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## Introduction

- "People are over-indebted if their net resources (income and realisable assets) render them persistently unable to meet essential living expenses and debt repayments as they fall due." (Stamp, 2009)
- Must be distinguished from indebtedness - i.e. the use of credit, which is a central and increasingly widely used, element of modern financial systems.


## Context: Increasing Indebtedness \& Economic Crisis

- Credit card debt per capita increased sevenfold between 1996 and 2008 (Central Bank)
- Residential mortgage credit per capita increased tenfold between 1995 and 2008 (Central Bank)
- Number of mortgages in arrears up from 26 thousand in Sept 2009 to 44.5 thousand in Dec. 2010 (Central Bank)
- Coupled with rising unemployment, declining hh incomes
- Policy interest - e.g. Law Reform Commission reports; FLAC; Expert Group on Mortgage Arrears \& Personal Debt; Steering Group on Financial Education


## Causes of Over-Indebtedness?

- Institutional: access to credit, irresponsible lending, financial exclusion
- countries with more restrictive credit higher over-ind (Betti et al. 2007); hh with more credit greater likelihood of over-ind (UK, Kempson 2002; Norway, Poppe,1999)
- Financial exclusion from mainstream fin services, pushed into subprime sector (moneylending etc) (Corr, 2008); unable to smooth demand thru credit -> increase over-indebt. Relationship may run other way (Gloukoviezoff, 2006)
- Individual: money mis-management (McCarthy 2010).
- Structural - labour market failure, inequality of opportunity (strong link with employment status, lone-parenthood, income, poverty).
- Income Shocks: ill-health, job loss, relationship breakdown, children, unexpected costs.
- Appropriate policy reponse(s) will depend on causes.


## Data

- Special module of EU Survey of Income and Living Conditions, 2008
- Carried out across EU 27
- In Ireland carried out by CSO
- Completed sample 5,247 households 12551 individuals
- Over-indebtedness questions asked at the household level.


## Measure of Over-Indebtedness

Recommendations of EC study Towards an operational definition of over- indebtedness (Davydoff et al, 2008)
-"must capture persistent and ongoing financial problems". Persistent arrears in mortgage/rent or utility arrears or hirepurchase/loans or other bills.
and
-Payment capacity - repayments of loans or and other financial commitments are considered a "heavy burden"
and
-llliquidity - the household is unable to remedy the situation by recourse to (financial and non-financial) assets. "Can your household afford an unexpected expense of $€ 985$ without borrowing?"

## Table 1: Persistent Financial ProblemsSILC 2008 Measures of Arrears

| Arrears Questions | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| In the last 12 months, did it happen that the household <br> was unable to pay rent or to make a mortgage <br> repayment for the main dwelling on time, due to <br> financial difficulties? |  |
| In the last 12 months the household was unable to pay <br> utility bills (heating, electricity, gas, refuse collection) <br> for the main dwelling on time, due to financial <br> difficulties? | Yes, twice <br> or more |
| In the last 12 months, did it happen that the household <br> was unable to pay hire purchase installments or other <br> loan payments (besides mortgage repayments) on <br> time, due to financial difficulties? | No <br> In the last 12 months, did it happen that the household <br> was unable to pay any other bills (education, health, <br> $\ldots$..) on time, due to financial difficulties? |

## Figure 1: \% of Households in Arrears, 2008



## Table 2: Are Housing Costs or Loan Repayments a Burden?

|  | Housing costs | Repayments of debts from hire <br> purchases \& loans |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All hhs | All hhs | HH with other loans |
| A heavy burden 21.6 8.1 22.2 <br> Somewhat a    <br> $\quad$ burden 55.0 16.2 44.0 <br> Not a burden 23.4 12.4 33.8 <br> Not applicable  63.2 - <br> Total 100.0 100.0 100.0 <br> Weighted $N$ 4440 4442 1633 |  |  |  |

## Figure 3: Illiquidity: Unable to meet unexpected expenses



## Table 3: Measure of Over-Indebtedness

\% of Households
No persistent arrears
92.4
Arrears (at least 1 out of 4 persistent
arrears)

Arrears + heavy burden 5.6
Over-indebted = Arrears + heavy 5.4 burden + cannot face expected expenses

## Figure 4: Over-Indebtedness by HRP characteristics



## Figure 5: Over-indebtedness by hh characteristics



## Figure 6: Over-indebtedness and Deprivation



Basic Deprivation _inability to afford basic items e.g. clothing, food, heating, social participation
Secondary/Consumption Depriv. - 19 hh durables, video, dishwasher etc., a car, week's holidays away from home

# Table 4: Triggers to Over-indebt - has HH Experienced Major Drop in Income during the last 12 Months? 

|  | Not over- <br> indebted | Over- <br> indebted | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 17.8 | 39.9 | 19.0 |
| No | 82.2 | 60.1 | 81.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Main reasons for income drop among over-indebted hhs:

- job loss (20\%)
- sickness (19\%) ;
- drop in wages/hours (18\%)


## Logistic Regression Results - factors affecting risk of over-indebtedness

- Low income (+++), consistent poverty (++)
- Local authority tenant (++), private rental (++),
- Unemployed (++), ill/disabled (++)
- No quals (+), junior cycle (++), leaving c (+)
- Lone parent hh (++); divorced/separated widow (+)
- Over 65 (- -)
- Financial shock in previous12 months (++), effect independent of income


## Relationship between Over-indebtedness and Financial Exclusion

- Households with no bank account (20\%) - significantly more at risk of over-indebtedness
- Credit excluded hhs $(10 \%)=$ no credit (credit cards; structured loans/hire purchase; or overdraft facilities), excluding those who say "don't need credit"
- Credit excluded not sig different from those with credit
- Those without credit who say they "don't need credit" significantly less likely to be over-indebted (as already control for resources and age/life cycle may be an indicator of financial behaviour)


## Conclusions \& Policy Implications

- Inadequacy of resources (low income, poverty, unemployment, illness/disability, social welfare dependency) a key factor in overindebtedness
- Lack of capacity to deal with financial shocks, absence of savings or other resources to draw on.
- Little evidence of high consumption or over-borrowing among overindebted hhs: more likely to be deprived of basic items and hh durables, car, holiday etc.
- Suggests that preventative strategies base on broader anti-poverty policies important for tackling over-indebtedness, rather than those focusing solely on consumer protection, financial capability/money mangt,
- Link with banking exclusion suggests that policies to promote access such as Basic Bank Account may also have an indirect benefit on overindebtedness
- Remedial policies remain important for HHs that become over-indebted (MABS; Law Reform Commission's recommendations; Mortgage arrears group)


## Appendix: Logistic Regression of OverIndebtedness

|  | Odds |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ref = top quintile |  |
| Bottom income quintile | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 4}^{\star *}$ |
| 2nd income quintile | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6 0 *}^{\star *}$ |
| 3rd income quintile | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 0 * *}^{\text {4 }}$ |
| 4th income quintile | 2.55 |
| Income Shock | $\mathbf{2 . 4 3 * *}$ |
| No current account | $\mathbf{1 . 5 4}^{\star}$ |
| No credit don't need | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 *}$ |
| Credit excluded | .75 |
| Consistent Poverty | $\mathbf{2 . 3 9 * *}$ |
| Constant | .00 |
|  |  |
| Nagelkerke R Square | 0.381 |
| N | 4298 |

Reference groups= Top quintile, no income shock in previous 12 months; have bank account, have credit. Not in consistent poverty

## Appendix: Regression Cntd.

|  | Odds |
| :--- | :--- |
| HRP_female | 1.19 |
| $18-24$ years | 1.92 |
| Over 65 years | $\mathbf{. 1 5 * *}$ |
| Divorced/Separated/Widowed | $\mathbf{1 . 4 8 ^ { * }}$ |
| Single | 1.17 |
| Lone Parent | $\mathbf{1 . 8 6}$ ** |
| Unemployed | $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 * *}$ |
| Home duties | 1.02 |
| Retired | 0.67 |
| Ill/disabled | $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ |
| No qualifications | $1.86^{*}$ |
| Inter cert | $\mathbf{2 . 8 3}$ |
| Leaving cert | $1.78^{*}$ |
| Private tenant | $\mathbf{2 . 9 4 ^ { * * }}$ |
| LA owner | $\mathbf{2 . 6 6 ^ { * * }}$ |
| LA tenant | $\mathbf{3 . 6 1 * *}$ |

Reference Groups - male, 25-64 years, married, not lone parent, employed, graduate, owner occupier.
Odds less than 1 indicate the group are less likely to be over-indebted than the reference groups

