

# The Brexit Debate – EU Integration or Disintegration?

**School of Social Sciences and Philosophy** 

Date 9th June 2016



No Better Alternative to EU Identified: The Fatal Flaw in the Brexit Debate

Professor John O'Hagan

Date 9<sup>th</sup> June 2016



#### **Outline of Talk**

- Dangers in debate: perception v reality, and lateness in putting pro-EU case in UK
- 2. Warning: am very committed to European integration!
- Back to basics, but maybe much too late! Interdependency between individuals and states
- 4. Individual freedom and state regulation
- 5. National freedom and pooled-decision making
- 6. Four main messages

# Danger 1:people decide on perception, not reality





# Danger 2: Mr Cameron now wants to play 'ball' but is it too late?





## The Case for Shared Decision Making

Man is a social animal who defines himself in his relationship with others. Interdependence is intrinsic to our being – between people, nations, polities of all kinds.

*Irish Times Editorial*, 2013



#### TWO KEY QUESTIONS

- 1. Given this interdependence, do international treaties, like those of the EU, enhance freedom, security and general economic well-being for member states?
- 2. If yes, what is the alternative to the EU: not just for Britain but for all member states?

These questions **should** be at the core of the Brexit debate

#### FREEDOM AND THE STATE: NOT A NEW DEBATE!

'State action that is a hindrance to freedom can, when properly directed, support and maintain freedom if the state action is aimed at hindering actions which themselves would hinder the freedom of others....Such state coercion does not reduce freedom but instead provides the necessary background conditions needed to secure freedom.' (Kant, 1724-1804)

He did not use the term spill-over effects but that is what he was talking about!





# **Spill-over Effects: Across Individuals**

## **Traffic signals example**



Burning rubbish in back garden



 Football analogy: need rules of engagement and rule of law for play to take place

## **Spill-over Effects: Across Nations**

- Once there is free movement of goods, capital, people and services, exact same logic applies across nations.
- The European Single Market cannot take place without European-wide regulations: neither can European football.

# What applies at national therefore also applies at international level

- Movement of goods, services and people within a nation requires thousands of rule books, plus a judiciary to enforce, plus an administration to implement
- Similar movements across EU member states require same: detailed rule books, ECJ and European Commission
- All decided by democratically-elected Councils of Ministers, NOT by Commission





#### Message 1

'(Even) the largest and most powerful states cannot achieve their principal purposes without help and cooperation of many other participants in the system.... Sovereignty no longer consists in the freedom of states to act independently, in their perceived self-interest, but in membership in good standing in the regimes that make up the substance of international life.'

(Chayes and Chayes, 1995)



### Message 2

his interdependency, not just in the economics/finance area but also in terms of climate change, illegal immigration and trafficking of people, and most seriously the threat of terrorism or military aggression, will not go away by forsaking the objective of extensive European cooperation and integration.



#### Messages 3 and 4

- The challenge therefore is for the UK and the other member states to make the European Union work, not to abandon it and thereby possibly return to the Europe of the first half of the 20th century.
- The fatal flaw in the Brexit case is the absence of a clearly articulated and viable alternative to the EU



# The Brexit Debate – EU Integration or Disintegration?

**School of Social Sciences and Philosophy** 

Date 9th June 2016