



Trinity College Dublin

Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath

The University of Dublin

School of Biochemistry and Immunology
School of Medicine

SS Molecular Medicine 2026–2027



Table of Contents:

GENERAL INFORMATION		
General Course Information	Introduction & Welcome	3
Staff Contacts Small group tutorials	Staff Contact Details & Tutors for Small Group Tutorials	4-5
Academic Matters	Timetables, Explanation of ECTS, Assessment & Examinations	5-7
Health & Safety Matters, Emergency Procedures	Regulations concerning Health & Safety, Emergency Procedures	7-10
Student Disability Service	Information on services and contact details	10
Student Counselling Service	Information on services, workshops and contact details	10-12
Careers Advisory Service	Information on services, workshops, opening hours etc.	13-14
PRIZES		
Prizes	Explanation of prizes/awards by the School/College	14
ACADEMIC WRITING		
Academic Integrity & Referencing Guide	College/School regulations concerning plagiarism and use of generative AI	15-19
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Year Structure & Workload	SS Year Structure & Workload	20
Exams, Assessment & Breakdown of Marks	ECTS value of modules, Overall year structure & workload, Key dates, Exam paper overview, Vivas	20-26
Graduate Attributes	Biochemistry degree programme learning outcomes	27
SS Molecular Medicine Module Descriptors	Module codes, learning outcomes, module descriptors, key reading	28-53
Marking Schemes & Guidelines	School of B & I guidelines on marking of exam questions, lab performance, thesis, poster presentation & oral presentation	54-61
Student Feedback & Evaluation	School of B & I procedures for student feedback & evaluation	61
Progression Regulations	College regulations concerning academic progress & progression	61-63

Dear SS Molecular Medicine students,

Welcome to your Senior Sophister year, the culmination of your Molecular Medicine degree. It is a chance to really engage with Molecular Medicine as a subject and to graduate as well-rounded scientists with the ability to follow a wide range of career paths.

For now, please take your time going through the information in this booklet. It contains important information including key dates and deadlines for various activities. A copy of the booklet can also be found on Blackboard under Module BIU44390.

Important dates for your diary to note right away, are: Semester 2 exams are provisionally scheduled for the week beginning Monday 19th April 2027; Vivas will take place on Tuesday 18th May 2027 (more information below).

If you have any problems during the year which affect your academic studies, please speak to me in confidence. I am here to help. Looking forward to working with you over the coming year.

Prof. Gareth Brady, SS Course Co-ordinator: bradyg1@tcd.ie

Staff Contact Details:

The SS course coordinator is Prof. Gareth Brady (bradyg1@tcd.ie). The Head of School is Prof. Derek Nolan (nolande@tcd.ie). Prof. Jean Fletcher is the Director of Undergraduate Teaching and Learning (fletchj@tcd.ie). Please use biochem@tcd.ie to contact the School office on Level 3 TBSI. Remember that you also have a College Tutor that you can contact at any time. The names of small group academic tutors are provided below. A complete list of the Biochemistry and Immunology Staff can be found at <https://www.tcd.ie/Biochemistry/people/>

Tutorials:

Tutors have been chosen randomly (please see list below). Please **contact your tutor during the first week of the first semester**. You are **expected to attend a tutorial every fortnight** where possible. Your tutor will set various exercises and these should help you in your final examinations.

SS Molecular Medicine Staff Tutorial Groups 2026-27

Student	Tutor
Dempsey, Ella Doherty, Aifric Fallon, Niamh	Prof. Jean Fletcher (FLETCHJ@tcd.ie)
Forde, Carla Goedvolk, Stella Harrington, Avery Karen Awan, Hooriya	Prof. Gareth Brady (BRADYG1@tcd.ie)
Jordan, Leah Kelly, Ciara Mc Donagh, Orla Maria Boyle, Ciara	Prof. Fred Sheedy (FSHEEDY@tcd.ie)
Mullen, Louis O'Callahan, Sinead Sheahan, Lara	Prof. Sarah Doyle (DOYLES8@tcd.ie)

Shiel, Sophia Tully, Logan Walsh, Ciara Marie Bowen, Amanda Naya	Prof. Aisling Dunne (aidunne@tcd.ie)
---	--------------------------------------

Academic Matters

Timetable, face-to-face and remote learning:

CMIS is the official college timetable. Small group tutorials may be face to face or remote via Teams or zoom. It is important this year that students actively engage with academic staff to enrich your education experience.

Attendance Requirements:

All students are expected to attend lectures, workshops, in-course assessments and examinations. Tutorials, lectures, and workshops play an important role in supporting progress through the academic year in particular course assignment work. Students are therefore expected to keep up a consistent rate of good participation so that performance later in the year will not be adversely affected. In the event of being unable to participate in classes/labs due to illness, **please inform the Course Co-ordinator**. Medical certificates are required for absences of more than a few days OR if the absence means a deadline or an assessment will be missed. Details of medical certificates and other personal information will be treated confidentially.

The School operates the College procedure in relation to 'Non-satisfactory attendance and course work' (Calendar). That is, any student who misses more than a third of a course in any semester or fails to complete assignments may be declared 'non-satisfactory'. Non-satisfactory returns are made to the Senior Lecturer; such students may be refused permission to take the end of semester examinations and may be required by the Senior Lecturer to repeat the year.

From College Calendar General Regulations:

Non-satisfactory attendance

24 All students must fulfil the course requirements of the school or department, as appropriate, with regard to attendance. Where specific requirements are not stated, students may be deemed non-satisfactory if they miss more than a third of their course of study in any term.

25 At the end of the teaching term, students who have not satisfied the school or department requirements, as set out in §§19 and 24 above, may be reported as non-satisfactory for that term. Students reported as non-satisfactory for the Michaelmas and Hilary terms of a given year may be refused permission to take their semester two assessment/examinations and may be required by the Senior Lecturer to repeat their year. Further details of procedures for

reporting a student as non-satisfactory are given on the College website at www.tcd.ie/academic_registry/student_cases.

Absence from Examinations:

From College Calendar General Regulations:

52 Students who may be prevented from sitting an examination or examinations (or any part thereof) due to illness should seek, through their tutor, permission from the Senior Lecturer in advance of the assessment session to defer the examination(s) to the reassessment session. Students who have commenced the assessment session, and are prevented from completing the session due to illness should seek, through their tutor, permission to defer the outstanding examination(s)/assessment(s) to the reassessment session. In cases where the assessment session has commenced, requests to defer the outstanding examination(s) on medical grounds, should be submitted by the tutor to the relevant school/departmental/course office. If non-medical grounds are stated, such deferral requests should be made to the Senior Lecturer, as normal.

53 Where such permission is sought, it must be appropriately evidenced: (a) For illness: medical certificates must state that the student is unfit to sit examinations/ complete assessments and specify the date(s) of the illness and the date(s) on which the student is not fit to sit examinations/complete assessments. Medical certificates must be submitted to the student's tutor within three days of the beginning of the period of absence from the assessment/examination. (b) For other grave cause: appropriate evidence must be submitted to the student's tutor within three days of the beginning of the period of absence from the assessment/examination.

54 Where illness occurs during the writing of an examination paper, it should be reported immediately to the chief invigilator. The student will then be escorted to the College Health Centre. Every effort will be made to assist the student to complete the writing of the examination paper.

55 Where an examination/assessment has been completed, retrospective withdrawal will not be granted by the Senior Lecturer nor will medical certificates be accepted in explanation for poor performance

Explanation of ECTS:

The European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) is an academic credit system based on the estimated student workload required to achieve the objectives of a module or programme of study. It is designed to enable academic recognition for periods of study, to facilitate student mobility and credit accumulation and transfer. The ECTS is the recommended credit system for higher education in Ireland and across the European Higher Education Area.

The ECTS weighting for a module is a **measure of the student input or workload** required for that module, based on factors such as the number of contact hours, the number and length of written or verbally presented assessment exercises, class preparation and private study time,

laboratory classes, examinations, clinical attendance, professional training placements, and so on as appropriate. There is no intrinsic relationship between the credit volume of a module and its level of difficulty.

The European **norm for full-time study over one academic year is 60 credits**. The Trinity College Dublin, University of Dublin academic year is 40 weeks from the start of Semester 1 to the end of semester 2. 1 ECTS credit represents 20-25 hours estimated student input, so a 10-credit module will be designed to require 200-250 hours of student input including class contact time and assessments.

ECTS credits are awarded to a student only upon successful completion of the course year. Progression from one year to the next is determined by the course regulations. Students who fail a year of their course will not obtain credit for that year even if they have passed certain component courses. Exceptions to this rule are one-year and part-year visiting students, who are awarded credit for individual modules successfully completed.

Annual Year Structure:

Information is available at [Academic Year Structure - Calendar - Trinity College Dublin](#)

Health and Safety Matters:

1) Registration with Local Safety Officer and Safety Lectures

All students must attend two health and safety lectures with the school safety officer, Dr Joyce Rubotham on September 14th 2026. **ATTENDANCE AT THESE Lectures and ANY ADDITIONAL TRAINING SESSIONS (e.g. Radiological Protection Workshop, viewing safety videos, etc.) IS MANDATORY.** Some of these actions are legal, license or College's insurer's requirements that have to be complied with.

During these lectures you will receive instructions on how to complete and submit your *Biological Agents Training record form*. Completion and submission of this form is a **college and legal requirement** for all staff/students who work in biology laboratories.

Mr Liam Cross (Chief Technical Officer) will describe the general management and security features of the building at an introductory briefing, also on September 14th. Again, attendance is compulsory.

2) Safety Lab Coat & Spectacles

You must have at least one Howie-style laboratory safety coat, conforming to the NISO 1993, or better, standard, along with a pair of safety spectacles with you at all stages during active laboratory work.

3) Specific Aspects of Health and Safety Associated with Project Work.

You are required to complete a *Biological Agents Training Record* Form to ensure that you have been trained in all techniques/equipment that you will be using during your project, that you understand any risks associated with your project and that you understand how to minimize them. Any hazardous materials, steps or procedures (including off-site work connected with your research such as collecting samples from other laboratories, etc.) involved in your project will have been identified by and discussed with you by your project supervisor. He/she is required, by law, to conduct a risk assessment of all the work that takes place in their laboratory. You should be given access to this *Project Risk Assessment* for your own information and in some cases to help complete your *Biological Agents Training Record form*.

Senior Sophisters must make themselves aware of the College's and School's Safety Statement which is displayed prominently in every laboratory in the School. It can be downloaded from the School's Local Home-Page at this URL: www.tcd.ie/biochemistry/. You are still bound by the 'Science Faculty's Health and Safety Guidance Manual' and the associated Health Questionnaire which you completed at the start of JF year. If your health status has changed since then in terms of the categories listed (including pregnancy or lactation) you have to complete a new Health Questionnaire. If your health status again changes during the year you must consult, in confidence, with the Safety Officer. [This particularly applies in the case of pregnancy.]

If you intend working with radioactivity during your project you must first contact the School Radiological Protection Supervisor, Dr Joyce Rubotham (rubothj@tcd.ie) (You are not permitted to work with unsealed radionuclide sources.

Any student working with human materials (blood, buffy coats, semen, CSF, dialysis fluid, primary explants, etc.) must be vaccinated against Hepatitis B prior to commencing your project. You are not permitted to work with any risk group 3 or class 3 biological agents such as HIV, Hepatitis B and C, COVID-19, etc. or to culture Category 3 (or higher) pathogens.

You must request or otherwise obtain Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for any toxic or dangerous chemicals or preparations that you are using in your project. These MSDS's have to be requested at the point of ordering any material. The MSDS must be stuck into your laboratory notebook. The guidance must be followed.

After 6:00 pm on working days, and at all times on weekends and public holidays, no Senior Sophister may work in any laboratory without the close presence of a member of the academic staff. It is the Senior Sophister's responsibility to ask that staff member if he/she will consent to act in a supervisory capacity for the time the student is working. During normal working hours, no student may work alone in any laboratory.

Failure to observe these rules/procedures will cause the offenders to be officially warned, and be reported to the Head of School, school safety officer and project supervisor. Normal College disciplinary procedures can be invoked (including fines being levied as well as withdrawal of student ID card, etc.) Persistent failure to observe these rules may result in that student being banned from laboratory work with loss of those marks available for project work.

4) Emergency Procedure


In the event of an emergency, **dial TBSI Security Services on extension 3999, or 1999 if you are on main campus.** Security Services provide a 24-hour service to the college community, 365 days a year. They are the liaison to the Fire, Garda and Ambulance services and all staff and students are advised to always telephone extension 1999 (+353 1 8961999) in case of an emergency, should you require any emergency or rescues services on campus, you must contact Security Services. This includes chemical spills, personal injury or first aid assistance. It is recommended that all students save at least one emergency contact in their phone under ICE (In Case of Emergency).

5) SafeZone App

The SafeZone app is a free App offered by Trinity College to all staff and students. This App provides immediate access to Trinity resources to help in the event of an emergency or security alert.

SafeZone enables you to raise alerts (by pressing the emergency button on the App) or seek assistance when onsite in Trinity. Once an alert is raised by pressing the emergency button, the user's location is shared with the Trinity security team who will respond to you. The App allows users to check in for specific time periods and if they do not check out within that period, an alert is automatically sent to security.

Download the App from the Apple App Store or Google Play store (details included in the following link): <https://safezoneapp.com/how-it-works/>





There is a **Disability Services closed group** within the SafeZone App. Joining this group allows College to notify you of any urgent accessibility updates by sending a push notification to your phone. These updates include notification of fire alarms, lifts out of order, or temporary restrictions of access routes due to maintenance or building works. The app also allows students and staff to call for assistance or notify of their location to College Security if they need assistance in the event of an emergency. To join the Disability Services closed group complete this [online form](#).

Student Disability Service:

Students with Disabilities: The University Policy Relating to students with disabilities is available at www.tcd.ie/disability. The Student Disability Service is located in Printing House Square, phone = 8963111, email = askds@tcd.ie. The Student Disability Services Committee provides the formal channel for raising issues affecting students with disabilities. Martha Motherway (motherm@tcd.ie) is the liaison officer for the disability services in our school.

Support Provision for Students with Disabilities: Trinity has adopted a Reasonable Accommodation Policy that outlines how supports are implemented in Trinity. Student seeking reasonable accommodation while studying in Trinity must apply for reasonable accommodations online with the Disability Service in their student portal my.tcd.ie. Based on appropriate evidence of a disability and information obtained from the student on the impact of their disability and their academic course requirements, the Disability Staff member will identify supports designed to meet the student's disability support needs. Following the Needs Assessment, the student's Disability Officer prepares an Individual Learning Educational Needs Summary (**LENS**) detailing the Reasonable Accommodations to be implemented. The information outlined in the LENS is communicated to the relevant School via the student record in SITS.

Student responsibilities for departmental assessments/course tests: Students are required to initiate contact with the School/Department and request reasonable accommodations **as per their LENS report, or email received following their needs assessment** for particular assessments for School/ Department administered assessment. Students are advised to make contact **at least two weeks prior** to the assessment date to enable adjustments to be implemented.

Student Counselling Service:

TCD Student Counselling Service

Mental Health: We offer free, confidential and non-judgmental support to registered students at Trinity College Dublin who are experiencing personal and/or academic concerns.



Social Belonging S2S is a student-led initiative designed to ensure any student in Trinity can get information and support from another student, find a friendly face to have a chat, talk things through or just ask a few questions when you're not sure who to approach.



Learning Development Student Learning Development supports Trinity reach their academic potential. We offer workshops, appointments and online resources, helping you with writing, study and organisation strategies, free to all UG & PG students.

Check out information on the full range of supports at

<https://www.tcd.ie/studentcounselling/>



SNAP (Support & Needs Assessment Planning)

SNAPs are Support and Needs Assessment Planning sessions. We work with you in the SNAP to understand what's going on for you and plan your next steps for getting support

Ways to attend SNAP:

Supports



Mental Health



Social Belonging



Learning Development



Drop-in (no booking needed): Every weekday morning during term time We offer 2 in-person SNAP 2 sessions on a first come, first served basis. These 2 Drop-in SNAPs are offered between 10.30 am and 12:00 pm and **cannot be pre-booked or reserved**. To avail of this option, you must be present in the SCS by 11:30 am at the latest and willing to wait to be seen.

Pre-Book Online: via our online booking system
https://eirene.tcd.ie/Titanium_Web/SCS/SelfBooking

Drop-in groups and Pre-Registration Groups

Attending group therapy can be a valuable way to feel less alone in our struggles, and to explore ways of coping together with peers in a professionally facilitated supportive environment. We offer several weekly drop-in support groups at SCS tailored to a variety of different topics, concerns, and identities.

<https://www.tcd.ie/studentcounselling/services-available/groups/>

Online Supports:

Student Counselling Service offers online support in addition to our usual face-to-face services through the Silver Cloud programme. You might prefer working online because it allows you the flexibility to work in your own time and from the comfort of home. Or you may be unable to attend the service in person due to your timetable or location. Our Silver Cloud programmes are effective, supportive, flexible and user-friendly.

<https://www.tcd.ie/studentcounselling/services-available/online-support/>

Need Urgent Support?

In the event of an emergency that cannot wait, the Student Counselling Service has emergency appointments available every weekday.

Email us at student-counselling@tcd.ie and we can book you in with the duty counsellor. **Please provide your Student ID and phone number**

Additional off-campus support is available at Samaritans (www.dublinsamaritans.ie) and Peita House (<http://www.pieta.ie/>).

As a reminder, you can always contact your **College Tutor** for personal support.

Careers Advisory Service:



Login. Only two steps - it's easy! Find us on tcd.ie/careers or MyDayApp

STEP 1

Login to MyCareer (using your Trinity username and password)

STEP 2

Update your profile with your email preferences, job and study areas of interest and your career readiness



Careers Advisory Service

MyCareer from Careers Advisory Service

An online service that you can use to:

- Apply for opportunities which match your preferences - vacancies including research options
- Search opportunities- postgraduate courses and funding
- View and book onto employer and CAS events
- Submit your career queries to the CAS team

- Book an appointment with your Careers Consultant

Simply login to MyCareer using your Trinity username and password and personalise your profile.

Careers Advisory Service

Trinity College Dublin, 7-9 South Leinster Street, Dublin 2
01 896 1705/1721 | Submit a career query through MyCareer



MyCareer:
mycareerconnect.tcd.ie



TCD.Careers.Service



TCDCareers



www.tcd.ie/



@TCDCareers



tinyurl.com/LinkedIn-
TCD-Connecting

Careers/students/postgraduate/

Opening Hours

During term: 9.30am - 5.00pm, Monday - Friday

Out of Term: 9.30am - 12.30pm & 2.15 - 5.00pm, Monday - Friday

PRIZES

School and College Awards/Prizes:

The **Ciotti Orsi Prize:** This prize was founded in 1996 by the late Dr. Bruno A. Orsi in honour of his wife, Margaret Ciotti, and, from 1999 as a memorial to her. It is awarded each year by an annual gift to a final year biochemistry, immunology or molecular medicine student who has shown excellence in research during their project and, in this way, reflects Margaret's scientific career in the U.S. Since the passing of Dr. Bruno A. Orsi in May 2020, the prize is now being awarded in memory of them both, reflecting their joint contributions to the world of Biochemistry. Dr. Bruno A. Orsi was professor emeritus and will be particularly remembered for his exuberant and enthusiastic teaching style. Value, €400 and a commemorative bronze medal. **Provisional date: 13th May 2027**

Capstone Project Poster Prizes: Following completion of your capstone project you will present a Project Poster to the School at a poster session (Friday 5th March 2027). All members of the School, both staff and students, are invited to attend and they may ask you questions about your research project. Your poster will be judged by 2 members of staff and you will be asked questions by these judges. Prizes will be awarded on the day for the best poster presentations.

Gold Medal: Gold Medals are awarded on the basis of the final, overall degree award mark (which will be calculated on a 30/70 basis over the final two years). If you achieve an overall degree mark of 75% or above you will be awarded a Gold Medal at graduation.

ACADEMIC WRITING

Academic Integrity and Referencing Guide:

While plagiarism has always been a serious offense (as detailed in the College Calendar-see excerpt below), the incidence of plagiarism has increased, in part due to remote and electronic submissions. It is your responsibility to understand what plagiarism is and avoid it. It can include the following:

Substantial or direct duplication of text/content:

- from material previously submitted during your degree.
- from published online sources without appropriate quotation and citation.
- from lecture slides.

Self-plagiarism (using materials prepared by you and previously submitted) is still plagiarism.

Care needs to be taken when paraphrasing from an article/source. Paraphrasing does not indicate that you understand the material and depending on the scale, can be considered plagiarism. For you to demonstrate understanding (and get better marks), you need to use your own words.

Please see the link to a series of webpages designed to help you to understand what plagiarism is and to employ the principles of academic integrity to avoid plagiarism:

<https://libguides.tcd.ie/academic-integrity>

The full statement of College's policy on plagiarism (see Calendar, General Regulations and Information, at [general-regulations-and-information.pdf \(tcd.ie\)](https://libguides.tcd.ie/academic-integrity/general-regulations-and-information.pdf) are reproduced below. Your capstone project thesis **will be submitted through plagiarism-detecting software** such as Turnitin (additional information for which can be found at: <http://turnitin.com/static/index.html>). **It is your responsibility to educate yourself about academic integrity and what exactly constitutes plagiarism. Ignorance is not an acceptable defence.**

It is a college requirement that all students must complete an online tutorial on academic integrity 'Ready, Steady, Write', located at <https://libguides.tcd.ie/academic-integrity/ready-steady-write>

In addition, students must complete cover sheets or include text containing the following declaration when submitting assessed work in hard or soft copy or via Blackboard:

I have read and I understand the plagiarism provisions in the General Regulations of the University Calendar for the current year, found at: <http://www.tcd.ie/calendar>

I have also completed the Online Tutorial on avoiding plagiarism 'Ready, Steady, Write', located at <https://libguides.tcd.ie/academic-integrity/ready-steady-write>

I have also read and understood the programme-level permissions regarding the use of GenAI in this assessment task, outlined in the relevant programme handbook and, where appropriate, module-level direction on the permitted use of GenAI outlined in the module handbooks and/or module descriptions in the Institutional VLE.

The Use and Referencing of Generative AI:

Aligned with the College Statement on Artificial Intelligence and Generative AI in Teaching, Learning, Assessment & Research (2024), the use of GenAI is permitted unless otherwise stated. Where the output of GenAI is used to inform a student's document or work output, this usage should be acknowledged and appropriately cited, as per [Library guidelines on acknowledging and reference GenAI](#). From an academic integrity perspective, if a student generates content from a GenAI tool and submits it as his/her/their own work, it is considered plagiarism, which is defined as academic misconduct in accordance with the College Academic Integrity Policy. If individual modules have further restrictions or permit greater allowances in the use of GenAI, those restrictions or allowances must be stated in the module handbooks and/or the module descriptions in the Institutional VLE. In such circumstances, it is the module permissions regarding the use of GenAI which are deemed to be definitive for use in that module.

School of Biochemistry and Immunology – Policy on use of Gen AI by students:

This policy outlines the School of Biochemistry and Immunology's current position on the use of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) by students and applies to students in both undergraduate and taught postgraduate courses. The policy will be reviewed annually in the light of updates in technology or College policy and practises.

Rationale:

Recent advances in GenAI bring both advantages and risks. The purpose of this policy is to maintain academic integrity, encourage independent thinking and learning and to prevent the misuse of GenAI that could compromise the educational process. There are a number of key skills that our students are expected to develop, including the ability to read, analyse and summarise scientific papers, critical thinking and the ability to synthesise information and explain it in a well-structured written format. The assignments and assessments in your course are designed to teach you these skills, and we believe that the use of GenAI tools in completing these tasks will prevent you from developing essential skills.

The use of GenAI tools such as ChatGPT and other such applications is prohibited for the following:

- Assignments – students must not include GenAI generated content in any graded assignments including essays, practical write-ups, mini-reviews and research project theses.
- The use of GenAI for generating content, rephrasing or summarising content that is then submitted is prohibited.
- Online exams – in cases where exams are online, GenAI tools must not be used to generate written answers or assist with answering MCQ questions.
- In person exams – students should take care not to use GenAI in pre-preparing exam answers or creating summaries of lecture slides that are then regurgitated in an exam. This will be considered as plagiarism given that it would not be the student's own work.
- Submitting lecturers' slides to GenAI tools is prohibited by law.

If a student generates content from a GenAI tool and submits it as his/her/their own work, it is considered plagiarism, which is defined as academic misconduct in accordance with College Academic Integrity Policy. Cases of plagiarism are considered under [College Academic Misconduct Procedures](#) and [College's Academic Integrity Policy](#).

Note that where misuse of GenAI tools is suspected students may be required to provide evidence of draft versions of the material, e.g. an earlier version of a minireview, or other evidence of having performed the work themselves.

Permitted use:

- GenAI tools may be used for a specific assignment when explicitly instructed by the lecturer for the purpose of learning how to use such tools in a guided manner.
- GenAI may be used to assist in data analysis for a research project where specifically indicated by your supervisor.
- You may find GenAI tools useful in supporting your own learning or understanding of topics but remember to fact check as it may not always provide correct information.
- Use of GenAI tools should always be explicitly acknowledged where used.

Further information: For useful information on the appropriate use of GenAI please consult the [College GenAI hub](#).

Calendar regulations on plagiarism:

96 General

It is clearly understood that all members of the academic community use and build on the work and ideas of others. It is commonly accepted also, however, that we build on the work and ideas of others in an open and explicit manner, and with due acknowledgement. Plagiarism is the act of presenting the work or ideas of others as one's own, without due acknowledgement. Plagiarism can arise from deliberate actions and also through careless thinking and/or methodology. The offence lies not in the attitude or intention of the perpetrator, but in the action and in its consequences. It is the responsibility of the author of any work to ensure that he/she does not commit plagiarism.

Plagiarism is considered to be academically fraudulent, and an offence against academic integrity that is subject to the disciplinary procedures of the University.

97 Examples of Plagiarism

Plagiarism can arise from actions such as: (a) copying another student's work; (b) enlisting another person or persons to complete an assignment on the student's behalf; (c) procuring, whether with payment or otherwise, the work or ideas of another; (d) quoting directly, without acknowledgement, from books, articles or other sources, either in printed, recorded or electronic format, including websites and social media; (e) paraphrasing, without acknowledgement, the writings of other authors; (f) using another person's form of words without quotation marks (this constitutes plagiarism even if the student provides a reference to that person or their work). Examples (d) and (e) in particular can arise through careless thinking and/or methodology where students: (i) fail to distinguish between their own ideas and those of others; (ii) fail to take proper notes during preliminary research and therefore lose track of the sources from which the notes were drawn; (iii) fail to distinguish between information which needs no acknowledgement because it is firmly in the public domain, and information which might be widely known, but which nevertheless requires some sort of acknowledgement; (iv) come across a distinctive methodology or idea and fail to record its source. All the above serve only as examples and are not exhaustive

98 Plagiarism in the context of group work

Students should normally submit work done in co-operation with other students only when it is done with the full knowledge and permission of the lecturer concerned. Without this, submitting work which is the product of collaboration with other students may be considered to be plagiarism. When work is submitted as the result of a group project, it is the responsibility of all students in the group to ensure, so far as is possible, that no work submitted by the group is plagiarised. In order to avoid plagiarism in the context of collaboration and group work, it is particularly important to ensure that each student appropriately attributes work that is not their own.

99 Self plagiarism

No work can normally be submitted for more than one assessment for credit. Resubmitting the same work for more than one assessment for credit is normally considered self-plagiarism.

100 Avoiding plagiarism

Students should ensure the integrity of their work by seeking advice from their lecturers, tutor or supervisor on avoiding plagiarism. All schools and departments must include, in their handbooks or other literature given to students, guidelines on the appropriate methodology for the kind of work that students will be expected to undertake. In addition, a general set of guidelines for students on avoiding plagiarism is available on <http://libguides.tcd.ie/plagiarism>.

101 If plagiarism as referred to in §96 above is suspected, in the first instance, the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or their designate, will write to the student, and the student's tutor advising them of the concerns raised. The student and tutor (as an alternative to the tutor, students may nominate a representative from the Students' Union) will be invited to attend an informal meeting with the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or their designate, and the lecturer concerned, in order to put their suspicions to the student and give the student the opportunity to respond. The student will be requested to respond in writing stating his/her agreement to attend such a meeting and confirming on which of the suggested dates and times it will be possible for them to attend. If the student does not in this manner agree to attend such a meeting, the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or designate, may refer the case directly to the Junior Dean, who will interview the student and may implement the procedures as referred to under CONDUCT AND COLLEGE REGULATIONS §2.

102 If the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or designate, forms the view that plagiarism has taken place, he/she must decide if the offence can be dealt with under the summary

procedure set out below. In order for this summary procedure to be followed, all parties attending the informal meeting as noted in §101 above must state their agreement in writing to the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) or designate. If one of the parties to the informal meeting withholds his/her written agreement to the application of the summary procedure, or if the facts of the case are in dispute, or if the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or designate, feels that the penalties provided for under the summary procedure below are inappropriate given the circumstances of the case, he/she will refer the case directly to the Junior Dean, who will interview the student and may implement the procedures as referred to under CONDUCT AND COLLEGE REGULATIONS §2.

103 If the offence can be dealt with under the summary procedure, the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate), or designate, will recommend one of the following penalties: (a) Level 1: Student receives an informal verbal warning. The piece of work in question is inadmissible. The student is required to rephrase and correctly reference all plagiarised elements. Other content should not be altered. The resubmitted work will be assessed and marked without penalty; (b) Level 2: Student receives a formal written warning. The piece of work in question is inadmissible. The student is required to rephrase and correctly reference all plagiarised elements. Other content should not be altered. The resubmitted work will receive a reduced or capped mark depending on the seriousness/extent of plagiarism; (c) Level 3: Student receives a formal written warning. The piece of work in question is inadmissible. There is no opportunity for resubmission with corrections. Instead, the student is required to submit a new piece of work as a reassessment during the next available session. Provided the work is of a passing standard, both the assessment mark and the overall module mark will be capped at the pass mark. Discretion lies with the Senior Lecturer in cases where there is no standard opportunity for a reassessment under applicable course regulations.

104 Provided that the appropriate procedure has been followed and all parties in §101 above are in agreement with the proposed penalty, the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) should in the case of a Level 1 offence, inform the course director and where appropriate the course office. In the case of a Level 2 or Level 3 offence, the Senior Lecturer must be notified and requested to approve the recommended penalty. The Senior Lecturer may approve, reject, or vary the recommended penalty, or seek further information before making a decision. If the Senior Lecturer considers that the penalties provided for under the summary procedure are inappropriate given the circumstances of the case, he/she may also refer the matter directly to the Junior Dean who will interview the student and may implement the procedures as referred to under CONDUCT AND COLLEGE REGULATIONS §2. Notwithstanding his/her decision, the Senior Lecturer will inform the Junior Dean of all notified cases of Level 2 and Level 3 offences accordingly. The Junior Dean may nevertheless implement the procedures as referred to under CONDUCT AND COLLEGE REGULATIONS §2.

105 If the case cannot normally be dealt with under the summary procedures, it is deemed to be a Level 4 offence and will be referred directly to the Junior Dean. Nothing provided for under the summary procedure diminishes or prejudices the disciplinary powers of the Junior Dean under the 2010 Consolidated Statutes.

TEACHING & LEARNING

Senior Sophister Molecular Medicine

60 Credits

BIU44390 CAPSTONE PROJECT IN MOLECULAR MEDICINE

20 credits

The purpose of this module is to further develop research, critical analysis and communication skills that are essential for a Molecular Medicine scientist. Students will be trained in data handling as well as solving quantitative problems in biochemistry and immunology. In addition, this module will introduce students to a wide array of cutting-edge techniques and strategies used in molecular medicine.

BIU44010 ADVANCED RESEARCH SKILLS (S1)

10 credits

This purpose of this module is to further develop research, critical analysis and communication skills that are essential for a graduate biochemist. Students will be trained in data handling as well as solving quantitative problems in biochemistry. In addition, this module will introduce students to a wide array of cutting edge techniques and strategies used in biochemistry.

BIU44310 NEUROBIOLOGY & IMMUNOLOGY (S2)

10 credits

This module covers the structure, function and pharmacology of neurotransmitters, neuron-glia interactions, intraneuronal signalling and the neurobiology of behaviour and neurodegenerative disorders. This module also covers regional immunology (*e.g.* gastrointestinal immunology, respiratory immunology etc), autophagy, virology and immunometabolism.

BIU44320 MICROBIAL DISEASES & IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS (S2)

10 credits

The first part of this module will focus on microbial diseases. Bacterial and viral pathogens of medical importance will be covered in detail. Parasitic protozoa such as trypanosomes and helminths will be introduced. This module will also cover the basic and clinical aspects of auto-inflammatory and autoimmune conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis and immunodeficiency syndromes.

BIU44330 CELL CYCLE, CANCER BIOLOGY AND THERAPEUTICS (S2)

10 credits

This module will provide an in-depth analysis of the cell cycle, cancer and metastasis. It will focus on the progression of disease and current therapeutic interventions. This module will also provide a detailed overview of the meiotic and mitotic cell cycle and its regulation and the molecular biology of cancer.

NOTE: Learning outcomes for each of the modules can be found in the detailed module descriptors (from page 26).

Examinations/Assessments and Breakdown of Marks:

Senior Sophister Module Name

ECTS Weighting

1) Capstone Project in Molecular Medicine	BIU44390	20 ECTS
2) Advanced Research Skills	BIU44010	10 ECTS
3) Neurobiology & Immunology	BIU44310	10 ECTS
4) Microbial Disease & Immune System Disorders	BIU44320	10 ECTS
5) Cell Cycle, Cancer Biology & Therapeutics	BIU44330	10 ECTS

SS year is broken down into a total of **60 credits**.

Capstone Project in Molecular Medicine (BIU44390)

Value: 20 ECTS

An 11-week research project and thesis. **Project laboratory work will start on Monday September 14th and terminate on Friday 27th November.** In general, students will finish in the laboratory by 6pm each day. Occasionally, experiments may run longer but this should not be the norm – please contact your supervisor if you need further information. In order to be fair to other students, no student is allowed to work in the laboratory after the 27th November (even to finish one last experiment). Please contact your course coordinator if you need further information.

After the completion of laboratory work, you will be required to submit a draft of your project thesis to your supervisor. The absolute deadline for submission of **thesis 1st draft is Monday 18th January 2027**. We would strongly recommend that you submit your first draft at an earlier date in January in order to give you time to incorporate suggested revisions. Your supervisor should only see one or possibly two drafts of the thesis prior to submission. Listen carefully to their feedback and incorporate it. **There is a word limit of 1500 words for the introduction and a total of 8500 words for the entire thesis (excluding bibliography and legends).** **The use of GenAI tools such as ChatGPT and other such applications is prohibited for the writing of your project thesis.**

A deadline for handing in final revised project thesis will operate. **It is 4.00 pm on Tuesday 2nd February. For every working day that your thesis is late 2% will be deducted from your mark (until you reach a pass mark of 40%).** Please submit your thesis to Blackboard under module BIU44190. More details will follow.

Following submission of your project thesis you will give a 15 min oral presentation (10 min plus 5 min for questions) that explains your project, its aims, your experimental approach, your results and conclusions (**Monday 1st March**). Your presentation and your ability to answer questions will be assessed by a panel of three members of academic staff. Your classmates will also be present at this session. It is advisable to arrange at least one practise session with your project supervisor. This **oral presentation will account for 15% of the project mark**.

You will also present a **Project Poster** to the School at a poster session (**Friday 5th March**). All members of the School, both staff and students, are invited to attend and they may ask you questions about your research project. Your poster will be judged by 2 members of staff and

you will be asked questions by these judges. This **poster presentation will account for 5% of the project mark.**

Ms Roisin Cleere and Ms Martha Motherway (Preparation Room) will advise you about the presentation of your poster and print it for you. Further details on Project write-ups and poster presentations will be given later on in the year.

Project Marking Scheme:

Lab performance: 15% (awarded by supervisor)

Thesis: 65% (awarded by supervisor & 1 other staff member)

Oral presentation: 15% (awarded by panel of 3 staff members)

Poster presentation: 5% (awarded by 2 staff members)

Copy of mark sheets and criteria for SS project lab performance, thesis, oral and poster presentations can be found below

- Lab performance report (supervisor only; 15%)
- Project thesis (supervisor's report – made available without mark to 2nd examiner)
- Project thesis (2nd examiner) – marks independently, meets and agrees mark with supervisor (65% of the project mark)

Note: if the supervisor and the 2nd examiners' marks are more than 10% apart, the thesis will be given to a third marker before a final mark is agreed.

Vaccination Requirements: All students were contacted in the junior sophister year regarding vaccination requirements for this module. The following Health and Safety notice appeared in the Junior Sophister course handbook, please read it carefully.

IMPORTANT HEALTH AND SAFETY NOTICE REGARDING SENIOR SOPHISTER CAPSTONE RESEARCH PROJECTS

Each project summary outlines the vaccine requirements, if any, for that project. Hepatitis B vaccination is mandatory for students who select a project involving work with human blood/tissue.

The School of Biochemistry and Immunology will schedule and finance a course of Hepatitis B vaccination for students who require immunity to undertake their research project.

Students who fail to present at their scheduled vaccination appointment take responsibility for rescheduling their appointment. In such circumstances, students should immediately communicate with the project supervisor and be cognisant of potential delays to the research project.

Students may also arrange and finance their own vaccination privately. Students who have previously received vaccinations should present relevant documents.

Advanced Research Skills (BIU44010)

Value: 10 ECTS

This module covers quantitative biochemical problems, bioinformatics (sequence analysis), comparative medicine and a series of group presentations by students on various biochemical techniques. A series of 18 lectures will also introduce students to a wide array of cutting-edge techniques and strategies used in biochemistry. Marks (**100**) for this module are awarded through continual assessment and exams as follows:

- Quantitative Problems: (4 in total, assessed by two 1 hour in-person exams of equal weighting; - one compulsory question, from one of two problems, on each exam. Problem Exam 1 – Friday 23rd October and Problem Exam 2 – Monday 14th December. (**30 marks** in total).
- Bioinformatics-Sequence Analysis (3 in total of equal weighting, assessed by assignments submitted on-line). (**10 marks** in total). **The use of GenAI tools such as ChatGPT and other such applications is prohibited for this assignment.**
- Comparative Medicine (assessed by a 1 hour in-person exam on 15th December; answer one compulsory essay style question). (**5 marks**)
- Group BioTechniques assessed in part by oral presentation (5 marks) and a summary report (5 marks) (**10 marks** in total). Presentations will take place on 28th and 29th October. **The use of GenAI tools such as ChatGPT and other such applications is prohibited for this assignment.**
- BioTechniques Exam. Both the material delivered in lectures and material covered in the group BioTechniques will be assessed by a 2.5h in-person exam on 16th December; answer 3 out of 4 essay style questions. (**45 marks** in total).

Quantitative Problem Tutorials:

An introductory session to each of the **four** Quantitative Problems will be delivered by four assigned staff members (*e.g.* **Prb 1 Intro** on timetable). Following the introductory session, you will be asked to attempt a quantitative problem circulated by that staff member before the next tutorial session (*e.g.* **Prb 1 Tutorial**). In this session, the staff member will go through the solution to the problem.

There will be two exams of equal weighting with one compulsory question on each exam. Problem Exam 1 will cover material from Problem 1 or Problem 2, and Problem Exam 2 will cover material from Problem 3 or Problem 4.

Sequence Analysis Sessions:

There will be three Sequence Analysis Exercises (Dr Jerrard Hayes). A tutorial will be delivered by Dr Hayes. He will show you how to use the required software and provide you with some worked examples. He will also advise you how and where to submit the exercises and of their submission deadlines.

Semester 2 Examination Papers

Value: 30 ECTS

There are three exam papers at the end of semester 2, each with equal weighting as follows:

Paper 1 (BIU44310) Neurobiology & Immunology

Value: 10 ECTS

Exam paper (100 marks) divided into 4 sections of equal weighting:

Section 1: Neurobiology (Answer 1 out of 2 questions)	25marks
Section 2: Immunology (Answer 1 out of 2 questions)	25marks
Section 3: General (Integrative/philosophical) (Answer 1 out of 3 questions)	25marks
Section 4: Short questions (Answer 4 out of 7 questions)	25marks

Paper 2 (BIU44320) Microbial Diseases & Immune System Disorders

Value: 10 ECTS

Exam paper (100 marks) divided into 4 sections of equal weighting.

Section 1: Microbial Disease (Answer 1 out of 2 questions)	25marks
Section 2: Immune System Disorders (Answer 1 out of 2 questions)	25marks
Section 3: General (Integrative/philosophical) Answer 1 out of 3 questions)	25marks
Section 4: Short questions (Answer 4 out of 7 questions)	25marks

Paper 3 (BIU44330) Cell Cycle, Cancer Biology & Therapeutics

Value: 10 ECTS

Exam paper (100 marks) divided into 4 sections of equal weighting.

Section 1: Cell cycle & Cancer (Answer 1 out of 2 questions)	25marks
Section 2: Cancer Biology & Therapeutics (Answer 1 of 2 questions)	25marks
Section 3: General (Integrative/philosophical) (Answer 1 out of 3 questions)	25marks
Section 4: Short questions (Answer 4 out of 7 questions)	25marks

The overall degree mark is comprised of 70% of SS year and 30% of JS year.

Molecular Medicine Breakdown of Papers 2026-27

Section 1: 'Neurobiology'

Answer 1 of 2 questions

Molecular Neurobiology (GD)

Neurodegenerative disorders (GD/DL/CC)

Section 2: 'Immunology'**Answer 1 of 2 questions**

Gastrointestinal Immunology (EL)
Respiratory Immunology (MA)
Autophagy & Disease (ABud)
Immunometabolism (DF/LON)
Metabolic Inflammation (FS)

Section 3: 'General'**Answer 1 of 3 questions**

Trans-subject integrative/philosophical questions

Section 4: 'Quickie/Short questions'**Answer 4 out of 7 questions****Paper 2– BIU44320 Microbial Diseases & Immune System Disorders****Section 1: 'Microbial Diseases'****Answer 1 of 2 questions**

Trypanosomiasis (DN)
Helminths (CF)
Prokaryotic Pathogens (HW)
TB (FS)
Viruses and Disease (GB)
Immunity to Infection (KM)

Section 2: 'Immune System Disorders'**Answer 1 of 2 questions**

Rheumatoid Arthritis (LON)
MS & EAE (JF/KM)
Autoinflammatory Disease (EC)
Inflammageing (NB)

Section 3: 'General'**Answer 1 of 3 questions**

Trans-subject integrative/philosophical questions

Section 4: 'Quickie/Short questions'**Answer 4 out of 7 questions****Paper 3– BIU44330 Cell Cycle, Cancer Biology & Therapeutics****Section 1: 'Cell Cycle and Cancer'****Answer 1 of 2 questions**

Mitotic Cell Cycle (ABv)
Initiation & Progression (VK)
Metastasis & Treatment (VK/KM)

Section 2: 'Cancer Biology & Therapeutics'**Answer 1 of 2 questions**

Haematological malignancies (TMcE)

Lung Cancer (GP)

Obesity & Cancer (JL)

Precision Medicine & Breast Cancer (KG)

Cancer Epigenetics (SG)

Section 3: 'General'**Answer 1 of 3 questions**

Trans-subject integrative/philosophical questions

Section 4: 'Quickie/Short questions'**Answer 4 out of 7 questions****Vivas:**

On completion of their semester two examinations, **some students sit an in-person viva voce examination with the External Examiner** (Prof. Daniel Tennant, University of Birmingham, UK). Please be aware the vivas take place outside of term time once all exam results have been collated- **PROVISIONAL DATE: 18th May 2027**. Students are considered '**borderline**' if they are **1% or less off a grade** and following the viva voce examination the External Examiner may recommend at the Examiners' meeting that the students' degree mark be brought up to the next grade. Note: not all students called for viva are borderline and additional students may be included as controls. You will not be told which category you are in. You cannot be marked down by a viva. You will not know your mark before sitting the viva. Finally, vivas will be held **in-person only (including for international students)** so please ensure you are in the country and available if called. **Requests for remote online vivas will not be granted.**

How can you prepare for the viva?

If you are called for a viva in the summer, you should read over your project thesis as the Extern often starts off by asking you about your project. He/she will want to relax you and will generally start you off on a topic you know a lot about. The Extern will probably cover about 4-6 topics during the viva and it is impossible to second guess what they will ask. However, if you feel you did badly in one particular exam question, it is a good idea to revise this topic. The Extern has access to all your marks and if he/she sees a poor mark in an otherwise very consistent good set of marks they may wish to follow this up. The Extern may also ask you if there is a topic in Biochemistry that you find particularly interesting and that you wish to talk about. It is therefore a good idea to have something prepared but ensure that it is a specific topic. Do not be too general and say that you're interested in protein structure! The Extern may also ask you on your views of the course; was there a part of the course you really enjoyed or not as the case may be. The role of the Extern is not only to assess your performance but also to assess our teaching capabilities and to identify strengths/weaknesses and even omissions in the course so that they can make recommendations for the following year.

GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES

The Trinity graduate attributes of thinking independently, communicating effectively, developing continuously and acting responsibly are all developed through the learning outcomes of the Molecular Medicine degree programme detailed below.

On successful completion of this programme graduates will be able to:

- Demonstrate in depth knowledge of core and specialised areas of Molecular Medicine and an ability to understand and solve problems in Molecular Medicine contexts, integrating knowledge across disciplines
- Explain the theory behind cutting edge techniques and strategies used in Molecular Medicine and related disciplines and show a critical awareness of how these techniques/strategies can be applied to biological problems
- Analyse and interpret experimental data using appropriate statistical, computational, and bioinformatics tools
- Critically evaluate scientific literature, identifying strengths, limitations, and the relevance of published findings to current research questions
- Conduct an independent research project under supervision, demonstrating the ability to:
 - Formulate testable hypotheses or research questions
 - Develop appropriate methodologies and experimental designs
 - Collect, critically analyse, and interpret data
 - Reflect on limitations and ethical considerations
 - Communicate findings effectively through written thesis and oral/poster presentations
- Work effectively as an individual and in a team showing professional responsibility, time management, and communication skills
- Demonstrate awareness of ethical, legal, and societal issues related to Molecular Medicine, such as those involving genetic modification, biotechnology, and clinical research
- Engage in lifelong learning and professional development, recognising the importance of staying current with advances in Molecular Medicine and related fields.

**SS Module Codes, Learning Outcomes,
Course Descriptions & Key Reading**

2026-27

BIU44390 CAPSTONE PROJECT IN MOLECULAR MEDICINE (S1) **(20 credits)**

Learning outcomes:

On successful completion of this module students will be able to:

- Pursue with a degree of independence an original research project in Molecular Medicine. Design and implement a wide range of experimental procedures, critically analyse and interpret experimental data, synthesise hypotheses from a wide range of information sources, critically evaluate research literature and write a research dissertation
- Demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the theory behind the techniques used in the research project and show a critical awareness of how these techniques can be applied to biological problems
- Discuss a specialised research area of Molecular Medicine in detail
- Work effectively as an individual and in a team and exercise initiative and personal responsibility
- Display computer literacy and use advanced computer skills to aid in conducting scientific research
- Communicate results of research project effectively with the scientific community

BIU44010 Advanced Research Skills (S1) **(10 credits)**

This purpose of this module is to further develop research, critical analysis and communication skills that are essential for a graduate biochemist. Students will be trained in data handling as well as solving quantitative problems in biochemistry. In addition, this module will introduce students to a wide array of cutting-edge techniques and strategies used in biochemistry.

Learning outcomes:

On successful completion of this module students will be able to:

- Apply appropriate statistical tests to experimental data and evaluate the results of these tests.
- Demonstrate proficiency in the application of sequence analysis algorithms
- Solve numerical biochemical problems
- Demonstrate proficiency in the application of molecular modelling software
- Display a solid foundation in the ethics of and use of animals for experimentation
- Describe the principles behind and applications of current techniques in scientific research

Sequence Analysis Jerrard Hayes

The course will provide an introduction into Bioinformatics. Topics covered include:

- DNA (including genomic) and protein databases
- Accessing sequence information from databases using the Internet
- Sequence similarity searches (i.e. BLAST, FASTA)
- Identification of homologous proteins
- Multiple sequence alignments (i.e. Clustal W)
- Searches for protein motifs, domain, patterns

Students will carry out three exercises:

Exercise 1: Accessing databases from the Internet, retrieval of sequences (DNA and protein), extracting relevant sequence information, presentation and annotation of a chosen sequence

Exercise 2: Sequence similarity search (BLAST), identification of homologous proteins, multiple sequence alignment (Clustal W)

Exercise 3: Sequence analysis of membrane proteins, hydrophobicity plots, identification of transmembrane helices and signal peptides

Reading list:

*essential reading

recommended

*Bioinformatics: Sequence, structure, and databanks. A practical approach. D. Higgins and W. Taylor (eds.) Oxford University Press, 2000.

*Trends guide to bioinformatics. Elsevier Science, 1998

#Benson, D. A. et al. 1999. GenBank. Nucleic Acid Research, 27: 12-17

#Bairoch, A. and R. Apweiler. 2000. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acid Research, 28: 45-48.

#Altschul et al. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403-410.

#Needleman, S. B. and Wunsch, C. D. 1970. A general method applicable to the search for similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins. J. Mol. Biol., 48: 443-453.

#Smith, T. F. and Waterman, M. S. 1981. Identification of common molecular subsequences. J. Mol. Biol., 147: 195-197.

#Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R. F. 1982. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J. Mol. Biol., 157: 105-132.

#Persson, B. and Argos, P. 1994. Prediction of transmembrane segments in proteins utilising multiple sequence alignments. J. Mol. Biol., 237: 182-192.

#Rost, B. et al. 1995. Transmembrane helices predicted at 95% accuracy. Protein Science, 4: 521-533.

#Von Heijne, G. 1992. Membrane protein structure prediction. Hydrophobicity analysis and the positive-inside rule. J. Mol. Biol., 225:487-494.

#Sonnhammer, E. L. L. et al. 1998. A hidden Markov model for predicting transmembrane helices in protein sequences. In J. Glasgow et al. (eds.) Proc. Sixth Int. Conf. On Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology, 175-182. AAAI Press.

#Von Heijne, G. 1986. A new method for predicting signal sequence cleavage sites. Nucleic Acid Research, 14: 4683-90.

#Nielsen, H. et al. 1997. Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Engineering, 10:1-6.

X-ray crystallography (2 lectures) Amir Khan

These two lectures will provide an introduction to X-ray crystallography and will include the following:

- overview of modern X-ray and cryo-EM techniques to visualize macromolecules (proteins, DNA, RNA) and larger assemblies at atomic resolution
- concept of resolution in imaging and its relationship to X-ray and cryo-EM hardware for data collection
- principles of X-ray diffraction and cryo-EM structure determination, advantages of the techniques and their limitations

Recommended reading:

Crystallography Made Crystal Clear
Gale Rhodes

Protein Crystallography: A concise guide
Eaton Lattman and Patrick Loll

Metabolomics research (2 lectures) Maxim Nosenko & David Finlay

Metabolic flux analysis (1 lecture) Maxim Nosenko

Analysis of cellular oxygen consumption together with extracellular acidity rate are an excellent way to get an overview of metabolic flux in a cell. Furthermore, the use of selective inhibitors can allow a researcher to shed light on the bioenergetics and biochemical pathways that contribute to that flux. The Seahorse Flux Analyser and the Oroboros Respirometer are excellent apparatus for determining such metabolic flux. The lecture will cover the principles behind the use of these apparatus and will give examples of their use to researchers.

Measuring Nutrient Uptake in Single Cells (1 lecture) David Finlay

The acquisition of nutrients is a fundamental requirement for cellular metabolism and a key determinant of immune-cell function. This lecture will explore state-of-the-art approaches for quantifying nutrient uptake at single-cell resolution, including assays for amino-acid, iron, and fatty-acid uptake. Particular emphasis will be placed on the use of bioorthogonal ("click") chemistry, which has enabled physiological measurements of nutrient uptake in vivo. The lecture will also critically evaluate commonly used uptake assays, highlighting examples that accurately report nutrient transport as well as approaches that have proven unreliable and should be interpreted with caution.

Protein engineering (2 lectures) Jerrard Hayes

Protein engineering is the process of developing valuable proteins, mainly for the biopharmaceutical market with a value of approximately \$170 billion annually. This 2 lecture course will cover the production of recombinant proteins through genetic engineering and cell biology techniques for bioprocessing and biopharmaceutical manufacturing. Included in the course is upstream processing of proteins in bacterial, mammalian and insect cell lines, downstream processing in bioreactors and production of purified products, and optimisation of the bioprocess for the generation of desired post translational modifications, such as glycosylation.

Flow cytometry & cell sorting (2 lectures) Barry Moran

Flow cytometry is a key technology underpinning almost all biomedical research. Using fluorescent probes to tag molecules in or on the cell, it allows high-speed, high-parameter analysis of single cells as they flow through a fluid stream. Cell sorting extends the technology, enabling any identifiable cell population to be enriched to a very high purity. These lectures will cover the fundamentals of flow cytometry and cell sorting, including novel techniques and applications.

NMR spectroscopy for biomedical scientists (2 lectures) Ken Hun Mok

Lecture 1. Brief overview of the theories and practices; How NMR is used in structural biology and in probing the dynamics of biomolecules.

Lecture 2. Application of NMR to metabolomics; How mass spectrometry and NMR are complementary in identifying metabolites.

Reading / Viewing Materials:

- (1) Hornak JP, Web book: "The Basics of NMR", <http://www.cis.rit.edu/htbooks/nmr/>, 1997.
- (2) Knowbee Tutoring, "Introduction to NMR Spectroscopy" Parts 1 and 2, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TJhVotrZt9I>, 2015.
- (3) Hore PJ, Jones JA, Wimperis S, "NMR: The Toolkit", Oxford Chemistry Primers 92, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- (4) Wong F, "NMR Made Easy!" Parts 1-6, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9orcRVTkC50&list=PLP0TLbeMObSy4izIkMIC2QOpJCzNjpC17>, 2012.
- (5) Larive CK, Barding Jr GA, Dinges MM, "NMR Spectroscopy for Metabolomics and Metabolic Profiling", *Anal. Chem* 87 (1): 133–146, 2015.
- (6) Markley JL et al, "The future of NMR-based metabolomics", *Curr Opin Biotech* 43: 34-40, 2017.
- (7) Jang C, Chen L, Rabinowitz JD, "Metabolomics and Isotope Tracing", *Cell* 173(4): 822-837, 2018.

Cellular Imaging (3 lectures) Riccardo Maraspini & Derek Nolan

Lecture 1: Introduction to imaging and the concept of resolution. Application of electron microscopy in cell imaging. EM tomography and specialized techniques. Introduction to light microscopy.

Lecture 2: Advanced light microscopy: wide field and confocal microscopy.

Lecture 3: Application of fluorescent proteins and probes in multidimensional imaging in fixed and live cells.

Suggested reading and references.

<http://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/index.html>

An excellent resource available on line. This series highlights the most influential developments in light microscopy in a series of short articles, each describing a major achievement. Almost a one stop shop

<http://www.olympusmicro.com/>

The Olympus Microscopy Resource Center.

This site covers a wide range of topics in light microscopy: basic to advanced topics with primers and interactive tutorials in some sections.

Principles and Techniques of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 7th Edition. Wilson K & Walker J Eds. Chapter 4 Microscopy. Brief overview of field.

Correlative cryo-light microscopy and cryo-electron tomography: from cellular territories to molecular landscapes. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, Volume 20, 2009, Pages 83-89 From nano to micrometre scale in cells.

Molecular Genetic Tools (5 lectures) Vincent Kelly & Derek Nolan

Lecture 1. Diseases from genomic mutations, their detection and modern therapies (VK)

The human genome project brought about an unprecedented understanding of disease and the myriad of causative mutations that are identified by NGS sequencing, DNA arrays and GWAS studies. Therapies to treat monogenetic diseases can exploit viral delivery mechanisms including AAV, Lentiviral and Herpes Simple virus.

Lecture 2. The age of genome editing (VK)

Another approach is to use 'genome editing' that exploits bacterial-derived endonucleases to cut the genome and homologous recombination to deliver exogenously supplied DNA. Endonuclease deficient derivatives are also available that provide epigenetic control of gene expression. CAR T cells are one example of modern next-generation therapies that rely on viral vectors and

bacterial-derived endonucleases for their production. The introduction of CAR T cells and other ATMPs is revolutionising the treatment of human disease.

Lecture 3. Mutagenic, transgenic & cloning technologies (VK)

The concept of forward and reverse genetics in understanding gene function will be considered and how these mutations are physically introduced into the genome through random mutagenesis, viral mutagenesis, gene replacement and gene-targeting strategies. The process of microinjection to create transgenic animals, gene knockouts and cloned animal will be covered and the generation and use of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS) in biomedical research applications.

Lectures 4 & 5. RNA interference (DN): The discovery of the classical RNA interference pathway involving siRNA will be described. The lectures will consider the concept of regulation of expression through siRNA and microRNAs along with the use and design of RNAi based approaches in functional genomics. The advantages and limitation of such approaches will be investigated through the use of specific examples. The potential use of RNAi in therapeutic approaches will be outlined.

Reading List:

Lectures 1-3:

**** Highly relevant material**

Papers relate to the endothelin B receptor and conditional mouse. These papers are discussed in the lectures and are given as an example of the power of inducible transgenics.

****Molecular Cell Biology, Lodish et al., Sixth Edition. W. H. FREEMAN, New York. (*Good general overview of genetic techniques*)**

***Baneyx F (1999) Recombinant protein expression in E. coli. Current opinion in Biotechnology 10:411-421. (*Detailed review of the plasmid/E.coli features that direct recombinant expression*)**

****Bockamp et al. 2002. Of mice and models: improved animal models for biomedical research. Physiol. Genomics. 11:115-132 (*Very good overview of mouse transgenics, covers the endothelin receptor B example described in lectures*)**

Fields, S. and Sternglanz. 1994. The yeast two-hybrid system: an assay for protein-protein interactions. Trends in Genetics 10: 286-292

****Vidan S, Snyder M. (2001) Large-scale mutagenesis: yeast genetics in the genome era. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 12:28-34.**

Beutler B, Poltorak A. (2000) The search for Lps: 1993-1998.

J Endotoxin Res. 6:269-93. (*An amusing and personal account of Bruce Beutlers discovery of TLR4 by positional cloning*)

*Wang B. and Zhou J. 2003. Specific genetic modifications of domestic animals by gene targeting and animal cloning. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology, 1:103

#Masaki T. (2004) Historical review: Endothelin. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 25(4):219-24.

#Shin et al. 1999. The temporal requirement for endothelinreceptor-B signalling during neural crest development. Nature. 402: 496-501

#Lee et al. 2003. The endothelin receptor-B is required for the migration of neural crest-derived melanocyte and enteric neuron precursors. Developmental Biology 259; 162–175

Lectures 4-5

(1) Cogoni C, and Macino G. (2000) Post-transcriptional gene silencing across kingdoms. *Genes Dev* **10**: 638-643.

(2) Guru T. (2000). A silence that speaks volumes. *Nature* **404**, 804-808.

(3) Sharp PA. RNA Interference-2001. (2001) *Genes Dev* **15**: 485-490.

(4) Ullu E., Tschudi C. and Chakraborty T. (2004) RNA interference in protozoan parasites *Cellular Microbiol.* **6**: 509-519

Comparative Medicine Dr Kimberly Jardine

The purpose of this lecture course is to introduce students to the basic requirements for working with animals. This is necessary if a full appreciation of animal related work is to be got from the projects. It is also a legal requirement that anybody involved in the use of animals for scientific purposes has appropriate training (*EC directive 86/609*)

This module is not intended to be a comprehensive training course. To do this would require a much more detailed and extensive series of talks. Most of the training which will be required by students will be obtained by working in close contact with a technician and with experienced supervisors.

The golden rule should be always '*if you don't know ask somebody*'.

The welfare of the animal and often the success of your Project will depend on using a correct approach to animals involved in your project.

Even if you are not undertaking a SS project which involves live animals you may do so in your future career.

The Law and Application for a licence
 Animal House Design; Its effect on Research
 Characteristics of Individual species
 Experimental design Choice of species
 Injections and tissue sampling
 Health Considerations
 Alternatives to live animal experimentation
 Handling Video, Safety, Local arrangements
 Video and discussion 'Ethics of Animal research'
 The Scientists Viewpoint
 Assessment

Reading List:

Laboratory animals an introduction for new experimenters A. A. Tuffery
 Handbook of laboratory animal management and care . S. Wolefensohn, M. Lloyd
 Introduction to laboratory animal science and technology J. Inglis
 Humane experimental technique W. Russell, R. Burch
 Experimental and surgical technique in the rat H. Wayneforth, P. Flecknell
 Animals and alternatives in toxicology; present and future prospects M. Balls, J. Bridges, J. Southee
 In vitro toxicology S. Cox Gad
 UFAW handbook on the care & management of laboratory animals T. Poole
 Laboratory animals anaesthesia P. Flecknell
 Handbook of rodent and rabbit medicine K Laber-Laird, M. Swindle, P. Flecknell
 The biology and medicine of rabbits and rodents J. Harkness J. Wagner
 The laboratory animals, principles and practice W. Lane-Petter, A. Pearson
 Man and mouse, animals in medical research W. Paton
 Lives in the balance; J. Smith, K. Boyd
 The ethics of using animals in biomedical research Vivisection in historical prospective
 R. Rupke

BIU44310

NEUROBIOLOGY & IMMUNOLOGY (S2)
(10 credits)

This module covers the structure, function and pharmacology of neurotransmitters, neuron-glia interactions, intraneuronal signalling and the neurobiology of behaviour and neurodegenerative disorders. This module also covers the molecular basis of immune mediated responses.

Learning outcomes:

On successful completion of this module students will be able to:

- Recall and integrate key knowledge on structure of cell types in the brain and how they control neurotransmission and critically evaluate how various chemicals (biogenic amines, amino acids, peptides & labile gases) in the brain fulfill the criteria for characterisation as neurotransmitters
- Employ an understanding of the molecular mechanisms that are involved in the major neurodegenerative disorders and the medical advances that are in development
- Demonstrate an understanding of the complexities and unique aspects of immunology in organs such as GI tract and respiratory system.
- Integrate biochemistry and immunology analyses to critically understand the impact of immunometabolism and autophagy on the immune response.

PART 1: NEUROBIOLOGY & NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS

Molecular Neurobiology: Prof Gavin Davey (6 lectures).

Lecture 1: Neurotransmission & molecular mechanisms of exocytosis

Lecture 2: Molecular mechanisms in excitatory neurotransmission

Lecture 3: Molecular mechanisms in inhibitory neurotransmission

Lecture 4: Cholinergic signalling & neurotoxins

Lecture 5: Dopamine signalling and molecular neurobiology

Lecture 6: Serotonin and molecular neurobiology underlying depression

References: to be supplied closer to lectures

Neurodegenerative disorders: An interdisciplinary approach: Prof David Loane (6 lectures).

Lecture 1: Common mechanisms of neurodegeneration

Lecture 2: Alzheimer's disease

Lecture 3: Parkinson's disease

Lecture 4: Huntington's disease

Lecture 5: ALS (motor neuron disease)

Lecture 6: Stroke

References: to be supplied closer to lectures

Reading/Learning Resources:

- *Basic Neurochemistry: Molecular, Cellular, and Medical Aspects* by G.J. Siegel *et al.* (1999). 7th Edition - excellent; 6th edition freely available online through Pubmed website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK20385/>).
- *Principles of Neural Science* (5th. Edition) by E.R. Kandel *et al.* (2000) McGraw-Hill- A monster textbook >1600 pages but very good.
- *Proteins, Transmitters and Synapses* by D.G. Nicholls (1994) Blackwell, Oxford – The best on synaptic bioenergetics (out of print but there is a copy in the library).
- *Stahl's Essential Psychopharmacology: Neuroscientific Basis and Practical Applications* by S.S. Stahl (2000). Cambridge Univ. Press. Idiosyncratic but fun.
- The Biochemical basis of neuropharmacology by JF Cooper, FE Bloom and RH Roth Oxford University Press, Eighth Edition
- Molecules and mental illness by Samuel H. Barondes Paperback - 216 pages (June 1999) W H Freeman & Co.; ISBN: 0716760339- Drugs and the brain by Solomon Snyder

Neurological diseases: Prof. Colm Cunningham (4 Lectures)

Multiple Sclerosis & the brain's immune privilege

Contrast Innate and specific immunity

- explain why specific immunity in brain is different
- incomplete privilege: EAE -> MS
- blood brain barrier, Cell infiltration
- Dendritic cells, antigen drainage etc
- What is immune privilege NOT (Galea)
- Multiple sclerosis, Th1, Th17 cells
- treatments; steroids, interferon- β
- Tysabri, VCAM inhibition (natalizumab)

Alzheimer's disease, microglia, transgenic models & vaccination studies

Basal forebrain cholinergic loss, current treatments

- the amyloid hypothesis
- Discuss Tg2576 and other genetic AD models
- Microglia, inflammation and NSAID treatment
- The AD vaccination studies in vivo and in patients
- BACE inhibitors, gamma-secretase inhibitors

References: to be supplied closer to lectures

PART 2: IMMUNOLOGY & IMMUNOMETABOLISM

Gastrointestinal tract Immunology: Prof Ed Lavelle (3 lectures)

Lecture 1: Overview of gut associated lymphoid tissue, Peyer's patches, inductive and effector sites. Uptake of antigens across epithelial surfaces.

Lecture 2: Dendritic cells and T cells in the gastrointestinal tract. Homing of gut T cells

Lecture 3: Mucosal humoral immunity. IgA responses and their regulation.

Respiratory tract Immunology: Prof Michelle Armstrong (3 lectures)

Lecture 1: Introduction to the basic biology of the respiratory tract: conducting airways, mucosal surface, lung parenchyma and organization of the lung immune system. Understanding the concept that the lung is continually exposed to foreign antigens and must discriminate between recognition of innocuous environmental antigens and pathogenic antigens.

Lecture 2: Roles played by individual cells in regulating immune response in the lung: airway epithelial cells, alveolar macrophages, regulatory T-cells, T-cell homing to lung, innate lymphoid cells.

Lecture 3: Immunological challenges faced by the lungs: Infectious/inflammatory disease (cystic fibrosis), interstitial disease (IPF and pulmonary sarcoidosis) and allergic disease (asthma).

Autophagy: Prof Andrei Budanov (2 lectures)

Lecture 1: *The mechanics of autophagy*

- Early signalling events in autophagy
- Omegasomes: PI3P platforms that manufacture autophagosomes

- Sources of the autophagosome membrane
- Ubiquitin-like conjugation systems that mediate membrane formation
- Autophagosome maturation and lysosomal fusion

Lecture 2: *Selective autophagy & disease*

- Chaperone-mediated autophagy, macro/microautophagy & mitophagy
- Autophagy and cell death
- Autophagy and ageing: age-related neurodegenerative diseases
- Autophagy in cancer prevention, development and therapy
- Autophagy as a defence against intracellular pathogens

Reading list:

“Autophagy: molecules and mechanisms” by Jon Lane.

A list of suitable reviews will be given out during the lecture course

Immunometabolism: Profs David Finlay and Luke O’Neill (5 lectures)

Lecture 1. Cellular metabolism + immune cells (David Finlay)

Overview of metabolic pathways. Discuss why cells adopt different metabolic configurations. Outline the metabolic configurations used by different immune subsets.

Lecture 2. Macrophage immunometabolism (Luke O’Neill)

Metabolic changes during macrophage polarization, roles of key metabolites in M1 and M2 macrophages. Role of mitochondria as signal generator in macrophages.

Lecture 3. Lymphocyte immunometabolism (David Finlay)

Central role for metabolism in the control of lymphocyte activation, differentiation and function; Effector, regulatory and memory T cells, NK cells and B cells.

Lecture 4. Nutrients and the tumour microenvironment (David Finlay) Discuss nutrient availability to immune cells and nutrients as fuels and key regulators of immune signalling. Focus on how the tumour microenvironment can alter immune function through altering nutrient levels. Potential for improved anti-cancer immunotherapy through manipulation of metabolism and nutrient levels.

Lecture 5. Targeting metabolism to treat inflammatory and infectious disease (Luke O’Neill)

Prospects of targeting metabolism to treat inflammatory and infectious diseases. Current therapies that target metabolism: metformin, rapamycin and methotrexate. Solute carriers, PKM2, SDH and GAPDH as examples.

Metabolic Inflammation: Prof Fred Sheedy (3 lectures)

Lecture 1: “PAMPs & DAMPs”

Activation of the innate immune system by endogenous metabolite accumulation and danger signals

Lecture 2: “Dysfunctional Resolution”

Effectors in the immune response to metabolites, Removing danger, Resolving inflammation, Restoring homeostasis & strategies to boost this.

Lecture 3: “Inborn errors of meta-inflammation”

Genetics in metabolic inflammation. Hyperlipidemia. Lysosomal Storage Disorders. Spontaneous activation of innate immunity. Environmental Factors.

BIU44320 MICROBIAL DISEASES & IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS (S2) (10 credits)

This module covers the pathogenesis of infectious diseases. Bacterial pathogens of medical importance will also be covered in detail. It will provide an introduction to parasitic protozoa such as trypanosomes and helminths. The biochemical and genetic mechanisms by which bacteria, viruses and parasites evade the host immune responses will be covered. This module will also cover the pathogenesis of autoimmune and inflammatory disease.

Learning outcomes:

On successful completion of this module students will be able to:

- Relate how African trypanosomes avoid the immune response and innate immunity of their human hosts.
- Define the molecular basis of pathogenesis of various prokaryotic pathogens of medical importance including *Helicobacter pylori*
- Relate how Mycobacterium Tuberculosis avoid the immune response and innate immunity of their human hosts.
- Compare the strategies to control helminth infections, using specific species as examples and evaluate the global impact of helminth infections on endemic countries.
- Understand viral infections and the basis of virus-induced disease
- Critically evaluate the contribution of immunology to a range of important human diseases including autoimmunity (rheumatoid arthritis), autoinflammatory diseases, immune deficiency and inflammaging.

PART 1: MICROBIAL DISEASES

African Trypanosomes : Prof Derek Nolan (8 lectures)

The aim of these lectures is to provide an introduction to African trypanosomes, parasitic protozoans that cause sleeping sickness in humans and a related disease, Nagana, in cattle. These parasites are a major problem for human and veterinary health throughout sub Saharan Africa and serious barrier to economic development of the region. Perhaps the most striking feature of these parasites is that they are exclusively extracellular. They grow and divide in the mammalian vasculature and consequently exposed the adaptive and innate defence responses of their mammalian hosts. In addition, for a variety of reasons, African trypanosomes have been come a favourite model organism for molecular and cell biologists and many discoveries of broad significance have emerged from studies on these model unicellular eukaryotes. Areas where such discoveries have been reported will be illustrated in the lectures where appropriate. The course is organized into two parts.

Trypanosomes Part 1: Stealth strategies of an elusive parasite

1. How are trypanosomes, such as *Trypanosoma brucei*, able to evade the host humoral immune response given that they are constantly exposed to this arm of the immune response?
2. What other strategies do trypanosomes employ to circumvent the innate immune responses?
3. How are these parasites able to acquire essential macromolecular growth factors from their hosts without attracting a response?

Trypanosomes Part 2: What is the molecular basis of human sleeping sickness?

The focus in part II is on the innate immunity that humans and other primates have to infection by all but a few trypanosomes. In effect in this part we will consider the molecular basis of African human sleeping sickness. We will consider the nature of the trypanolytic toxin present in human serum and how this toxin kills these parasites. We will see an amazing link between the toxin and an unsuspected programmed cell death pathway. Finally, we will see how two strains of trypanosomes have responded by developing independent mechanisms to resist this toxin and how in turn certain human populations are able to overcome this resistance and the price they pay for this capacity.

Reading List:

Additional specific references for key experiments will be provided within the lectures which are available on the school website.

Trypanosomes Part I

- (1) Cross, G.A.M. (2001) African trypanosomes in the 21st century: what is their future in science and health? *Int. J. Parasitol.* **31**: 427-433
- (2) Borst, P. (2002) Antigenic variation and allelic exclusion *Cell* **109**: -8.

- (3) Pays, E. (2005) Regulation of antigen gene expression in *Trypanosoma brucei* *Trends Parasitol.* **21**: 517-520.
- (4) Pays E. (2006) The variant surface glycoprotein as a tool for adaptation in African trypanosomes. *Microbes and infection* **8**: 30-937.
- (5) Field, MC & Carrington, M. (2004) Intracellular membrane transport systems in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Traffic* **5**:1-9
- (6) Nolan, DP, Garcia-Salcedo, J.A., Geuskens, M., Salmon, D., Paturiaux-Hanocq, F., Pays,A., Tebabi, P. and Pays, E. (2001)
Endocytosis of macromolecules by African trypanosomes. pp127-141 In "World Class Parasites Volume 1: The African Trypanosomes" Eds. Seed, R. & Black, S.J. (Kluwer Academic Publishers)
- (7) Stockdale C. et al (2008) PLoS biology Vol6 issue 7 e185 "Antigenic Variation in Trypanosoma brucei: Joining the DOTs"
- (8) Navarro M. et al (2007)TRENDS in Microbiology Vol.15 No.6
doi:10.1016/j.tim.2007.04.004
Nuclear architecture underlying gene expression in Trypanosoma brucei

Trypanosomes Part II

- (1) Pays, E. Vanhamme, L., Vanhollebeke, B., Nolan, D. P.. and Perez-Morga, D. (2006)
The trypanolytic factor of human serum. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* **6**: 477-86.
- (2) Vanhollebeke B & Pays E (2010) Mol. Microbiol. **76**: 806-814 The trypanolytic factor of human serum, many ways to enter the parasites, a single way to kill it.
- (3) Pays E & Vanhollebeke B (2008) Microbes Infect **10**: 985-989 Mutual self-defence: the trypanolytic story
- (4) Genovese et al. (2010) Science **329**: 841-845.
Association of trypanolytic ApoL1 variants with kidney disease in African Americans
- (5) Pays E. et al. (2014) The molecular arms race between African trypanosomes and humans
Nature Reviews Microbiology VOLUME 12 575-584.
- (6) Vanwalleghem G. et al. (2015) NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 6:8078 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms9078 Coupling of lysosomal and mitochondrial membrane permeabilization in trypanolysis by APOL1

Helminths of Human Importance. Prof Conor Finlay (3 lectures)

A third of the world's population is infected with parasitic worms. These lectures will address the major parasitic worms that are of medical importance.

Lecture 1: Introduction to the major helminth parasites that infect man. Medical and economic impact of helminth parasites on society.

Lecture 2: Explore key concepts of biology of helminth infections. Wormy people: genetic predisposition to helminth infection. Co-evolution of man and parasitic worms: molecular

and biochemical adaptation. The Helminth proteome and genome projects.

Lecture 3: Gastro-intestinal versus systemic (tissue or blood dwelling) worm infections.
Modulation of immunity by helminth parasites: implications for designing vaccines.
Molecular and biochemical targets for current and future drugs to treat helminth infections.

A reading list will be given out during the course

Prokaryotic pathogens: Prof Henry Windle (3 lectures)

Lecture 1: Bacterial pathogens as a paradigm for chronic infection I: Molecular mechanisms of bacterial induced disease - modulation of host cell signalling responses and pathogenesis. Pro-carcinogenic microorganisms.

Lecture 2: Bacterial pathogens as a paradigm for chronic infection II. Infection and cancer – the *Helicobacter pylori* connection: molecular basis of pathogenesis

Lecture 3: Mixed microbial populations and disease. The microbiome in health and disease.

General Reading:

***Helicobacter pylori*: A Paradigm Pathogen for Subverting Host Cell Signal Transmission.** (Review article) Naumann M et al (2017) Trends in Microbiology 24 (4) 316-328 PMID:28057411

Human gut microbiome: hopes, threats and promises (Review article). Cani PD

Gut. 2018;67(9):1716-1725. PMID: 29934437

The immune response to tuberculosis: Prof Frederick Sheedy (2 lectures)

Lecture 1: The innate immune response to tuberculosis; a model for pathogen evasion of the human host response. The alveolar macrophage and recruited inflammatory cells. First contact – Phagocytosis & Pattern Recognition.

Lecture 2: The adaptive immune response to TB – the TB granuloma; prison for the live bug. T-cells, IFN γ and TNF orchestrating the granulomatous response.

Viruses and Disease: Prof Gareth Brady (3 Lectures)

Lecture 1: *Introduction to Viruses and Viral infections*

- Classification of viruses
- Host adaptation and species barriers
- Routes of entry
- Cell, Tissue and Organ tropisms
- Virus Infection Cycles

Lecture 2: *Anti-Viral Immunity, Adaptation and Disease*

- Overview of anti-viral immunity and clearance
- Virus-induced inflammation and disease
- Methods of virus detection and serological assays
- Epstein Barr Virus and B cells
- Molluscum Contagiosum Virus and Human Skin

Lecture 3: *Emerging viruses and Pandemics*

- Influenza Virus: from seasonal infections to deadly emergent strains
- Coronaviruses: from the common cold to SARS, MERS and COVID-19

Reading list:

A list of suitable reviews will be given out during the lecture course

T cell differentiation, regulation and immunity to bacterial and viral infection: Prof. Kingston Mills (4 lectures)

T cell differentiation and regulation (2 lectures) Kingston Mills

Lecture 1. T cell subtypes, antigen presentation and T cell differentiation.

Lecture 2. Natural and induced regulatory T cells. Regulatory T cells in infectious diseases. Role of anti-inflammatory cytokines produced by innate cells and T cells in subversion of immunity to infection.

T cell immunity to bacterial and viral infection (2 lectures) Kingston Mills

Lecture 1: The bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Pathogen activation of macrophages and dendritic cells through pattern recognition receptors. Role of dendritic cells in directing T cell subtypes.

Lecture 2: Role of Th1/Th2 cells in immunity to infection, including HIV, hepatitis C virus and Bordetella pertussis.

PART 2: IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS

Rheumatoid Arthritis: Prof. Luke O'Neill (2 lectures)

Lecture 1: What is rheumatoid arthritis? Clinical, molecular and cellular definitions. Early concepts: connective tissue structure and degradation. Rheumatoid Factor. And B cells. HLA associations and the genetic component. Autoantigens. Role of inflammation – prostaglandins and tissue degrading enzymes.

Lecture 2: Key role of cytokines – IL-1, TNF, IL6. Current therapies – NSAIDs, steroids, biologic therapies (anti-TNF, anti-IL-1, anti-IL-6, anti-CD20 and CTLA-4 Ig). Prospect for future therapies.

Multiple Sclerosis and EAE: Profs Jean Fletcher & Kingston Mills (3 lectures)

Lecture 1: Breakdown of tolerance in autoimmunity. Risk factors, pathogenesis, diagnosis and monitoring of MS

Lecture 2. MS therapies: Mechanisms of action, efficacy, side effects.

Lecture 3: EAE. Role of innate and adaptive immunity in pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Role of regulatory T cells in preventing autoimmune diseases.

Autoinflammatory diseases: Prof Emma Creagh (2 lectures)

Lecture 1: Key features of systemic autoinflammatory disorders. Classic hereditary 'Periodic Fever Syndromes' - FMF (Familial Mediterranean Fever), TRAPS (TNF Receptor Associated Periodic Syndrome) and HIDS (Hyperimmunoglobulinemia-D with periodic fever syndrome).

Lecture 2: NLRP3/Cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes (CAPS): Familial Cold Inflammatory Syndrome (FCAS); Muckle-Wells Syndrome (MWS) and Neonatal onset multisystem inflammatory disease (NOMID). Autoinflammatory disorders associated with skin pustules, such as DIRA (deficiency of IL-1R antagonist), CARD14 mediated psoriasis (CAMP5) and early onset inflammatory bowel diseases (EO-IBD).

Immunodeficiency: Prof Derek Doherty (2 lectures)

Lecture 1: Primary immunodeficiencies. This lecture will cover the genetic bases, clinical presentations, diagnoses and treatments of primary immunodeficiencies, including antibody, complement, MHC and lymphocyte deficiencies.

Lecture 2: Acquired immunodeficiencies. This lecture will cover the different causes of acquired immunodeficiencies but will focus mainly on HIV-associated disease, including the virology, immunology, clinical features and recent progress in vaccine development. The significance of HIV in the developing world, where many other infectious disease are also endemic, will be emphasized.

Inflammaging: Prof Nollaig Bourke (2 Lectures)

Lecture 1: The mechanisms of inflammageing. Inflammageing overview. Discussion of how innate and adaptive immune responses are dysregulated with age and how this contributes to increased systemic inflammation.

Lecture 2: The consequences of inflammageing. Specific focus on the impact of inflammageing with relation to age related diseases, particularly cardiovascular disease, neuro-cognitive decline and cancers. Discussion on therapeutic targeting of inflammageing.

BIU44330 Cell Cycle, Cancer Biology & Therapeutics (S2)

This module covers the cellular and regulatory mechanisms that control the cell cycle. It Furthermore it covers the molecular basis of cancer, the progression of the disease and the therapeutic treatment strategies.

Learning outcomes:

On successful completion of this module students will be able to:

- Explain the processes of growth, proliferation, and cellular division and outline the cellular changes and regulatory mechanisms that define the stages of the cell cycle
- Critically discuss the environmental and hereditary causes of cancer and relate how alterations to the cell cycle impact on cancer development
- Describe the genetic, metabolic and cellular alterations in various types of cancer and outline the process of metastasis
- Evaluate the contribution of the immune system to cancer
- Describe the therapeutic strategies for the control of cancer such as dietary mechanisms for reducing initiation, targeting oncogenes, overcoming drug resistance and immunotherapy

Part 1: Cell Cycle & Cancer:

Mitotic cell cycle: Prof Andrei Budanov (4 Lectures)

Lecture 1. The cell cycle & growth. This lecture will cover some of the seminal discoveries of the cell cycle, discussing the experiments performed on frog oocytes, sea urchins and yeast. Key regulators of cell cycle progression, as determined by these early studies, MPF, Cdc2/cdc28, wee1 and Cdc25, will be covered. Components of the mammalian cell cycle, which have been

discovered principally via bio-informatic approaches, will be discussed including mammalian cyclin dependant kinases (CDKs) and cyclin-dependant kinase inhibitors (CKI).

Lecture 2. Start of the cell cycle, G1. Signals for a cell to start proliferation are essential for initiation of the cell cycle. Examples will be provided of how growth signals through PI3K, AKT, mTOR and myc are co-ordinated to the uptake of amino-acids and glucose. In addition, we will discuss how cell-cell and cell-matrix contacts must be altered to permit cell cycle progression.

Lecture 3. S-phase, DNA replication & DNA repair checkpoints. The control of DNA replication is a major decision point of the cell cycle. This lecture will describe the replication licensing process, the selection of the origin(s) of replication and the proteins that make up the origin replication complex, e.g. Mcm, Cdc6. If the DNA to be replicated is not properly loaded or is damaged the cell initiates various checkpoints, i.e G1- and S-phase checkpoint. This lecture will cover the various protein complexes such as 911, the MRE11-Rad50-NBS1/γH2AX complex and the kinase pathways used to tell the cell to stop the cell cycle process including ATM & ATR, BRCA1, Chk1 Chk2 and P53.

Lecture 4. Mitosis. Chromosome cohesion/separating sister chromatids/Overview of ubiquitin/Ubiquitin ligases and the cell cycle/SCF complex & G1 to M phase transitions/APC complex and anaphase entry/microsatellite instability (MIN) and chromosomal instability (CIN)/Centrosomes & centrosome inactivation checkpoint

1. The Cell Cycle: An Introduction. (1993) Andrew Murray, Tim Hunt Oxford ISBN 0-19-509529-4
2. Nurse P, Masui Y, Hartwell L. (1998) Understanding the cell cycle. *Nat Med.* 4(10):1103-6
3. Malumbres M, Barbacid M. (2005) Mammalian cyclin-dependent kinases. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 30(11):630-41.
4. Sarbassov DD, Ali SM, Sabatini DM. (2005) Growing roles for the mTOR pathway. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 17(6):596-603.
5. Prober DA, Edgar BA. (2001) Growth regulation by oncogenes--new insights from model organisms. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 11(1):19-26.
6. Blow JJ, Dutta A. (2005) Preventing re-replication of chromosomal DNA. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 6(6):476-86.
7. Niida H, Nakanishi M. (2006) DNA damage checkpoints in mammals. *Mutagenesis.* 21:3-9.

Cancer and invasion: Profs Vincent Kelly & Kingston Mills (11 Lectures)
--

Part 1: Initiation & Progression (4 Lectures) Vincent Kelly

Lecture 1. Underlying causes of cancer (VK): The characteristics that are used to classify cancers and their stage of development will be described. A number of examples will be given of how environmental factors, i.e. xenobiotics, radiation and oxidative damage contribute to multistep

carcinogenesis. The means by which cancer is limited by DNA damage sensing, DNA repair and cellular adaptation to oxygen/radical damage will be covered.

Lecture 2. Oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes (VK): Many of the original discoveries on oncogenes were derived from work on viruses. The concepts of onocgenes and proto-ocogenes will discussed such as *src* and the Rous sarcoma virus and there will be an in dept examination of the ras oncoprotein pathway and the function of other oncogenes including *abl*, *sis*, *c-myc* and how they influence cellular proliferation. Suppressor genes play an important role in limiting cancer formation and a number of models were put forward from original studies including Knodson's two-hit model and haploinsufficiency. The mode of action of tumour suppressors such as APC, MSH2, MLH1, BRCA1, p53 will be examined with particular focus on p53, Rb and APC.

Lecture 3. Cancer epigenetics (VK): Changes in the genetic code is but one means to arrive at a pre-malignant crossroads. Epigenetics changes in gene expression have been found to alter tumor suppressor gene activity through. These epigenetic changes may occur as a consequence of altered DNA methylation status at CpG promoter regions of aberrant histone modification. In fact, cooperative suppression by both mechanisms has recently become the focus of new anti-cancer therapies through the development of DNMT and histone deacetylase inhibitors.

Lecture 4. Cancer metabolism & the tumor microenvironment (VK): Many of the control points of cancer, oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes (including mTOR, PI3K, Akt, p53, AMPK) are intimately linked to metabolism, especially glycolysis, which provides the cancer with the building blocks for growth. The tumor cell microenvironment is invariably acidic and hypoxic causing the transcription factor HIF1a to set in place protective responses including unregulating the production of monocarboxylate transporters, VEGF, matrix metalloproteinases and angiogenic factors.

Part 2: Metastasis and Cancer Treatments

Lecture 1. Angiogenesis and metastasis (VK): The process by which cancer cells develop new blood supplies (angiogenesis) is reliant on being able to remodel the tumor environment and the extracellular matrix. A discussion of how this remodelling occurs through matrix metalloproteinases and plasminogen will be given along with the cause and consequences of breaking cell-cell interactions. The means used by cancer cells to physically move from the primary tumor (e.g. epithelial-mesenchymal transition) and how the immune system promotes this process will be described. Breast cancer will be used as a model of how cancer cells choose secondary sites for proliferation, especially the bone marrow; 'the vicious cycle'.

Lecture 2. Colon cancer, genetics and epigenetics (VK): Arguably, colon cancer is one of the best studied cancers in terms of its formation and progression. This lecture will discuss the contribution of chromosomal instability in terms of changes to APC, COX2 and Smad4 and microsatellite instability caused by epigenetic suppression of mis-match repair enzymes including MSH2 & MLH1. The contribution of inflammation to colon caner will be considered and how NSAIDS and IL-10 mediate polyp formation.

Lecture 3. Stem cell theory of cancer, focusing on colon cancer (VK): The intestinal crypt stem cells are maintained in a specialized compartment of the intestinal crypt through the Ephrin receptors. The maintenance and proliferation of these stem cells will be covered including the various signals used to control their proliferation, such as hedgehog, WNT, PDGF, Eph, NOTCH and BMP. The importance of the intestinal stem cells to cancer development and treatment will be considered.

Lecture 4. Cancer treatment (VK): Classical anti-cancer drugs such as antimetabolites, alkylating agents and antimetabolic agents are still widely used in therapy today despite severe side-effects. Newer 'magic bullets', hold promise of more specific cancer treatment strategies such as Imatinib in the treatment of CML. However, drug resistance is a problem and has revealed the phenomenon of oncogene addition. Recent drug strategies have begun to focus on targeting tumor cell metabolism, its environment and the cancer initiating cells (cancer stem cells) that perpetuate proliferation even after treatment.

Lecture 5. Cellular and humoral Immune responses to tumors (KM): These lectures include the role of antibody, cytotoxic T lymphocytes, macrophages, NK cells and Th1 cells; Evasion and subversion of immune responses by tumors - anti-inflammatory cytokine production and regulatory T cell induction; Tumor-specific antigens and breaking tolerance to self antigens

Lecture 6-7. Tumor immunotherapy (KM): Antibodies, Toll-like receptor agonists and cell-based therapies; Tumor vaccines - killed tumor cells, tumor specific peptides and antigens, heat shock proteins and dendritic cell vaccines

Cancer References:

1. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. 144(5):646-74.
2. Gibbs WW. (2003) Untangling the roots of cancer. *Sci. Am.* 289:56-65
3. Payne SR, Kemp CJ. (2005) Tumor suppressor genetics. *Carcinogenesis*. 6:2031-45.
4. Prochownik EV. (2005) Functional and physical communication between oncoproteins and tumor suppressors. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 62:2438-59.
5. Jeggo PA, Lobrich M. (2006) Contribution of DNA repair and cell cycle checkpoint arrest to the maintenance of genomic stability. *DNA Repair (Amst)*. 5:1192-8.
6. Webb CP, Vande Woude GF. (2000) Genes that regulate metastasis and angiogenesis. *J Neurooncol*. 50(1-2):71-87.
7. Fodde R, Smits R, Clevers H. (2001) APC, signal transduction and genetic instability in colorectal cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 1(1):55-67.
8. de Visser KE, Eichten A, Coussens LM. (2006) Paradoxical roles of the immune system during cancer development. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 6:24-37.
9. Crosnier C, Stamataki D, Lewis J. (2006) Organizing cell renewal in the intestine: stem cells, signals and combinatorial control. *Nat Rev Genet*. 7(5):349-59.
10. McDonald SA, Preston SL, Lovell MJ, Wright NA, Jankowski JA. (2006) Mechanisms of disease: from stem cells to colorectal cancer. *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 3(5):267-74.

- 11. Immunobiology by Janeway and Travers
- 12. Cellular and Molecular Immunology by Abbas, Lichtman and Pober

Part 2: Cancer Biology & Therapeutics:

Haematology and haematological malignancies: Prof Tony McElligott (2 Lectures)

Lecture 1. Introduction to Haematology and haematological malignancies: Haematological malignancies are a group of neoplasms that arise through malignant transformation of bone marrow derived cells. The great diversity seen in this group of malignancies reflects of the complexity of normal haematopoiesis and the immune system. The primary basis of classification is the distinction between tumours of lymphocytes and those of myeloid lineage. Haematological malignancies include leukaemias, lymphomas and multiple myeloma, and are defined and distinguished from one another according to clinical features, microscopic morphology, immunophenotype and molecular/genetic features.

Lecture 2. Molecular biology of haematological malignancies and leukaemia: Many molecular genetic markers have been described in haematological malignancies including chromosomal translocations and rearrangements of the immunoglobulin and T-cell receptor genes. These prognostic or predictive markers can be useful in guiding clinical management of patients and permit the development of very sensitive and specific assays for the detection of neoplastic cells. In addition, these molecular markers have provided important clues in elucidating the biological mechanisms by which haematological malignancies develop and persist. More recently, it has been recognised that epigenetic changes and aberrant expression of miRNAs are common features of some haematological malignancies and may play an important role in carcinogenesis.

Molecular basis of lung cancer & Obesity/Cancer: Profs Graham Pigeon & Joanne Lysaght (4 Lectures)

Lecture 1. Molecular biology of lung cancer: An introduction to lung cancer with a focus on molecular aspects involved in the development and progression of the disease. Focusing on genes/mutations regulating the transformation of normal bronchial epithelium to lung cancer.

Lecture 2. Novel therapeutic approaches in the treatment of lung cancer: An overview of current clinical trials / treatments focused on molecular targets in lung cancer and how this relates to research strategy.

Lecture 3. Obesity and Cancer: An overview of the epidemiology of obesity and cancer. Particular focus on the mechanisms linking central obesity and metabolic syndrome the progression of cancer, examining adipose tissue as an immunomodulatory and metabolic organ.

Lecture 4. Obesity and Immunomodulation in Cancer: A focus on central obesity as a chronic inflammatory condition, and how obesity may effect immune cell subsets and influence tumour progression and development.

Information on these lectures will be available later in the term.

Precision Medicine & Breast Cancer: Prof Kathy Gately (2 Lectures)

These lectures will focus on cancer Rates in the 21st century, Hallmarks of Cancer, Tumour Heterogeneity, mutation profiles, Precision/Personalised Medicine molecular mechanisms in breast cancer etc.

Information on these lectures will be available later in the term.

Cancer Epigenetics: Prof Steven Gray (3 lectures)

Lecture 1: The Basics. This lecture will introduce the student to both the history and current knowledge of the known mechanisms underpinning epigenetic regulation of gene expression.

Lecture 2: Epigenetics and Disease. This lecture will introduce the student to the various roles that epigenetics plays in the development of disease including cancerous and non-cancerous conditions, and will include aberrant epigenetic dysregulation, trans-generational epigenetics, and will introduce issues where epigenetics plays important roles in intra-tumoural heterogeneity and cancer stem cells.

Lecture 3: Targeting Epigenetics for the treatment of disease.

This lecture will discuss the broad implications pertaining to the potential to target disease epigenetically. It will discuss the issues with respect to the failure of many epigenetic targeting approaches and how new epigenetic targeting strategies are changing the paradigms for therapy. The potential for nutritional interventions will also be introduced.

Marking Schemes & Guidelines:

Class Descriptors: These Descriptors are given as a guide to the qualities that assessors are seeking in relation to the grades usually awarded. A grade is the anticipated degree class based on the consistent performance at the level indicated by an individual answer. In addition to the criteria listed, the School's examiners will also give credit for evidence of critical discussion of the facts or evidence.

Guidelines on Grades for Sophisters' Essays and Examination Answers

Class	Range	Criteria
I	90 - 100	IDEAL ANSWER; showing insight and originality and wide knowledge. Logical, accurate and concise presentation. Evidence of reading and thought beyond course content. Contains particularly apt examples. Links materials from lectures, practicals and seminars where appropriate.
	80 - 89	OUTSTANDING ANSWER; falls short of the 'Ideal' answer either on aspects of presentation or on evidence of reading and thought beyond the course. Examples, layout and details are all sound.
	70 - 79	MAINLY OUTSTANDING ANSWER; falls short on presentation and reading or thought beyond the course, but retains insight and originality typical of the first class work.
II - 1	65 - 69	VERY COMPREHENSIVE ANSWER; good understanding of concepts supported by broad knowledge of subject. Notable for synthesis of information rather than originality. Sometimes with evidence of outside reading. Mostly accurate and logical with appropriate examples. Occasionally a lapse in detail.
	60 - 64	LESS COMPREHENSIVE ANSWER; mostly confined to good recall of coursework. Some synthesis of information or ideas. Accurate and logical within a limited scope. Some lapses in detail tolerated.
II - 2	55 - 59	SOUND BUT INCOMPLETE ANSWER; based on coursework alone but suffers from a significant omission, error or misunderstanding. Usually lacks synthesis of information or ideas. Mainly logical and accurate within its limited scope and with lapses in detail.
	50 - 54	INCOMPLETE ANSWER; suffers from significant omissions, errors and misunderstanding, but still with understanding of main concepts and showing sound knowledge. Several lapses in detail.
III	45 - 49	WEAK ANSWER; limited understanding and knowledge of subject. Serious omissions, errors and misunderstandings, so that answer is no more than adequate.
	40 - 44	VERY WEAK ANSWER; a poor answer, lacking substance but giving some relevant information. Information given may not be in context or well explained, but will contain passages and words which indicate a marginally adequate understanding.
F - 1	35 - 39	MARGINAL FAIL; inadequate answer, with no substance or understanding, but with a vague knowledge relevant to the question.
F - 2	30 - 34	CLEAR FAILURE; some attempt made to write something relevant to the question. Errors serious but not absurd. Could also be sound answer to the misinterpretation of the question.
F - 3	0 - 29	UTTER FAILURE; with little hint of knowledge. Errors serious and absurd. Could also be a trivial response to the misinterpretation of the question.

Senior Sophister Lab Performance Report	
---	--

This mark contributes **15%** to the overall project mark. It is designed to assess lab performance, independent of the thesis and based on criteria listed below. Some advice on mark range is provided below.

Student Name:		Supervisor Name:	
Attendance	Poor	□ □ □ □ □	As expected
How diligently did the student work?	Well below expectation	□ □ □ □ □	Intensively
How well did the student plan the experiments?	Well below expectation	□ □ □ □ □	Research level
How well were the experimental methods and results documented (e.g. in lab book)?	Well below expectation	□ □ □ □ □	Research level
How well did the student observe the relevant safety procedures (e.g. wear lab coat)?	Never	□ □ □ □ □	Always
How accurate was the student's experimental technique?	Well below expectation	□ □ □ □ □	Research level
Quantity of work done	Very little	□ □ □ □ □	A great deal
Ability to trouble shoot in lab	Poor	□ □ □ □ □	Excellent
Level of help in lab available	Very little	□ □ □ □ □	A great deal
Ability to work independently	Poor	□ □ □ □ □	Excellent
Attitude to work	Poor	□ □ □ □ □	Highly motivated
Ability to work with others	Poor	□ □ □ □ □	Excellent
Ability to respond to criticism	Poor	□ □ □ □ □	Excellent
Comments: Particular difficulties if any:			
Mark out of 100%:			

Lab Performance Marksheet Guidance

Boxes (1-5)	Grade (ex 100)	Standard
Mostly 1's	0-39	<i>Minimal to no effort, interest or proficiency demonstrated.</i>
Mostly 2's	40-49	<i>Some effort but serious deficiencies in performance, independence, or application.</i>
Mostly 3's	50-59	<i>Good effort but insufficient standard of performance, independence, or application.</i>
Mostly 4's	60-69	<i>Very good student, strong application, some deficiencies evident, but strengths demonstrated too.</i>
Mix of 4's/5's	70-80/84	<i>Excellent student, demonstrated strong independence, consideration, technical & experimental proficiency, serious promise for future research career.</i>
Nearly all 5's	85-100	<i>Performed at PhD level, integrated seamlessly into the lab/research group, required little to no supervision, made substantial contribution to projects and work in the group. Outstanding technical, organisational and problem-solving skills.</i>

Senior Sophister Project Thesis - Supervisor's report

This mark is independent of the lab performance. The research project thesis mark is to be agreed with the second examiner (and third examiner if first/second marks are greater than 10% apart). This agreed mark contributes **65%** to the overall project mark.

This report is designed to assess a student's ability to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the project area and associated literature, to present and critically analyse data in a coherent and well-organised manner, and to discuss research findings and their implications with originality and critical insight.

If awarding a mark of 75% or above – which is Gold Medal standard – then please add some text below justifying the reasons for this. It is advised that marks in this range are awarded for an outstanding thesis, which with limited work would be publishable as a stand-alone piece or part of ongoing work. Marks in this range should correspond with mostly 5's in the mark boxes below.

Student name			
Project Title			
Supervisor name			
Date			
1st Draft on time		Yes ☐	No ☐
Thesis			
Presentation	<i>Messy, poor English</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>

Abstract	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Introduction	<i>Trivial</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publishable</i>
Literature coverage	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Extensive and deep</i>
Description of aims	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Materials and methods	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Description of results	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Figures/ legends/ tables	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Perfectly clear, complete, publication standard</i>
References	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Fully accurate</i>
Quality of data	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Exemplary, publication standard</i>
Analysis of data	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Comprehensive analysis, publication standard</i>
Appropriate statistical analysis	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Strict</i>
Discussion	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Scientific rigour e.g. use of controls	<i>Weak</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Strict</i>
Understanding/ insight	<i>Very little</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Research level</i>
Capacity for self-direction	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Outstanding</i>
Quality of first draft	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Excellent</i>
Comments (including justification of Gold-Medal range marks):			
Particular difficulties if any:			
Mark out of 100%:			

Senior Sophister Project Thesis - Second Examiner's report

This mark is independent of the lab performance. The research project thesis mark is to be agreed with the project supervisor (and third examiner if first/second marks are greater than **10%** apart). This agreed mark contributes **65%** to the overall project mark. This report is designed to assess a student's ability to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the project area and associated literature, to present and

critically analyse data in a coherent and well-organised manner, and to discuss research findings and their implications with originality and critical insight.

If awarding a mark of 75% or above – which is Gold Medal standard – then please add some text below justifying the reasons for this. It is advised that marks in this range are awarded for an outstanding thesis, which with limited work would be publishable as a stand-alone piece or part of ongoing work. Marks in this range should correspond with mostly 5's in the mark boxes below.

Student name			
Project Title			
Date			
Examiner's name			
Agreed mark (out of 100%):			
Thesis			
Presentation	<i>Messy, poor English</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Abstract	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Introduction	<i>Trivial</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publishable</i>
Literature coverage	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Extensive and deep</i>
Description of aims	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Materials and methods	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Description of results	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Figures/ legends/ tables	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Perfectly clear, complete, publication standard</i>
References	<i>Wholly inadequate</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Fully accurate</i>
Quality of data	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Exemplary, publication standard</i>
Analysis of data	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Comprehensive analysis, publication standard</i>
Appropriate statistical analysis	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Strict</i>
Discussion	<i>Poor</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Publication standard</i>
Scientific rigour e.g. use of controls	<i>Weak</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Strict</i>
Understanding/ insight	<i>Very little</i>	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	<i>Research level</i>

Comments (including justification of Gold-Medal range marks):

Mark out of 100%:

Senior Sophister Poster Mark Sheet

This presentation is to be marked by two examiners who will then discuss and agree an overall mark. **This mark contributes 5% to the overall capstone project mark.**

(50% of marks is awarded for poster layout, content and degree to which it clearly communicates all scientific information. The other 50% of marks is awarded for delivery by presenter, demonstration of understanding of topic and ability to answer questions)

Student Name:

Degree:

Examiners Names:

Overall Mark out of 100%:

Poster layout, content and structure (Marks out of 50)

Presenter delivery, understanding and ability to answer questions (Marks out of 50)

Any Specific Comments:

Senior Sophister Project Oral Presentation Mark Sheet

This presentation is to be marked by three examiners who will then discuss and agree an overall mark. **This mark contributes 15% to the overall capstone project mark.** It is designed to capture the abilities of a student to communicate their research findings, the importance of the research and plans for future work. **(50% of marks is awarded for slide content and structure and degree to which the slides clearly communicate all scientific information. The other 50% of marks is awarded for delivery by presenter, demonstration of understanding of topic and ability to answer questions)**

Student Name:

Degree:

Examiners Names:

Overall Mark out of 100%:

Slide content and structure (Marks out of 50)

Presenter delivery, understanding and ability to answer questions (Marks out of 50)

Any Specific Comments:

Student Feedback & Evaluation:

All Biochemistry sophomore undergraduate modules are evaluated on an annual basis. Students will be sent a link to an on-line anonymous survey during semester 2. Once the survey is complete and the results analysed the course coordinator will communicate feedback to students and any actions arising from the evaluation.

The External Examiner, during the vivas, may also ask students to evaluate the programme.

College Regulations on Academic Progress and Progression:

Progression regulations: Bachelor programmes

59 Some programmes with professional accreditation have received a derogation from specific regulations on progression by the University Council. The relevant programme entry provides these details. In order to rise with their class, students must obtain credit for the academic year by satisfactory attendance at lectures and tutorials and by carrying out, submitting and sitting the required assessment components. In addition, students must pass the year by achieving, at a minimum, an overall credit-weighted average pass mark for the year (40 per cent or 50 per cent, as per programme regulations) and either: (a) accumulate 60 credits by achieving at least the pass mark in all modules or (b) pass by compensation.

All modules and components within modules are compensatable (except in particular professional programmes where compensation does not apply). To pass a year by compensation, in programmes that locate the pass mark at 40 per cent, a student must achieve the pass mark in modules carrying a minimum of 50 credits and obtain a module mark of at least 35 per cent in any remaining module(s). A student may accumulate a maximum of 10 credits at qualified pass where the mark lies between 35-39 per cent. To pass a year by compensation, in programmes that locate the pass mark at 50 per cent, a student must achieve the pass mark in modules carrying a minimum of 50 credits and obtain a module mark of at least 45 per cent in any remaining module(s). A student may accumulate a maximum of 10 credits at qualified pass where the mark lies between 45-49 per cent.

60 Progression is on an annual basis. Within a year students may carry failed modules from one semester to the next but not from one academic year to another; that is, they will not be able to rise to the next year of their programme until they have successfully completed the preceding year(s). Students who have not passed their year are required to present for reassessment when: (a) they obtain in excess of 10 credits at qualified pass (i.e. marks between 35-39 per cent where the pass mark is 40 per cent; or 45-49 per cent where the pass mark is 50 per cent); (b) they fail any module (i.e. achieving marks below 35 per cent where the pass mark is 40 per cent; or below 45 per cent where the pass mark is 50 per cent); (c) they do not obtain an overall pass mark for the year; (d) any combination of (a) - (c) occurs.

61 If a student has achieved both fail and qualified pass grades at the first sitting or has exceeded the 10 credit limit allowed for compensation and is not permitted to rise with their year, they must present for reassessment in all failed components of all modules for which they obtained a fail and/or a qualified pass.

62 Different modalities of assessment to the first sitting are permitted in the reassessment session as determined by the programme.

63 The same progression and compensation regulations as outlined above apply at the reassessment session. The overall credit-weighted average for the academic year will be calculated using the most recent marks achieved.

64 Students who fail to satisfy the requirements of their year at the reassessment session are required to repeat the year in full (i.e. all modules and all assessment components)

65 Students are permitted to repeat any year of an undergraduate programme subject to not repeating the same year more than once and not repeating more than two academic years within a degree course, except by special permission of the University Council.

66 The maximum number of years to complete an undergraduate degree is six years for a standard four-year programme and seven years for a five-year programme.

67 Access to scripts and other assessed work and discussion of performance (i) All students have a right to discuss their examination and assessment performance with the appropriate members of staff. This right is basic to the educational process. Students are entitled to view their scripts and other assessments when discussing their performance. For work completed during semester one students should note that all results are provisional until moderated by the court of examiners in Trinity term. In Trinity term, students' performance cannot be discussed with them until after the publication of the end-year results. (ii) Written assessment components and assessment components which are recorded by various means (e.g. video, audio) are retained by schools and departments for thirteen months from the date of the meeting of the court of examiners which moderates the results in question and may not be available for consultation after this time period.

68 Re-check/re-mark of examination scripts and other assessed work

- (i) Having received information about their final results at the court of examiners in Trinity term and having discussed these and their performance with the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) or the head of discipline and/or the appropriate staff, students may ask that their results be reconsidered if they have reason to believe: (a) that the grade is incorrect because of an error in calculation of results; (b) that the examination paper or other assessment specific to the student's course contained questions on subjects which were not part of the course prescribed for the examination or other assessment; or (c) that bias was shown by an examiner in marking.*
- (ii) In the case of (a) above, the request should be made through the student's tutor to the Director of Teaching and Learning (Undergraduate) or course director as appropriate.*
- (iii) In the case of (b) and/or (c) above, the request should be made through the student's tutor to the Senior Lecturer. In submitting such a case for reconsideration of results, students should state under which of (b) and/or (c) the request is being made.*

- (iv) *Requests for re-check or re-mark should be made as soon as possible after discussion of results and performance and no later than twelve months from the date of the meeting of the court of examiners which moderated the marks in question.*
- (v) *Once a result has been formally published following the court of examiners it cannot be amended without the permission of the Senior Lecturer.*
- (vi) *Any student who makes a request for re-check or re-mark that could have implications for their degree result is advised not to proceed with degree conferral until the outcome of the request has been confirmed.*