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The Resilience Project

- Resilience of the Irish Health System: Surviving and utilising the economic contraction
- Duration: Oct 2011 – March 2014
- Initial Collaboration:
  - Centre for Health Policy and Management, TCD
  - Economic & Social Research Institute
  - WHO, Health Systems Strengthening, Barcelona

- Broader Collaboration
  - PIGS and European Observatory
Knowledge Exchange

• Year 1 Resilience workshop 2012
• Input into the Rapid Response Report 2012
• KEDS event and Policy Roundtable 2013
• Input into Oslo II review
• Extensive dissemination at local conferences and workshops
• Today
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Project Aims

Overall Aim:

to identify best practice guidelines and strategies for how the Irish health system can

• withstand the current crisis (in terms of protecting resources for health and managing resource scarcity well)

• benefit from the opportunities that the recession brings to pursue reform and alleviate system bottlenecks.

• build the resilience of the Irish health system in anticipation of future crises
A shock to the system

Economic Crisis

Governance

Resourcing

Pooling/Purchasing

Organisation of provision
Health System Resilience

1. **Financial resilience**: the protection of funds for health care, and particularly that of the vulnerable, in the face of economic contraction.

2. **Adaptive resilience**: the ability of government and providers to manage the system with fewer resources, through efficiencies, while not sacrificing key priorities, benefits, access or entitlements.

3. **Transformatory resilience**: the ability or capacity of government to design and implement desirable and realistic reform when the current organisation, structures and strategies are no longer feasible.
Phase 1 Results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Emergency Budget (Oct)</td>
<td>Capital spending reduced by 26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplementary Budget (April)</td>
<td>Tax relief on nursing homes and hospitals ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Supplementary Budget (November)</td>
<td>Extra funds made available to cover extra medical cards needed (€230 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget (Dec)</td>
<td>Savings of over €1 billion (€4bn from total budget):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Wage Reductions (5-15%) and lower contract fees (-€659 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Introduction of 50c item charge on prescriptions for medical card holders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Cut of €30 million in spending on dentistry for those on medical cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Increase drug reimbursement threshold to €120 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Budget (Dec)</td>
<td>Savings of €746 million (€2.2 billion from total budget). Cut of 6.6% to HSE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Voluntary redundancy and early retirement (€123 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Cuts in drug spending and fees (€380 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Cuts in non-core pay costs, reduced agency and locum staffing (€200 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Administration Cuts (€43 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>New Programme for Government (Mar)</td>
<td>Commitment to UHI single tier system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget (Dec)</td>
<td>Savings of €543 million (€2.2 billion from total budget)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Pay cost containment (reduction in staffing, overtime, agency costs etc.) - €145m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Reduction in procurement costs - €50m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Increased generation and collection of private income - €143m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Demand led Schemes - pharmaceutical reductions, DPS increase from €120-132 per month etc. - (€124m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ making good the hospital deficits (€200m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phase 1 Results (up to mid 2012)

- Mix of initial panic and recession dividend
- Panic – removal of free care for over 70s, prescription charges, hiking of access payments
- Efficiencies – lower unit costs, increased throughput (more with less)
- Vision – Programme for Government presenting ambitious transformation for universal care
Where to cut now?

Who controls the scissors?
Preparedness

Response

Recession Severity

System Performance

Be Prepared!
Preparedness

Recession Severity

Response

Outcome?
Key Indicators: The 00s

**Mean GDP growth rate**

**Mean Debt Levels**

**Mean General Government Deficit**

**Mean Unemployment Rate**

- The charts compare GDP growth rate, debt levels, general government deficit, and unemployment rates for all and Ireland from 2000 to 2010.
- The data shows fluctuations in each indicator over the decade.
- The charts highlight the economic trends during the 2000s in all Ireland.
Key Questions for Phase 2

• How does duration of austerity affect performance?
• What are the key issues, choices and constraints facing decision-makers?
• Who is in charge?
• How do we measure transformatory resilience?
• Can we reach universal care?
Performance Metrics

Decision-making

System Dynamics and Constraints
Today’s Programme

9.55  Presentation of indicators of health system performance - Dr Sara Burke,
10:10 Managing priorities in a recession – findings from a survey of managers and qualitative interviews with service managers – Dr Sarah Barry,
10:40 Interviews with senior policy makers (wave 1 and wave 2) – Dr Sara Burke
10.55 Overall findings and reflections in context of current health reform – Dr Steve Thomas
11:15 Questions and Answers
11:30 Coffee
12:00 Health policy responses to the financial crisis: Ireland in the European context - Dr Philipa Mladovsky, Research and Teaching Fellow in Health Policy, LSE Health and WHO European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, London School of Economics
12:40 Questions and Answers
1.05 Reflection on the day: Leo Kearns, HSE National Lead, Transformation and Change
1:15 Lunch
Indicators of performance: what they tell us and what they don’t

Dr Sara Burke
Research Fellow
Centre for Health Policy and Management, Trinity College Dublin
Methods

• Gathered from a range of publicly available data sources
  • HSE Annual Reports & HSE National Service Plans
  • HSE PCRS Statistical Analysis of Claims and Payments 2012
  • HSE monthly Performance Assurance Reports & Management Data reports
  • Some specific requests for information
  • Department of Finance/PER Revised Estimates
  • Health Insurance Authority data

• Health warning re change of definitions

• Resilience4health website
Indicators

The Resilience project is collecting indicators of health system activity over the last eight years. The indicators are publicly available data from a wide range of different sources. Below are some graphs using the indicators to illustrate what is happening in the health system from the available data.

We also provide the data in a spreadsheet here so that people can do their own analysis from the data. If using the data, we request that you credit the resilience4health.com project in the Centre for Health Policy and Management, Trinity College Dublin. We will introduce new indicators and update the data as new data become available.

Graph 1 - Health budget and population growth 2005-2013
The health budget grew steadily in the 2000s. Despite the onset of the economic crisis in 2007 and 2008, the health budget increased in both those years and was not cut until 2009. This graph shows the increase in the health budget until 2009 and the decline in the health budget since then. This is in contrast to the growth in population, especially the growth in population over 65 years of age, who require greater levels of health and social care. The graph is indexed at 2009 and shows the change in budget and population before and after 2009.
Resilience indicators

Numbers of medical cards & GP only cards 2005-2014

- GMS cards
- GP visit cards
Resilience indicators
Public health budget, staffing, population and medical card numbers 2005-2014
Resilience indicators

Numbers covered by private health insurance 2005-2013

PHI inpatient cover
Resilience indicators

Numbers covered public health schemes 2005-2012

- GMS
- DPS
- LTI
- HTD
Resilience indicators

Numbers of items dispensed through public health schemes 2005-2012
Resilience indicators

Cost of drugs under public health schemes 2005-2012

![Graph showing the cost of drugs under different health schemes from 2005 to 2012. The x-axis represents the years, and the y-axis represents the cost in euros, ranging from 0 to 2,500,000,000. The graph includes the categories GMS, DPS, LTI, and HTD.]}
Resilience indicators

Numbers of adults & children waiting for inpatient & daycase hospital treatment 2008 to 2013

Waiting list numbers

- 0 to 3
- 3 to 6
- 6 to 12
- 12 +
- 24+

Year:
- 2008
- 2009
- 2010
- 2011
- Nov-12
- Nov-13

Legend:
- Blue: 0 to 3
- Red: 3 to 6
- Green: 6 to 12
- Purple: 12 +
- Teal: 24+
Resilience indicators

Numbers of hospital beds and numbers waiting 2008-2012

- Public bed numbers
- 0 to 3
- 3+ months

Graph showing the trend of hospital beds and waiting times from 2008 to 2013.
Resilience indicators

Numbers on trollies 2007/9/11/13
Resilience indicators
Change in budget, staff & hospital cases 2005-14

Index (=2008)

- Public health budget
- Staffing
- Inpatient discharges
- Day cases
- ED admissions
- Outpatient attendances
What the indicators tell us & what they don’t

• A relatively resilient system up to 2012
• A system that managed to do more with significantly less money and fewer staff
• A system at tipping point since mid/end of 2012
• Now doing less with less
• Very little data on non-hospital services or quality of services
• No data on health outcomes
• A snapshot in time therefore cannot see ‘scarring’
Managing Priorities in a Recession
What do senior managers in health do?

Dr Sarah Barry
Research Fellow
Centre for Health Policy and Management, Trinity College Dublin
Overview

• Step 1 – rationale, context and methods of enquiry

• Step 2 – survey of senior managers’ health policy priorities

• Step 3 – interviews with senior health managers

• Step 4 – resilience and transformation?
Rationale

• Identify core priorities and challenges for senior health service managers

• Identify the ways in which they are meeting these challenges

• Explore how operations management influences the implementation of the current policies of health system reform within a context of economic crisis.
The Context and Methods of Enquiry 1

• Methodology – Mixed Method Approach

  – Online survey of 197 HSE service managers to ‘set the scene’
    • 81 responses met the inclusion criteria and were analysed (response rate: 41%)
    • Quantitative analysis of questions which asked respondents to rate and rank the importance of key reforms for government AND themselves; the importance of 5 different drivers of reform; how much time they spent on key reform policies
    • Qualitative analysis of questions asking respondents to identify factors which inhibit and facilitate reform policy implementation; any ‘other comments’ made

  – Semi-structured qualitative interviews with seven senior service managers to ‘explore the detail’
    • 2 Hospital Group CEOs/3 Hospital CEOs/2 ISA Managers = 4 HSE Sector/3 Voluntary Sector interviewed during January and February 2014
    • focussing on management challenges, strategies, outcomes and critical factor changes – content analysis and interpretive framing of the themes emerging
Health Priorities Survey Nov/2013

• Multiple priorities identified – 19 different themes classified by at least 6 managers as ‘government priorities’

• The top 5 were:
  – Reducing wait times in Emergency Departments
  – Transferring care from the hospital to the community
  – Living within budget/austerity measures
  – Money Follows the Patient
  – Driving down the price of drugs

• These do not reflect the headline priorities of the Programme for Government
• They relate to running the health system more effectively in a constrained environment
• Exception is MfTP – but is as much an efficiency measure as a reform

• Are the headline reforms getting the focus they require for implementation?
Senior Managers’ Health Reform Priorities in Practice

![Bar chart showing priorities for different health reform areas.](chart)
In Practice, What is Displacing Headline Priorities?

![Bar chart showing priorities]

- Living within budget
- Managing change
- HSE reorganisation
- Other

- Govt
- Ind
- Time
Drivers of Reform Priorities?

• Managers ranked the importance of government reform drivers in the following order:
  1. Cost saving measures
  2. PfG health commitments
  3. Troika requirements
  4. Requirement to reform driven by EU
  5. Political agenda

• Managers reported that 25% of their time taken up with
  – Living within budget
  – Managing change

• Is the reform process adequately resourced?
• Is progressing reform the job of service managers?
Policy Implementation Inhibitors

• **Lack of resources**
  – ‘Balancing services to clients with cost containment’

• **Governance/Leadership failure**
  – ‘Lack of realistic objectives resulting from woolly strategy’

• **Structural weakness**
  – ‘Constrained management structure’

• **Resistance to change**
  – ‘Inability to move staff as required’

• **Poor management**
  – ‘Difficulty getting top management decisions, fragmented top management structure, lack of integration between clinical care programmes’

• **HSE issues**
  – ‘Centralisation of HSE’

• **Loss of patient focus**
  – ‘Ethics – patient must be central to decisions’
Policy Implementation Enablers

• **Re-structuring**
  – ‘In time the reorganisation of hospital groups’

• **Vision**
  – ‘Integrated management who listen to other voices and make the tough decisions’

• **Good working relationships**
  – ‘Staff willingness to change and be more flexible’

• **Some power base enabling change**
  – ‘Senior Management Endorsement’

• **Local knowledge**
  – ‘The fact that I know how to work around the system’
Some Health Warnings!!

• Time of transition and change – uncertainty and ‘green hills syndrome’

• Topical focus – particular issues through the lens of a ‘bigger story’ in sharp focus at the time of interview

• Complexity – a ‘city of issues’ and not one story

• Initial findings – more in-depth analysis to follow
## Seven Management Stories 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Core Narrative</th>
<th>Quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Service Area General Manager</td>
<td>The divisional structure will further fragment care delivery</td>
<td>“We used to work together and we now go to meetings and go ‘that’s my money, that’s my money, you’re not getting any of mine and I’m not paying for somebody who’s 68 to do this because they’re in your remit’. So we have, we’ve, we’ve nearly fragmented something that wasn’t.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“My real fear for directorates [is that] I’m in a system that’s already quite fragmented; putting those silos in, silos should really only be a top layer on a whole system, it shouldn’t be the only system because we’ll end up pushing our clients and it’s not going to suit the clients. It may suit the budgets and it may suit management, but it’s not going to suit the clients”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“If you put it onto a system that actually isn’t working it will become de facto the only system that exists so it will be a fragmented system”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Those old networks, we used to have, area administration meetings, there used to be general manager meetings, we used to have area management team meetings, some of those structures are, are kind of unbundling a little bit”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Core Narrative</th>
<th>Quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSE Hospital CEO</td>
<td>Fragmentation is a threat, and the hospital group is an opportunity, but it is also a challenge to hospital identity</td>
<td>“The question in the back of the mind would be will there be more fragmentation, and sometimes then you know, with that you get more layers, layers of everything. So that’s not always, you know, layers of things are not always a positive side because they don’t always produce efficiencies and you know communication can be a challenge”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Now, what happens then if I don’t have the staff to do that, and ... [I say] “as a hospital it’s nothing to do with me, it’s not my problem”, I’m not saying that we’d ever get to that because I don’t actually think in our locality that’s the mentality we have ... that’s not the way we’ve ever worked in the past. But you could say that could be the case in other places that mightn’t have as well-formed [relationships] and maybe wouldn’t bother ... Go to Dublin, [for example] they couldn’t, mightn’t give a hoot on that”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“it’s sort of generally accepted that probably services are running at low levels of staffing ... how far can you go without having significant impacts on your service? So that’s been recognised, that’s good because at least then there’s a sense that there is something positive ... and that’s happened through the group structure”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td>Core Narrative</td>
<td>Quotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Hospital CEO</td>
<td>Reduced resources and blocks but organisational development is the right response to the crisis</td>
<td>“We’re working with probably a budget of about 25% less than we had five or six years ago ... So the money that has been made available to us has got less but yet we’re doing more work than we did.”&lt;br&gt;“Finance has been quite crude ... in 2012 there was an absolute tsunami of cuts, at the end of 2012 we had overspent by €X million. And it wasn’t that our spend had gone through the roof, our spend had actually come down, but the money that was made available to us, the budget that we were given was way down”&lt;br&gt;“We’ve had the exit strategies, we’ve the pension, the redundancy strategies, early retirement schemes and they certainly have, have been challenging”&lt;br&gt;“Within a hospital you’ve got mixed cultures, you’ve got professional groups, doctors, nurses, healthcare professionals, support staff, trade unions, professional bodies, things like the regulators like HQA, Medical Council, Bord Altranais. So you’ve all that pot of things going on within the organisation and you’re trying to manage pathways through that”&lt;br&gt;“We have invested in our staff, when the economy is in a downturn, staff training is usually one of the areas that’s cut ... But we’ve taken the decision ... to support training ... I think now is a time that people need more support when they’re going through change rather than in a time of plenty ... they actually need tools and skill sets to improve their outputs”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td>Core Narrative</td>
<td>Quotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE Hospital Group CEO</td>
<td>The Group is a response to fragmented care, but not a panacea. There are real barriers to the path of change</td>
<td>”Some of the changes ... back to the original surgical changes that were made and the changing of the smaller hospitals in terms of emergency departments and critical care capacity has put this site particularly in a pressure cooker. There is no doubt about it, because the capacity isn’t here”&lt;br&gt;“We have some of the tools [to create change] but that’s back then to a power basis and changing practice and whether we like it or not, I am the CEO, I still have no authority to tell any doctor what to do.”&lt;br&gt;“The macro-economic environment is so fluid ... it’s definitely not, hasn’t, can’t have certainty over a multi-annual [programme. That] is a huge challenge, because you set off to do something and then you arrive at a point where you can’t follow it through, or the implications of following it through will financially put the organisation at risk.”&lt;br&gt;“I think that the HSE and the Department of Health should just get over themselves and merge because it’s hard to know who’s who and what’s what ... I have no idea of what the lines of demarcation between policy and service [are] ... It’s all mixed up and that’s when trouble will come to bear. ... HIQA will be in here next week looking at me from a licensing perspective and fit for purpose from a governance perspective, but how can I be fit for purpose when the pieces that sit on top of me are so mixed up?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td>Core Narrative</td>
<td>Quotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Hospital</td>
<td>Hospital identity and autonomy are compromised in the group, uncertainty</td>
<td>“We’re going through a transition, we’ve lost our ICU, we’ve lost, you know, aspects of the lab, our A&amp;E closed down [and became] an urgent care centre ... we do elective surgery and all admissions are effectively elective. We opened ... beds last year on the direction of the Minister to provide capacity for the region ... <strong>we did that and I suppose we’re still in limbo with regard to will we stay open or not</strong>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>prevails</td>
<td>“Our workings with the [Group] trying to find out where we work with regard to that and I suppose <strong>the whole governance aspect with regard to that is very, it’s very challenging because there is no roadmap</strong> and you know, we were just told we’re reporting to the CEO with regard to finance and we’ve never even received anything on paper with regard to that and it’s just, you know, it’s just a given and that’s it”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“The whole financial end of it [is] a very significant challenge for me because you’re really doing, you know, <strong>you’re expected to do more and more or the same as last year with less and less, I’d say, where the budgeting system is less than ideal ... because this is February and I still don’t know what my budget allocation is from the Group</strong>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“There’s no clear map there , everything is preventing us from working together”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td>Core Narrative</td>
<td>Quotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Hospital and Group CEO</td>
<td>Delivering the service in the face of bureaucracy, under-resourcing and whimsical politicians</td>
<td>“Obviously the financial challenge, particularly in 2012. There was some easing in that in 2013 when there was a right-sizing of some of the budgets, that helped us, but <strong>2012 was extremely difficult in terms of managing the budget, managing the service plan</strong>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“The bureaucracy of the HSE and its structures have been significantly disempowering … the process of, of the HSE’s HR function take a long time to, to work through the system. And that’s just one area, I mean that’s, across the whole lot of areas there’s standardisation and there’s concentration at the centre and <strong>people in hospitals around the country I think are, are just frustrated with that level of, of bureaucracy and centralisation, which is counter to people exercising their initiative and so on at hospital level</strong>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“The policy makers have decreed that there are to be no more clerical admin staff appointed to the system. We haven’t had a clerical admin staff member appointed I’d say for the last five or six years. <strong>We have no succession planning, we have no investment in management capability. We are in the process now of implementing a huge project, money follows the patient across the whole system. We don’t have sufficient accountants, sufficient IT people, and this is all a direct consequence of successive government policies not to recruit clerical admin, not to invest in them and, and the impact of that is being seen every day. We’re increasingly employing agency staff</strong>”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Seven Management Stories 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Core Narrative</th>
<th>Quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Service Area Manager</td>
<td>The divisional structure has potential to fragment care, need to manage this risk</td>
<td>“I suppose the Department, the funding goals and care group streams and the general rationale would have been that when the money got into the system we would have moved money between care groups ... there was flexibility at a local level. The disadvantage always was that the centre or the national system, be it HSE or the Department, would feel ... the money mightn’t end up for where they would have intended it, but of course on the ground things were changing all the time and that, if you like that vice grip does give the clarity from top to bottom where the funding has gone, but removes any flexibility at all to adjust as you see fit in terms of meeting service demand”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Now it’s about communication, negotiation, building relationships and if relationships are poor obviously people are going to be reticent, and even where they’re good, if your budget’s under pressure there’s a danger that people get caught in that way”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“In the meantime you’re restructuring and you’re trying to deal with the financial challenge and keep your HR piece, your headcount and all of those things together ... I think in fairness to people, I have to say our own service managers, there is a lot of resilience there. [Their] greatest anxiety staffing numbers reducing, and their concern is around the safety of the service; they’re always balancing risk ... the risk is always there, the question is how do you mitigate it and minimise it?”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Manager Strategies in Response to Challenges 1

- Continuous improvement – low cost
- Employing LEAN management methods
- Reliance on established working relationships
- ‘last minute working’ on meeting budget and performance targets
- Using the hospital group structure to leverage greater autonomy on recruitment, service arrangements etc.
- Developing an organisation strategy and finding ways to resource staff training and reflection space
- Negotiation on budget re-balancing with HSE
- Relationship building across professional boundaries
- Staying focussed on core tasks and not getting caught in the political web
Manager Strategies in Response to Challenges 2

- Creating space for staff to participate in the change process
- Building the context for team work
- Making local decisions where possible
- Relying on people’s professionalism and desire to do a good job, give quality care to patients
- Service delivery strategies to make positive changes (e.g. same-day surgery, patient-wait times)
- Acceptance of change through financial crisis – making the best of the opportunity
- Clarifying what our strengths are within the region
- Innovation in service delivery
Health Service Manager Interviews 2014
Initial themes and grouping

- Budgeting
- Patient Safety and Risk
- Service Design
- Skills Deficit

- Staffing
- Manager Training, Development
- Change Management
- Divisional Organisation as Operational Weakness

- Balancing Service Delivery, Ref...
- Managing Change
- Lack of participation in change processes
- Instability in the change process

- Leadership: Vision, Clarity on the Reforms
- Governance

- Fragmentation Stakeholder Difference
- Organisational Fragmentation Issues
- Political will, Leadership Issues
- Management Challenges General

- Culture
- Management Capacity Issues
Resilience Qualitative Wave 1 Themes
– Relevance at time of Wave 2

- Organisational Fragmentation Issues
- Political Will, Leadership Issues
- Management Capacity Issues
Overview of Management Challenges – Interviews with health service managers early 2014

- Challenges with Service Delivery: 46%
- Challenges with Change Process: 35%
- Challenges with Culture and Ways of Working: 19%
Challenges with Culture and Ways of Working
Challenges with Service Delivery

- Service design
- Divisional organisation as an operational weakness
- Budgeting
- Service delivery and processes
- Patient safety and risk
- Performance management
- Skills deficit
Challenges with Change Process

- Leadership re: reform process clarity, general governance
- Managing change
- Balancing service delivery and reform
- Change process confusion
- Instability created in the change process
- Lack of participation in the change process
Resilience AS Culture and Ways of Working

• Managers and staff have been flexible and responsive to the demands of efficiency and on-going structural change with considerable results and outcomes
• Intransigencies and blocks remain – from unions to clinician power, to the bureaucracy within the system and staff recruitment challenges ...
• Opportunities for training, cross-disciplinary engagement, learning and reflection are needed
• Training and support for managers, less of a blame-culture, and high-level encouragement of people within the service would make a difference
Resilience AS Service Delivery

- Health Service Managers are responding to the considerable and complex challenges they face with a range of strategies and some positive outcomes.

- There is acceptance of the re-structuring programme but with significant concern about its potential to negatively impact on patients by further fragmenting the care delivery system.
Resilience AS Change Process

• The change process, in terms of the re-structuring of how the service is organised, budgeted, managed and delivered, is biting on the ground ...

BUT

• With confusion, uncertainty, a lack of clarity, timeliness and communication

• This is inhibiting openness to and the pace of change, the confidence managers feel about it, their ability to bring others along and create new realities
Resilience AS Policy Implementation

• through Adaptation
  – As reported in phase 1 the system responded well to efficiency drives. Nonetheless, the capital (legislative, social, technical) which upheld this form of resilience seems less available, as reflected in management challenges and other indicators

• through Transformation
  – The demands of delivering a safe, functional, within budget, and efficient system are suffocating the implementation of headline reforms
  – The Programme for Government headline reforms are remote from a service delivery perspective either due to lack of clarity, progress or resources, or lack of importance in the face of more immediate needs
What do senior policy/decision makers in health think?
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What do senior policy/decision makers in health think?

• Wave 1
  – the impact of the economic crisis on the health system
  – on-going decision-making including analysis of system reform capacity

• Wave 2:
  – Identifying health reform priorities
  – Managing complexity of reform v resource constraints

• Wave 1 and 2:
  – Barriers/enablers of change/reform
Methods/approach

- Qualitative interviews with 12 senior personnel from health/finance sector between 2011 and 2014
  - Wave 2: Dec 2013 – March 2014 (6)
- Semi-structured interviews with senior civil servants, health service managers, political advisors
- Recorded, transcribed and analysed
  - Wave 1: content analysis leading to identification of key themes within the resilience framework and organisational learning perspective
  - Wave 2: coded and analysed in NVIVO
Interviewees

• Senior Department of Health officials (2)
• Senior Department of Public Expenditure and Reform officials (2)
• Political advisors (3)
• Senior health service management (5)
Wave 1 findings

- Policy constraint of Troika/financial crisis
- Efficiency drive and effects on services
- Ways of working and the service delivery model
- System capacity for change and reform
- The politicised nature of the system
Wave 2 findings: Identifying health reform priorities

- Improving patient care/quality of care (4), free GP care (1), Universal Health Insurance (1)
- Improving patient care/quality of care
  - Focus on hospital care, quality, clinical care programmes
  - Contrary to budget and service cuts
  - Questioning possibility of doing more with less & improving services
  - Improving access/universal central to quality eg cancer care, waiting times
- Restructuring/reorganising health system
  - Hospital groups, children’s hospital, MFTP, HSE break-up
- Future Health and Healthy Ireland
Health Reform Priorities

Healthy Ireland

Improved Patient Care/Outcomes
- Clinical Care Programmes
- Improving Access/SDU
- HSE Directorate/Dismantling HSE

Future Health
- Money Follows the Patient
- Hospital Groups
- Dept. of Health Reform

Free GP Care
- FEMPI
- Croke Park
- Haddington Road
- Staff and Pay Cuts
- Reform of Private Health Insurance

Protection of Life during Pregnancy
- Quality/Safety
- Maternity Services

Budget Cuts/Troika/Irish Troika
- UHI
- Reform of Private Health Insurance

Healthy Ireland
- Future Health
- Free GP Care
- Protection of Life during Pregnancy
- Improved Patient Care/Outcomes

FEMPI
- Croke Park
- Haddington Road
- Staff and Pay Cuts
- Reform of Private Health Insurance

Budget Cuts/Troika/Irish Troika
Health reform priorities

• The guiding principle was that we’d have high-quality patient services, patients that were going to be a priority.
• Improved patient outcomes. That’s... what it’s all about...
• The business of the health service is caring for patients
• I’m not saying it wouldn’t have happened otherwise but clearly it [death of Savita Halapanvar] was an enormous kind of an earthquake type event, which has changed the way lots of people think about the issue of patient safety, both people inside the system and outside the system
• Bringing governance and ownership of the quality of care right down the operational line... I think the big process at the moment in terms of putting responsibility for quality and safety at the core of all operational management, both nationally and locally
Budget cuts v patient care

• at a national level ... we’ve created the same environment that existed in Mid-Staffordshire, but instead of doing it on a hospital by hospital basis we’ve done it on a national basis, because we have said the only thing that really matters in discussion is budget, money and head count... and this... is related to the austerity...

• one of the real downsides of the whole austerity thing has been that over the last four years, the only measure that has been considered important in the health service is the budget and head count numbers

• I really wish that finance and DPER could really truly look at the health services as an investment in our citizens rather than a money pit

• that tension between the need for cash extraction and system reform, I don’t think we’ve actually hit the full clash of that yet. I think... that’s yet to come and I, and I don’t think that’s going to be pretty
Wave 2 findings: Drivers of health reform

• Political: change in government, new Programme for Government, James Reilly
• Focus on patient outcomes
  • Minister Reilly, HSE leadership, Savita Halapanavar, Portlaoise
• Economics: budget cuts, troika, Irish troika...
• Incompatibility of the above highlighted in Budget 2014 stand off btw Department of Health & Department of Public Expenditure & Reform (DPER)
• Clarity at the very top of health from 2012/13 on
• Importance of valuing staff, HR, culture to quality
Drivers of health reform

• Reform as radical as this would not have come from anywhere else within the system other than from a change in government and a different approach.

• there were political imperatives

• There were only four priorities, patients, patients, patients and patients, and their safety and the outcomes for them

• at a national level the only, the only discussion or the only strategy we had was around economics...

• when the troika arrive they start talking about top 3 priorities & suddenly health was there alongside the future of banking system, mortgages arrears, was the debt sustainable

• then its the Irish Troika that really runs the government... the Economic Monetary Council: Taoiseach, Tánaiste, € Ministers
Wave 2 findings: 
Managing complexity of health reform

• Dedicated reform groups in Department of Health and HSE overseeing reform & between them
• Greater clarity & coherency at health leadership levels evident mid/end of 2013
• BUT real concerns about the capacity to reform, the complexity, absence of experience in Dept of Health
• Diverted by other issues eg Savita, Protection of Life During Pregnancy, EU Presidency, Portlaoise
• Serious doubt about the delivery of UHI, ability to deliver & improve services in severe jeopardy due to financial constraints and politics
Revisiting Resilience themes

• Political will/leadership
  – Driving reform & stymying reform, especially political divisiveness (btw Labour & FG, Health & DPER)

• Management capacity
  – Some improvements at the very top in health, questionable that this has seeped down
  – Inherent conflict between budget cuts & quality improvement/patient care

• Organisational fragmentation
  – ‘Reforms’ intent on addressing organisational fragmentation but not yet evident that this is the effect, the opposite, too soon to tell, too complex?
Transformatory resilience?

- The ability or capacity of government to design & implement desirable & realistic reform when the current organisation, structures & strategies are no longer feasibly

- Questionable evidence of transformatory resilience especially the skills & capability of the system to pull off enormous reform programme with increasing demand for care & ever diminishing resources

- The challenge of focusing on the important rather than the urgent is a daily battle in health and there’s absolutely no getting away with that. It pops up all of the time, the Angola metaphor is an incredibly appropriate one in health
An analysis of overall findings and reflections in the context of current health reform

Steve Thomas
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Conceptual Framework

**Financial resilience:**
The protection of funds for health care, and particularly that of the vulnerable

**Adaptive resilience:**
The ability of government and providers to manage the system with fewer resources, through efficiencies, while not sacrificing access or entitlements.

**Transformatory resilience:**
The ability or capacity of government to design and implement desirable and realistic reform when the current organisation, structures and strategies are no longer feasible.
Scoring framework
Financial resilience I

The protection of funds for health care, and particularly that of the vulnerable

• Aggregate
  – OK (some protection of funding initially and in 2012) otherwise general reduction in finances and human resources
The poor
Pretty Good – 600,000 more people given medical cards without any change in the thresholds.
Except for:
– the prescription charge + increase and
– removal of dentistry benefits
Financial resilience III

The old
Not good – removal of automatic entitlement to medical card for over 70s ’09 and further clawback ‘13.

The sick
Lots of cost shifting on to this category – increased co-pays for IP, EDs, increase thresholds for Drug reimbursements
Adaptive Resilience

Managing the system with fewer resources

• Quite good..to start with – lots of cost savings through reductions in unit costs (pharma costs down albeit late, salary costs down through Croke Park and Haddington Road, shifting of care to day-case, FEMPI)

• More with less as system “fat” removed

• But...
  – ran out of easy cuts
  – morale problems

• Now less with less
Increased Rationing

NUMBERS OF PEOPLE WAITING FOR INPATIENT AND DAYCASE HOSPITAL TREATMENT 2008 - 2013

Waiting list numbers

- 2008
- 2009
- 2010
- 2011
- Nov-12
- Nov-13

- 0 to 3
- 3 to 6
- 6 to 12
- 12 +
- 24+
Total, male and female death rates per 1,000 population, 2000-2012

Source: Nolan et al, 2014, CSO
Transformatory resilience

• A fair attempt at historic reform
  – Great headline
  – Huge policy agenda on paper
  – Evidence of more united health leadership
  – Austerity used as catalyst for reform
  – Still Universal care
Transformatory resilience

• But capacity weaknesses
  – Dissonance between health leadership and operational level
  – Dissonance between health and finance
  – Limited progress on headline policies
  – Suggestions that governance capacity absorbed by crisis
  – Urgent trumps the important

• UHI design weaknesses
  – Possibly the worst version for where we are
  – High cost and complex
  – Long-finger, Backburner, Long grass
Reflections

• Depth and duration of crisis
  – Essentially arbitrary budget cuts
  – Medical Card system held together
  – First, useful efficiencies
    • but sapped morale, undermined reform and economic impact
  – Then, cost-shifting and cuts
  – Unknown impact on health quality

• UHI design needs to be reassessed
  – Costing exercise
The End
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