bananas. This is called a constant elasticity of substitution utility function. When $\eta = 0$ we get back to the Cobb-Douglas utility function.
- Holding utility fixed, we have the following: $\left[\alpha c_a^{\eta} + \beta c_b^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta} U_0 = 0$. Now use the implicit function theorem.

$$\frac{dc_a}{dc_b}\Big|_{(c_{a,0},c_{b,0})} = -\frac{\frac{\partial U}{\partial c_a}(c_{a,0},c_{b,0})}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial c_b}(c_{a,0},c_{b,0})} \\ = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{c_a}{c_b}\right)^{\eta-1}$$

Suppose we look at the MRS at the point (tc_a, tc_b). The indifference curves have the same slope at (c_a, c_b) and at (tc_a, tc_b) for any t > 0. The CES utility function is an example of preferences that are homothetic.

Comparative Statics and Implicit Relations: Supply and Demand

- One question we also often need to answer is how underlying parameters affect the equilibrium of a supply and demand system.
- Suppose that we have a market where the demand and supply functions are given by the following.

$$D = a - bp + cy, S = \alpha + \beta p$$

- The parameters a, b, c, α, β are all positive constants.
- The equilibrium price is,

$$p^* = rac{a-lpha+cy}{b+eta}$$

• We can now check how the underlying parameters (*a*, *b*, *c*, *y*, *α*, *β*) affect the equilibrium price.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Comparative Statics: Supply and Demand

• In our simple example, we can get the partial derivative,

$$\partial p^* / \partial a = 1 / (b + \beta)$$

- All other things remaining constant, an increase in *a*, increases the equilibrium price.
- We can similarly find the impact of changes in other parameters on the equilibrium price.
- Importantly, we can also, in many cases, conduct the comparative statics without solving for the equilibrium values of the endogenous variables.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

General Function Example of Supply and Demand

• Consider the following system more general demand and supply system.

$$q_d = D(p, T), q_s = S(p, T)$$

- Here, T is the temperature on a given day. We assume that $D_p < 0$, $D_T > 0$, $S_p > 0$, $S_T < 0$.
- Equilibrium requires that D(p, T) = S(p, T) but we cannot compute the equilibrium price explicitly.
- Denote the equilibrium price p*(T): observe that it is a function of the parameter T.
- Inserting $p^*(T)$ into the equilibrium condition, we have,

$$D(p^{*}(T), T) = S(p^{*}(T), T)$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへの

General Function Example of Supply and Demand

• We differentiate both sides with respect to T which gives,

$$D_p \frac{dp^*}{dT} + D_T = S_p \frac{dp^*}{dT} + S_T$$

• Rearranging, we get

$$\frac{dp^*}{dT} = \frac{S_T - D_T}{D_p - S_p}$$

- Note that since $S_T < 0$, $D_T > 0$, $D_p < 0$, $S_p > 0$ it follows that $dp^*/dT > 0$.
- Hence, even without being able to compute $p^*(T)$ explicitly, we can still say that the equilibrium price increases following an increase in the temperature.

ロト 不得下 不良下 不良下

Example Continued

- Can we say anything about the equilibrium quantity?
- Note that the equilibrium quantity must satisfy,

$$q^*(T) = D(p^*(T), T)$$

• Differentiating with respect to T we get

$$\frac{dq^*}{dT} = D_p \frac{dp^*}{dT} + D_T = \frac{D_p S_T - S_p D_T}{D_p - S_p}$$

 In this case, the denominator is positive but we cannot say anything about the numerator unless we have more specific information about the magnitudes of D_p, S_p, D_T and S_T.

ロトス団とスヨトスヨト

General Formulation

Suppose we have a general set of equilibrium conditions given by

$$f^{1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{m}) = 0$$

$$f^{2}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{m}) = 0$$

$$\dots = \dots$$

$$f^{n}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{m}) = 0$$

- Let us suppose that we can solve this set of equations to get (x₁^{*},..., x_n^{*}). Note that each x_i^{*} will be a function of all the underlying parameters (α₁,..., α_n).
- In comparative statics, we typically are interested in knowing how
 (x₁^{*},..., x_n^{*}) change when there is a small change in one of the
 parameters, say α_i.

General Formulation

 We proceed by differentiating each of the equilibrium conditions with respect to α_i. This gives

$$f_{1}^{1}\frac{dx_{1}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} + f_{2}^{1}\frac{dx_{2}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} + \dots + f_{n}^{1}\frac{dx_{n}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} = -f_{\alpha_{i}}^{1}$$

$$f_{1}^{2}\frac{dx_{1}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} + f_{2}^{2}\frac{dx_{2}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} + \dots + f_{n}^{2}\frac{dx_{n}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} = -f_{\alpha_{i}}^{2}$$

$$\vdots = \vdots$$

$$f_{1}^{n}\frac{dx_{1}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} + f_{2}^{n}\frac{dx_{2}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} + \dots + f_{n}^{n}\frac{dx_{n}^{*}}{d\alpha_{i}} = -f_{\alpha_{i}}^{n}$$

3

ヘロト 人間 ト くほ ト くほ トー

General Formulation

In matrix notation this can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1^1 & f_2^1 & \dots & f_n^1 \\ f_1^2 & f_2^2 & \dots & f_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_1^n & f_2^n & \dots & f_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d \chi_1^*}{d \alpha_i} \\ \frac{d \chi_2^*}{d \alpha_i} \\ \vdots \frac{d \chi_n^*}{d \alpha_i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -f_{\alpha_i}^1 \\ -f_{\alpha_i}^2 \\ \vdots \\ -f_{\alpha_i}^n \end{bmatrix}$$

- How do we solve for dx^{*}_j / dα_i? Using the usual methods (like Cramer's rule).
- All of this gives us a very powerful tool more analyzing relatively complicated economics models in a simple way.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

- You should now be able to do/understand the following:
- Partial differentiation and the Jacobian matrix
- ② The Hessian matrix and concavity and convexity of a function
- Optimization (profit maximization)
- Implicit functions (indifference curves and comparative statics)

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト